Document downloaded from:

http://hdl.handle.net/10251/67662

This paper must be cited as:

Seguí Gil, L.; Fito Suñer, PJ.; Fito Maupoey, P. (2012). Understanding osmotic dehydration of tissue structured foods by means of a cellular approach. Journal of Food Engineering. 110(2):240-247. doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2011.05.012.



The final publication is available at

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2011.05.012

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

Understanding osmotic dehydration of tissue structured foods by means of a cellular approach L. Seguí, P.J Fito, P.Fito* Institute of Food Engineering for Development. Universitat Politècnica de València. Camino de Vera, s/n, Valencia 46022, Spain. * Tel.: (0034) 96 3877056; fax: (0034) 96 3877956. E-mail address: lusegil@upvnet.upv.es

ABSTRACT

This contribution presents a study on osmotic dehydration of tissue structured foods based on a microstructural approach in which simplified systems such as isolated apple cells and protoplasts have been used. An appropriate description of the microstructure of the raw material and its evolution during processing has been evidenced as critical in order to better understand and describe osmotic dehydration processes; as a direct consequence, it is stated that predictive models should incorporate this microstructural information so as to be more reliable. Microstructural changes observed by examining the isolated cells under the microscope along the treatments have been used to identify critical points that separate the stages that a cell undergoes, and which depend also on its particular response to the osmotic treatment (lysis, shrinkage or complete plasmolysis). Irreversible thermodynamics has been used to mathematically describe the process by distinguishing two main stages: one at which significant deformation-relaxation phenomena are coupled with mass transfer, and another one at which the former may be neglected.

- 26 Key words: microstructure, osmotic dehydration, mass transfer, deformation-relaxation
- 27 phenomena, irreversible thermodynamics.

NOMENCLATURE

- **a**_j activity of component j, (—).
- J_j molar flux of component j, (mol·m⁻²s⁻¹).
- L_j phenomenological coefficient of component j, (mol²·J⁻¹·m⁻²·s⁻¹).
- **Mr**_j molecular weight of component j, (kg·mol⁻¹).
- **P** pressure, (Pa).
- 35 R universal gas constant, (J·mol⁻¹· K⁻¹).
- 36 S surface area, (m^2) .
- **T** temperature, (K).
- 38 t time, (s).
- 39 V volume, (m^3) .
- $\overline{V_j}$ partial molar volume of species j (m³·mol⁻¹).
- $\mathbf{w_j}$ mass fraction of component j (kg·kg⁻¹).
- $\mathbf{x_j}$ molar fraction of component j (mol·mol⁻¹).
- 43 Greeks
- ρ density, (kg·m⁻³).
- μ_i chemical potential of component j, (J·mol⁻¹).
- 46 Subscripts, superscripts and abbreviations.
- **ss** soluble solids.
- **t** time.
- 49 w water.
- **CW** cell wall.

- **DEF** mechanical deformations
- **EP** external phase.
- **EXP** experimental
- **IRD** irreversible deformations.
- **InP** incipient plasmolysis
- **IP** internal phase.
- **MB** membrane breakage
- **OS** osmotic solution.
- **PM** plasma membrane.
- **RD** reversible deformations.
- **SEP** protoplast separation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The complexity and heterogeneity of biological materials make osmotic dehydration (OD) modelling a difficult subject to face, and constitute the main reason why OD models have generally made use of a macroscopic approach in which the tissue is assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic. It is frequent to find applications of Fick's Second law to obtain an approximate solution, in which an apparent or effective diffusivity is used to account for all variables. Aguilera et al. (2003) reported that the use of an effective diffusivity obtained from the Second Fick's law is not only questionable from the theoretical standpoint, but reduces all structural effects and related mechanisms to a single parameter. Accordingly, several authors emphasize the relevance of food structure and how it is modified during processing in order to clearly understand mass transfer phenomena in cellular tissues (Aguilera et al. 2003, 2005;

Barat et al. 2001, Fito et al., 2007; Lewicki and Porzecka-Pawlak, 2005, Mebatsion et 76 77 al., 2006). In contrast, it has also been reported that food engineers still make extensive 78 use of the approximations of Fick's Second law to calculate an apparent diffusivity, thus 79 underestimating the importance of microstructure (Aguilera, 2005; Mebatsion et al., 80 2006). 81 Food materials are multicomponent and multiphasic systems 82 microstructural elements that respond differently to the imposed process conditions. 83 Structural modifications during processing originate changes in the food properties, not 84 only properties that are related to quality attributes such as texture or colour, but this 85 structural modifications also originate changes in mass transfer properties and, 86 consequently, mass transfer mechanisms change during the process. Knowing the 87 response of each structural element and how this affects the product properties, 88 including mass transfer properties, is essential for understanding osmotic dehydration 89 and mathematically describe the process. It seems evident that models need to 90 incorporate structural information in order to be reliable. 91 Osmotic dehydration has been extensively studied under a macroscopic perspective, and 92 microscopic approaches in which structural changes are analyzed are also present in the 93 literature (Barat et al., 1998; Ferrando and Spiess, 2001; Lewicki and Porzecka-Pawlak, 94 2005; Mavroudis et al., 1998, 2004; Nieto et al., 2004); however, studies in which the 95 whole tissue is analyzed do not offer the possibility of characterizing the individual 96 response of each structural element, which in turn leads to a partial misunderstanding of 97 the properties and the mechanisms involved in the mass transfer process. Nonetheless, 98 in the context of *food product engineering*, it has been noticed an increasing interest in 99 microstructural approaches that emphasize the role of structure with the aim of facing 100 the challenge of real food modelling (Aguilera, 2006; Ferrando and Spiess, 2002; Fito et

102 microstructure for designing products of specific desired properties has also been 103 discussed (Aguilera, 2006; Fito et al., 2007). 104 The study of individual structural elements can be better achieved by analysing 105 simplified systems at the microscopic level. In this sense, isolation techniques appear as 106 an attractive tool for food engineering purposes, since it allows us to obtain simplified 107 living systems that can be directly observed under the microscope in the course of the 108 process. Previous steps to this work comprise the study of mass transfer phenomena 109 during OD of apple isolated protoplasts (Seguí et al., 2006) and the analysis of the 110 microstructural response of isolated cells during OD treatments (Seguí et al., 2010). In 111 the latter, the importance of the role of the microstructure and its evolution during 112 processing in order to understand the mechanisms involved in dehydration and, 113 consequently, to develop models that describe micro and macroscopic changes was 114 evidenced. In the present work, this microstructural information is used to make a 115 comprehensive interpretation of mass transfer and deformation-relaxation phenomena 116 during the osmotic dehydration of isolated apple cells, and to model their response by 117 means of irreversible thermodynamics.

al., 2007; Mebatsion et al., 2008). In line with that, the possibility of controlling the

118

101

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

120

121

122

123

124

125

119

2.1. Miniaturised experiments

Apple cells (*Malus domestica* var. Fuji) were enzymatically isolated and equilibrated in a manitol solution ($a_w = 0.986$), and subsequently monitored and measured during OD experiments with sucrose solutions (25, 35, 45% (w/w)). The isolation procedure and the osmotic experiments, including monitoring and measurements, have been previously

described (Seguí et al, 2010). Briefly, cells were isolated from apple parenchyma in a digestion medium containing pectinase. Subsequent osmotic experiments were carried out in a heating/cooling stage at constant temperature (30 °C), placed under a light microscope, and monitored by a CCD camera incorporated to the microscope and connected to a computer. Images of the cells being dehydrated were saved during the treatment and next analyzed and measured (Adobe Photoshop, v. 7.0; ImageJ, 1.36b free version). The projected cross area and major axis of each cell protoplast were measured; volumes (VPM) were calculated considering cells as spheroids obtained by rotating the ellipses about their major axis.

For comparison purposes, apple protoplasts were also isolated from the same plant material using the isolation procedure described in Seguí et al. (2006), equilibrated in manitol ($a_w = 0.984$), dehydrated in 45% (w/w) sucrose and measured. In this case, volumes were directly obtained from the projected cross areas (A^{PM}), due to the spherical shape of isolated protoplasts.

2.2. Quantification of mass transfer across the plasmalemma

Progress of cell protoplast volume was used to calculate water fluxes across the plasma membrane (J_w^{PM}) by means of equation 1.

$$J_{w}^{PM} = \frac{-\Delta \left(V^{PM} \cdot \rho_{ss}^{IP} \cdot w_{w}^{IP}\right)}{\overline{S^{PM}} \cdot \Delta t \cdot Mr_{w}}$$
(1)

Where, V^{PM} is the cell protoplast volume, ρ^{IP}_{ss} the density of the solution inside the protoplast as a function of soluble solids content, w^{IP}_{w} the water mass fraction inside the protoplast, $\overline{S^{PM}}$ the mean protoplast surface area, Δt the time interval between two consecutive images, and Mr_{w} the water molecular weight. As in Seguí et al. (2006), the

outside the protoplast as the external phase (EP). The water mass fraction inside the protoplast (w^{IP}_{w}) at initial time was calculated from the value of the molar water fraction inside the protoplast (x^{IP}_{w}) obtained by applying the Norrish equation (Norrish, 1966) to the water activity of the manitol solution in which the cells had been equilibrated ($a_{w}^{IP}_{0} = 0.986$). The Norrish equation coefficient (K) was obtained for a combination of different components using the proportional values obtained from bibliographic data (Yamaki and Ino, 1992). Subsequent values of w^{IP}_{w} were obtained applying the mathematical approach developed in Seguí et al. (2006) for apple isolated protoplasts, which considers the plasma membrane impermeable to solutes and assumes a homogeneous water concentration in both the internal and external phases at each measured time. Since the plasma membrane constitutes the interface, the EP comprises all the solution outside the protoplast independently on the presence of a cell wall.

solution inside the protoplast was identified as internal phase (IP), and the solution

2.3. Using Irreversible Thermodynamics to describe cell dehydration

Regarding the driving force that promotes mass transfer, this can be analysed by means of equations based on the diffusional mechanism or irreversible thermodynamics, depending on whether the driving force is defined as the difference in concentration between phases or the difference in the chemical potential, respectively (Gekas, 1992). The thermodynamic equilibrium of a system is usually analysed in terms of the Gibbs free energy (Fito et al., 2007) as stated by equation 2:

$$dG = VdP - SdT + \sum \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_i}\right)_{T,P,n_{i\neq i}} dn_i \quad (2)$$

The partial derivative in equation 2 is the chemical potential of component i (μ_i) , which refers exclusively to molecular mobility and represents a variation in the Gibbs free energy of the system when there is an infinitesimal change in the number of moles of component i $(P, T \text{ and } j \neq i \text{ being constant})$. On the other hand, equation 3, obtained by dividing equation 2 by dn_i , suggests that a variation in the number of moles of component i may be coupled with pressure and temperature changes.

$$\frac{dG}{dn_i} = V \frac{dP}{dn_i} - S \frac{dT}{dn_i} + \mu_i \tag{3}$$

If applying equation 3 to a cellular system where the semipermeable membrane that separates the internal and external phases allows only the water to flow through it, mass transfer is necessarily coupled with mechanical deformations of the cellular structure (Fito et al., 2007). Consequently, the extended definition of the chemical potential must be used when analysing mass transfer phenomena in a cellular tissue, which in the case of water is defined by equation 4 (Gekas, 2001).

$$\mu_w^{ext} = \overline{G_w} = RT \ln a_w + \overline{V_w} P \qquad (4)$$

Therefore, and focusing on the experiments analysed in this work, the driving force that promotes mass transfer during the OD of an apple isolated cell can be defined as the gradient of the extended water chemical potential (equation 5).

$$\Delta \mu_w^{ext} = RT\Delta \ln a_w + \overline{V_w} \Delta P \tag{5}$$

The pressure term in the stated equation stands not only for the difference in pressure between the inner and the outer side of the plasma membrane (P^{EP}-P^{IP}), but also for the mechanical deformations that the cell undergoes, these being either reversible (RD: elastic) or irreversible (IRD: ruptures or viscous) (equation 6). A pressure term opposite in sign (positive) to the compositional one (negative) implies a reduction in the driving force.

196 $\Delta \mu_w^{ext} = RT\Delta \ln a_w + \overline{V_w} \left[\left(P^{EP} - P^{IP} \right) + \Delta P_{RD} + \Delta P_{IRD} \right]$ (6)

197

198

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

3.1. Water flux across the plasmalemma

Molar water flux across the plasma membrane of the isolated cells was calculated as stated by equation 1. Figure 1 shows the mean water flux variation in the course of treatments with 45, 35 and 25% (w/w) sucrose solutions. As expected, higher OS concentrations produced higher mean water fluxes. Initially, mean water fluxes increase until reaching a maximum, which appears earlier at more concentrated OS, in correlation with the phenomenon of incipient plasmolysis (Seguí et al., 2010). Although initial values may be transiently affected by the adaptation of the system to the new imposed conditions, the plot illustrates how the cell wall prevents the protoplast from shrinking at the beginning of the treatment, thus reducing the driving force and the water flux. During this period, it is expected that the available free energy is used not only for transferring water molecules through the plasma membrane, but also for deforming the cellular structure. If the cell wall shrinks together with the protoplast during most of the treatment, as occurs when an OS of 25% sucrose (w/w) is used (Seguí et al., 2010), the maximum does not appear due to a low $\Delta \mu_w$ value when incipient plasmolysis takes place; however, if at incipient plasmolysis $\Delta \mu_w$ is still considerable, the water flux increases to a maximum. Eventually, water fluxes decrease towards values that were considered negligible after five minutes of processing.

218

219

3.2. Modelling mass transfer and deformation-relaxation phenomena based on

220 microscopic studies

As mentioned before, (micro)structural information is essential for understanding mass transfer phenomena in complex systems, as well as for developing mathematical models. In this section, the microstructural information obtained in a previous work (Seguí et al., 2010) is used to interpret and describe the mass transfer and deformation-relaxation phenomena that occur during OD of isolated cells, as well as to deduce the equations that need to be used in each case.

3.2.1. Identification of critical points and stages of the dehydration process as a

function of cell response

- In a previous work (Seguí et al., 2010) cells were classified depending on the phenomenon undergone in response to the osmotic treatment: cell shrinkage, cell lysis and cell plasmolysis (type I, II). Distinction among these responses is essential for mass transfer analysis since the mechanisms that are involved in the mass transfer process are different in each case. The path that a cell may follow after soaking it in the OS is schematized in figure 2. As deduced from the analysis of the structure-property relationships (Seguí et al., 2010), a cell will follow one or another path (A-C) as a function of both the gradient imposed and cell morphology.
- During processing, *critical points* make differences in the mechanisms involved in the dehydration process. These critical points separate the *stages* that each cell experiments, and depend on the cell response.
 - (A) Cell lysis: In the case of cells which membrane breaks during the dehydration process, *membrane breakage* (MB) is a critical point as it represents the loss of cell compartmentation.
 - (B) Shrinkage: The moment at which the plasma membrane starts to detach from the cell wall, i.e. *incipient plasmolysis* (InP), is identified as a critical point. It is

important to point out that, as deduced in Seguí et al. (2010), incipient plasmolysis occurs at a similar degree of cell deformation for each osmotic gradient applied.

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

• (C) Complete plasmolysis: If the cell undergoes full plasmolysis two critical points are distinguished: *incipient plasmolysis*, as in the previous case; and *complete separation* (SEP) of the protoplast, which has also been proved to occur at a specific degree of deformation, for a given osmotic gradient (Seguí et al. 2010).

During OD of isolated cells deformations occur as a consequence of water loss, this being responsible for pressure gradients that appear coupled with mass transfer phenomena, at least during some part of the process. According to Eq. 6, the pressure gradient included in the extended definition of the water chemical potential explains not only the difference in the hydrostatic pressure, but also the free energy consumed or released in deformation-relaxation. Depending on the cell response (A-C) and on the stage of the process the pressure or compositional terms may prevail over the other, or act as the only driving force for mass transfer. Focusing on the identified responses: equation 6 does not apply to response A (cell lysis), since cell compartmentation is lost and internal and external phases mix; In case of shrinkage (response B), mass transfer will be coupled with mechanical deformation during the whole process, although the energy used in deformation will be different before and after the critical point *incipient* plasmolysis; For a cell that undergoes response C (complete plasmolysis), complete separation of the plasma membrane clearly separates two stages: a first stage, during which significant deformation phenomena exist and the pressure term should different from zero $(\overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P \neq 0)$; and a second one, which starts immediately after protoplast

270 separation, during which the protoplast is not slowed down by the cell wall and the pressure term might be neglected ($\overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P \approx 0$). 271 These two main stages $(\overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P \neq 0 \text{ and } \overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P \approx 0)$ separated by the critical point 272 273 complete separation were experimentally verified in completely plasmolysed cells when 274 plotting individual transmembrane water fluxes against protoplast relative deformation (V^{PM}_t/V^{PM}₀) (figure 3). It is important to remember that although the separation time 275 276 varied from 75 to 210 seconds among cells, protoplast relative deformation at which separation took place was similar for all cases $[(V^{PM}_t/V^{PM}_0)^{SEP} = 0.0617 \pm 0.008]$ (Seguí 277 et al., 2010). These results suggested that processing time does not provide adequate 278 279 information to determine which mechanisms are governing the mass transfer process; in 280 contrast, protoplast relative deformation indicates the stage of the process that the cell is 281 undergoing. Again, the importance of the microstructure and how it is modified during 282 processing is revealed as critical for understanding and modelling the process. 283 In figure 3, the discontinuous line that represents complete separation of the membrane separates the two stages. During the first period $(\overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P \neq 0)$, uncertain deformation-284 285 relaxation phenomena driven by cell individual shape and microstructure are coupled 286 with mass transfer, originating different patterns of the water flux curves. This stage ends at $V^{PM}_{t}/V^{PM}_{0} = 0.617 \pm 0.008$, indicating the beginning of the period at which 287 $\overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P \approx 0$. The discontinuous ellipse drawn in figure 3 comprises residual water fluxes 288 289 that appeared at the end of the osmotic treatment. These values were deduced to be the 290 consequence of the homogenisation of the external phase at long times of the process. 291 Yao and LeMaguer (1986) suggested that an intensive water flow near the interface 292 during OD may wash back the solute penetration in the tissue, in our case the space

between the plasma membrane and the cell wall; according to this, the fluid near the

plasma membrane (the boundary layer) may experiment a dilution effect due to the wash back of solutes which becomes less significant as the water flux decreases. When approaching the equilibrium, the convective water flux going out the protoplast minimises and the boundary layer homogenises with the rest of the OS, which originates a rise in the solute concentration near the interface and a subsequent flux of water. This dilution effect would originate an overestimation of the solute concentration in the EP following high water flux values, as well as an underestimation when the EP homogenises and promotes water fluxes at long times.

3.2.2. Modelling the dehydration process by means of irreversible thermodynamics

Up to now, critical points have been defined and the existence of at least two differentiated stages has been experimentally proved in cells that plasmolysed completely: a stage during which significant deformation phenomena exist and another one in which these are not perceptible. In terms of the extended definition of the water chemical potential, this means that the pressure term must be taken into account $(\overline{V_w}:\Delta P \neq 0)$, or may be neglected $(\overline{V_w}:\Delta P \approx 0)$.

After complete membrane separation (SEP), the simplification in the extended water chemical potential leads to the simplification of equation 6 to the compositional term. Thus, kinetics of water transfer through the plasmalemma may be analysed by determining the phenomenological coefficient (L_w) obtained from the following equation based on irreversible thermodynamics:

$$J_{w}^{PM} = -L_{w} \cdot RT \cdot ln \left(\frac{a_{w}^{EP}}{a_{w}^{IP}} \right)$$
 (7)

Where L_w is the water phenomenological coefficient, T the temperature of the system, R the universal gas constant, and a^{EP}_w and a^{IP}_w the water activities in the external and internal phases.

In Seguí et al. (2006) it was corroborated for apple isolated protoplasts that equation 7 can be used to model water transfer across the plasma membrane in the absence of a cell wall; however, in the case of apple isolated cells the application of equation 7 is restricted to the period at which the plasmalemma is not longer stretched by the cell wall. The application of this equation is also subjected to the hypothesis that the cell wall is not significantly influencing the transfer of water or solutes during this stage, which needs to be corroborated by comparing the results with mass transfer coefficients obtained for apple isolated protoplasts. Experimental values for cells and protoplasts were fitted to equation 7 by simple linear regression. In the case of the isolated cells, points before full separation were not fitted to the straight line since they belong to stage $\overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P \neq 0$. Table 1 summarizes the fit results obtained for the experimental data. The P-value obtained from the ANOVA analysis (Statgraphics plus 5.1) was > 0.05 for the phenomenological coefficients calculated, indicating that no significant differences exist between the means of the phenomenological coefficients obtained for isolated protoplasts and isolated cells (the latter during stage $\overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P \approx 0$). On the one hand, these results corroborate that, in the experimental conditions assayed and after complete protoplast separation, the cell wall does not represent a significant barrier to mass transfer; however, analysing the experimental data in more detail reveal that some differences exist. First, it can be appreciated that the correlation coefficients (R²) obtained in the fittings for isolated protoplasts are appreciably better than the obtained for isolated cells, which becomes more significant in the case of type-II cells, some of which showed a very poor R². In figure 4, the differences among cells and protoplasts are illustrated. This representation suggests that, kinetics of the dehydration process is faster in the case of the isolated cells just after complete separation, and it

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

reduces as the process continues. These differences in the behaviour of cells and protoplasts might be explained by the differences in the way they accommodate an excess of plasma membrane material. While a shrinking isolated cell may be able to accommodate the plasma membrane surface in the Hechtian structures through membrane strands formation (Ferrando & Spiess, 2001; Oparka et al., 1994; Seguí et al., 2010) an isolated protoplast must activate the mechanisms for membrane material exchange (Wolfe et al., 1985). According to this, the accommodation of plasma membrane surface through strands formation could origin a faster dehydration rate in the case of apple isolated cells. Nevertheless, isolated protoplasts did not lose their spherical shape during the OD process, suggesting that the membrane material exchange is sufficiently fast to restore the membrane tension during protoplast contraction. Other mechanisms that may be enhancing the mass transfer rate in cells are the related to a negative pressure gradient term which might affect the water flux at t^{SEP} as a consequence of the mechanical deformations associated to the full detachment of the plasma membrane; this will be explained later in more detail. In any case, whichever is the dominant mechanism, the increase in the water flux out of the protoplast around SEP accentuates the dilution effect in the boundary layer causing the water flux to decrease faster, as it can be seen in figure 4. Likewise, the cell wall could act as a physical barrier for convection fluxes, widening the boundary layer and also enhancing the dilution effect.

364

365

366

367

368

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

Coupling of mass transfer and deformation-relaxation phenomena

Modelling water transfer after complete membrane separation has been simplified by reducing the gradient of the extended water chemical potential (Eq. 6) to the gradient of water activities (Eq. 7); however, modelling water transfer before that requires the use

of the extended water chemical potential since during this stage the driving force may be greatly modified by the term $\overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P$. Taking into account that phenomenological coefficients may be calculated at any time provided that water fluxes and water activities are known; an experimental phenomenological coefficient (L_w^{EXP}) which accounts for all the resistances acting in the system at a particular time may be calculated (Equation 8). Accordingly, L_w^{EXP} coefficients obtained in the absence of cell wall deformations account for water transfer across the plasma membrane when $\overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P \approx 0$. [Note that equation 8 considers two driving forces but one flux and one phenomenological coefficient; this is applicable on condition that the membrane is perfectly semipermeable (reflection coefficient = 1) and only water transport takes place; otherwise, the Onsager principle of reciprocity should have been applied].

$$J_{w}^{PM} = L_{w} \cdot \left(RT\Delta \ln a_{w} + \overline{V_{w}} \Delta P \right)$$
 (8)

Calculating an experimental phenomenological coefficient at different points of the treatment allows us to subtract resistances (Equation 9) and attribute the difference to the pressure term in equation 6, thus quantifying the energy consumed in irreversible deformations or stored/released via elastic ones.

$$\overline{V_w} \Delta P = \left(\frac{1}{L_w^{\Delta P \approx 0}} - \frac{1}{L_w^{EXP}}\right) \times J_w^{PM} \tag{9}$$

The results of applying equation 9 to experimental data are shown in figure 5 for cells soaked in 45% (w/w) sucrose. As occurred with water fluxes, results did not show a clear time dependency and only some general features could be extracted; in contrast, the pressure gradient showed a particular pattern when representing it against protoplast relative deformation. In general terms it can be deduced that stages at which $\overline{V}_w \cdot \Delta P \approx 0$ and $\overline{V}_w \cdot \Delta P \neq 0$ are again identifiable and separated by protoplast deformation at the separation time $V^{PM}_{t}/V^{PM}_{0} = 0.617 \pm 0.008$. There were also evident differences

between the cells classified as type-II (thin lines) and the classified as type-I (thick

lines), which exhibited a more similar pattern during all the process.

In type-I cells the pressure term was positive before complete separation, showing higher values at the beginning of the treatment, suggesting that an important part of the available energy is used in deforming the structure. Before incipient plasmolysis the cell wall is deformed together with the plasma membrane so that both structures consume energy (Seguí et al., 2010), either irreversibly (viscous) or elastically (the membrane pulls the cell wall and stretches). Irreversible deformations consume energy that cannot be recovered $(\overline{V_w}\cdot\Delta P>0)$, whereas in elastic deformations the energy is stored $(\overline{V_w}\cdot\Delta P>0)$ and may be later released $(\overline{V_w}\cdot\Delta P<0)$.

Once the cell wall does not resist more deformations, the membrane continuous deforming until the stretching forces are higher than the needed for breaking the membrane attachment sites, which occurs at incipient plasmolysis. Since this moment, the three phenomena take place simultaneously and cannot be separated; nevertheless, the predominant mechanisms may be identified since a positive pressure gradient $(\overline{V_w}\cdot\Delta P>0)$ indicates that energy consumption or storage prevails; whereas a negative pressure gradient $(\overline{V_w}\cdot\Delta P<0)$ indicates that the release of the previously stored energy is prevailing. The oscillating shape of a curve also provides information about the degree of participation of dissipative structures in the process; this suggest cycles of energy storage/release which origin relies on elastic deformations.

As suggested in Seguí et al. (2010) the degree of cell wall/membrane interaction depended on the concentration of the OS used, but also on the morphology of the cell. In general terms, small gradients promote slow dehydration rates and, consequently, the elastic deformation of the PM and the CW; whereas fast dehydration rates promote

membrane breakage and rupture of membrane attachment sites, thus reducing PM and CW elastic deformations. Not elongated cells with certain degree of poligonality also facilitate the separation of the plasma membrane, resulting in shorter times for incipient and complete plasmolysis. In conclusion, scarce membrane/wall interaction implies that less energy is stored in the system by deforming elastic structures and, therefore, that the pressure gradient is predominantly positive before IP, which is the case of completely plasmolyzed cells type-I (figure 5). In contrast, cells that exhibit higher degree of membrane/wall interaction during more time (elongated-rounded cells and/or cells dehydrated in less concentrated OS) store/release more energy during the treatment. Upholding this, the pressure gradient for the type-II plasmolysed cells plotted in figure 5 exhibit an oscillating curve in which negative pressure gradients prevail at some points of the dehydration process.

3.3. A comprehensive interpretation of osmotic dehydration based on the cellular

approach.

Based on microstructural observations and experimental data, a more comprehensive interpretation of the dehydration process is presented in this section. For illustration, figure 6 shows the evolution of a cell during osmotic dehydration, since the beginning of the treatment until complete plasmolysis. If plasmolysing completely (response C), this cell will undergo three different stages: from time zero to InP, from InP to SEP and from SEP to the end of the treatment. If the cell's response is shrinkage (B), it will undergo the first two stages.

1st stage: time zero – incipient plasmolysis

When a cell is soaked in a hypertonic solution, the gradient of chemical potential imposed to the system originates a water flux out of the protoplast (J_w) , which shrinks. The protoplast, which is linked to the cell wall at some points (attachment sites), stretches the wall (F_S) and deforms it. The cell wall is a viscoelastic structure, thus its deformation will be partially reversible (elastic) and partially irreversible (viscous). Protoplast and cell wall will deform together as long as the stretching forces appearing as a consequence of protoplast shrinkage are not enough to break the membrane-to-wall attachment sites. This first stage ends with incipient plasmolysis and in most of the cases it is not perceptible.

The equations used to describe this stage must take into account the coupling of water transfer with deformation-relaxation phenomena. In terms of irreversible thermodynamics some of the energy is consumed in mechanical deformations $(\overline{V_w}\cdot\Delta P>0)$, so the extended definition of the water chemical potential must be used.

2nd stage: incipient plasmolysis – membrane separation

As the water transfers through the plasma membrane, the stretching forces between membrane and cell wall (F_S and its opposite F_S ') raise until: (i) the local membrane-to-wall attachments break (if $F_S > F_B$), (ii) the plasma membrane ruptures (cell lysis), or (iii) the pressure and compositional terms balance and the flux ceases. Usually, case (iii) is combined with case (i), since the pressure and compositional terms balance after a period during which intermittent membrane retention/detachment takes place. In biological structures the existence of elastic or viscoelastic structures such as the plasma membrane and the cell wall implies the possibility of storing part of the available free energy on the structure; both represent dissipative structures able to store energy that can be later released in the system. Unless membrane lysis occurs (response A:

breakage), and as long as the plasmalemma is retained at some point(s), some free energy is stored via the stretching of the plasma membrane and the elastic deformation of the cell wall. In terms of equations 6, the pressure gradient accounts for the stored energy (+) that, if released (-), promotes mass transfer. Focusing on case (i) (which follows for responses B and C) when $F_S > F_B$, the membrane detaches and contracts elastically. As a direct consequence, an intracellular volume between the plasma membrane and the cell wall is created, thus acting as a driving force for a volumetric flow of osmotic solution (Jos) that occupies the intracellular space. On the one hand, this volumetric flux may enhance mass transfer by narrowing the boundary layer and increasing the solute concentration near the interface; on the other hand, the elastic contraction of the membrane may cause a slight increase in the internal pressure over the external one and originate a hydrodynamic water flux out of the protoplast. This means that part of the free energy that accumulates in the structure during its deformation due to membrane stretching is regularly released as the membrane detaches from the cell wall, thus promoting water transfer. This mechanism might be maximized at full protoplast separation, when the plasma membrane separates completely (figure 3); in that case, this could be responsible for the acceleration of mass transfer kinetics in isolated cells close to SEP as compared with apple isolated protoplasts (figure 4). If the cell does not plasmolyse completely (response classified as B: shrinkage), the flux ceases when the compositional term compensates the pressure term (case iii), the membrane remaining stretched (not breaking or detaching). In this case, the system tends to relax at long times of the dehydration process. Depending on the possibilities of the structure, the mechanism used to release this energy would be different: In some cases, the stretched membrane relaxed and re-accommodated in its original position

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

thanks to a loss of volume that took place at other sites (figure 2 in Seguí et al., 2010); In other cases, it could also occur that the membrane tension, if maintained for a sufficient time, activated the mechanisms for membrane material incorporation (Wolfe et al., 1985) reducing the tension of the membrane and also relaxing the structure. Whichever is the case, the excess of free energy associated with a pressure gradient that accumulated in the viscoelastic matrix during its deformation, is later released to the system causing the relaxation of the structure; this relaxation would then promote the entrance of a hydrodynamic flux of OS into the intracellular space (Barat et al., 1998). This is also supported by the results discussed in Seguí et al. (2010), where it was noticed an increase in the projected area of cells (cell wall delimited area) at long times, mainly in treatments in which the cell wall deformed to a major degree during the process.

If using irreversible thermodynamics for modelling the process, the equation used at this stage must take into account the coupling of water transfer with deformation-relaxation phenomena: the energy is consumed in irreversible deformations and stored-released via elastic deformations (\overline{V}_w : $\Delta P \neq 0$).

3rd stage: membrane separation – end of the process

After the complete separation of the plasma membrane, the protoplast is not longer held back by the cell wall and may shrink freely. During this stage, mass transfer mechanisms are quite similar to those acting during dehydration of an isolated protoplast. Nevertheless, the presence of the cell wall as a physical barrier, as well as the existence of elastic structures that may link the protoplast to the cell wall (Hechtian structures) must be taken into account. In this case, the pressure term may be neglected $(\overline{V_w} \cdot \Delta P \approx 0)$ and the water chemical potential simplified to the compositional term.

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

4. CONCLUSIONS

This study has evidenced the importance of a proper knowledge of the microstructure and how it is modified during processing in the study of osmotic dehydration of tissue structured foods, in which mass transfer takes place coupled with deformationrelaxation phenomena. In combination with a previous work (Seguí et al., 2010) it has been proved that the appropriate description of the microstructure helps predict the response of the cells; furthermore, identifying some critical microstructural modifications undergone by cells during processing is essential for better understanding mass transfer and deformation-relaxation phenomena, and thus being able to describe the process properly. In particular, different microstructural responses have been described and they have been divided in stages thanks to the identification of critical points, which also correspond to specific changes observed at the microscopic level. On the other hand, the equations used for modelling each stage of the process must be different and must consider the phenomena that take place at each specific period. The use of one or other equation depends on microstructural changes and not of processing time: e.g. degree of protoplast shrinkage might be used to determine the stage of the dehydration process and thus the equation that describes it more adequately. It is therefore deduced that predictive models should incorporate microstructural information in order to be more feasible. In conclusion, these results demonstrate that including microstructural information in the mathematical models, instead of generalising a kinetic coefficient for an idealised isotropic tissue, is essential for understanding and describing osmotic dehydration of tissue structured foods more accurately, and hence offer better results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 542 The authors would like to thank the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain) for
- 543 financial support, and the organizing committee of the International Conference on
- Food Innovation 2010 (FoodInnova2010) for granting this work with the best oral
- 545 communication for young scientists award.

546

541

547 REFERENCES

- 548 Aguilera, J.M. (2006). Seligman lecture 2005. Food product engineering: building the
- right structures. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 86, 1147-1155.
- Aguilera, J. M. (2005). Why food microstructure? Journal of Food Engineering, 67, 3-
- 551 11.
- Aguilera, J.M., Chiralt, A. & Fito, P. (2003). Food dehydration and product structure.
- *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 14, 432-437.
- Barat, J.M., Fito, P., & Chiralt, A. (2001). Modeling of simultaneous mass transfer and
- structural changes in fruit tissues. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 49, 77-85.
- Barat, J.M., Chiralt, A. & Fito, P. (1998). Equilibrium in cellular food osmotic solution
- 557 systems as related to structure. *Journal of Food Sciece*, 63 (5), 836-840.
- 558 Ferrando, M. & Spiess, W.E.L. (2002). Transmembrane mass transfer in carrot
- protoplasts during osmotic treatment. *Journal of Food Science*, 67 (7), 2673-2680.
- 560 Ferrando, M. & Spiess, W.E.L. (2001). Cellular response of plant tissue during the
- osmotic treatment with sucrose, maltose, and trehalose solutions. Journal of Food
- 562 Engineering, 49, 115-127.
- 563 Fito, P., LeMaguer, M., Betoret, N. & Fito, P.J. (2007). Advanced food processing
- engineering to model real foods and processes: The "SAFES" methodology. *Journal*
- 565 of Food Engineering, 83(2), 173-185.

- Gekas, V. (1992). General models of Transport Phenomena. In: Transport Phenomena
- of Foods and Biological Materials (Ch. 2). CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, Florida.
- Lewicki, P., & Porzecka-Pawlak, R. (2005). Effect of osmotic dewatering on apple
- tissue structure. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 66, 43-50.
- Mavroudis, N.E., Dejmek, P. & Sjöholm, I. (2004). Osmotic-treatment-induced cell
- death and osmotic processing kinetics of apples with characterised raw material
- properties. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 63, 47-56.
- 573 Mavroudis, N.E., Gekas, V. & Sjöholm, I. (1998). Osmotic dehydration of apples.
- 574 Shrinkage phenomena and the significance of initial structure on mass transfer rates.
- *Journal of Food Engineering*, 85(3), 326-339.
- Mebatsion, H.K., Verboven, P., Ho, A.T. Verlinden, B.E. & Nicolaï, B.M. (2008).
- 577 Modelling fruit (micro)structures, why and how. Trends in Food Science and
- 578 Technology, 19(2), 59-66.
- Nieto, A.B., Salvatori, D.M., Castro, M.A. & Alzamora, S.M. (2004). Structural
- changes in apple tissue during glucose and sucrose osmotic dehydration: shrinkage,
- porosity, density and microscopic features. Journal of Food Engineering, 61(2), 269-
- 582 278.
- Norrish, R.S. (1966). An equation for the activity coefficients and equilibrium relative
- humidities of water in confectionery syrups. *Journal of Food Technology*, 1, 25-39.
- Oparka, K. J., Prior, D. A. M. & Crawford, J. W. (1994). Behaviour of plasma
- membrane, cortical ER and plasmodesmata during plasmolysis of onion epidermal
- 587 cells. *Plant, cell and environment,* 17 (2), 163-171.
- 588 Seguí, L., Fito, P. J. & Fito, P. (2010). Analysis of structure-property relationships in
- isolated cells during OD treatments. Effect of initial structure on the cell behaviour.
- Journal of Food Engineering, 99, 417-423.

591 Seguí, L., Fito, P. J., Albors, A. & Fito, P. (2006). Mass transfer phenomena during the 592 osmotic dehydration of apple isolated protoplasts (Malus domestica var. Fuji). Journal 593 of Food Engineering, 77, 179-187. 594 Wolfe, J., Dowgert, M.F. & Steponkus, P.L. (1985). Dynamics of incorporation of 595 material into the plasma membrane and the lysis of protoplasts during rapid 596 expansions in area. Journal of Membrane Biology, 86, 127-138. 597 Yamaki, S. & Ino, M. (1992). Alteration of cellular compartmentation and Membrane 598 Permeability to sugars in immature and mature apple fruit. Journal of the American 599 Society for Horticultural Science, 117 (6), 951-954. 600 Yao, S. & Le Maguer, M. (1986). Osmotic dehydration: An analysis of the fluxes and 601 shrinkage in cellular structure. Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural 602 Engineers, 39, 2211-2216.