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#### Abstract

In this paper we analyse a definition of a product of Banach spaces that is naturally associated by duality with a space of operators that can be considered as a generalization of the notion of space of multiplication operators. This dual relation allows to understand several constructions coming from different fields of functional analysis that can be seen as instances of the abstract one when a particular product is considered. Some relevant examples and applications are shown, regarding pointwise products of Banach function spaces, spaces of integrable functions with respect to vector measures, spaces of operators, multipliers on Banach spaces of analytic functions and spaces of Lipschitz functions.
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## 1. Introduction and notation

One of the main tools in the theory of operator ideals on Banach spaces is the so-called representation formula for maximal operator ideals. This result asserts that if $E, F$ are Banach spaces, $F^{*}$ is the dual of $F$ and $\mathcal{U}$ is an operator ideal, we can find a reasonable tensor norm $\alpha$ such that $\left(E \otimes_{\alpha} F\right)^{*}=\mathcal{U}\left(E, F^{*}\right)$ (see for example [3], Chapter II, Section 17, pages 200-222). For the particular case of $\alpha=\pi$-the projective tensor norm-we have the well-known representation of the ideal of linear and continuous operators,

$$
\left(E \otimes_{\pi} F\right)^{*}=\mathcal{L}\left(E, F^{*}\right) .
$$
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Consider now the following case, which comes from a different setting. Take a couple of Banach function spaces $X(\mu)$ and $Y(\mu)$ over a finite measure $\mu$, and consider the Köthe dual $Y(\mu)^{\prime}$ of $Y(\mu)$-the elements of the dual space that can be represented as integrals. Assume also that the so-called product space $X(\mu) \pi Y(\mu)$ is again a Banach function space; the saturation requirements on the product for this to hold are well-known. Then we have that

$$
(X(\mu) \pi Y(\mu))^{\prime}=X(\mu)^{Y(\mu)^{\prime}}
$$

where $X(\mu)^{Y(\mu)^{\prime}}$ is the space of multiplication operators from $X(\mu)$ to the Köthe dual $Y(\mu)^{\prime}$ (see Proposition 2.2 in [4]; see also [2], [9], [13], [17], [18]).

Another example coming in this case from harmonic analysis is given by the Hadamard product; this case has been investigated in [1] and will be analysed in Section 3. The duality formulas that are known for the $\Sigma$ and $\Delta$ constructions coming from the interpolation theory for Banach spaces can be understood in a sense from the same point of view (see [11], Section 3, and the references therein). These examples suggest that the general formula would make sense using an abstract definition of product space and taking into account the associated duality relation and the corresponding space of linear and continuous operators that fit well with the rest of the elements. There are much more examples that can be adapted to this general scheme and are not treated in this paper: for instance, the convolution product on the class of the $L^{p}$-spaces, the pointwise product on $C(K)$ spaces or the composition product in an abstract Banach algebra. All of them may be adapted to make sense in our general framework, providing new representations for the corresponding "dual" spaces.

If $E$ and $F$ are Banach spaces, the projective tensor product $E \otimes_{\pi} F$ is defined to be the linear space of all finite combinations of single tensors $x \otimes y$ together with the norm

$$
\pi(z):=\inf \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|\left\|y_{i}\right\|
$$

where the infimum is computed over all finite representations of $z$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}$. If $c: E \times F \rightarrow G$ is a Banach valued bilinear map, the range of its linearization $\hat{c}$ from $E \otimes_{\pi} F$ to $G$ can be used to define a product structure that can be identified with a subspace of $G$ with a particular norm. Together with some subspaces of operators that play the role of generalized dual spaces, it allows to establish the duality formula that is the objective of this work. It must be noted that our aim is to understand a lot of classical results from a unified point of view and not to prove a genuine "new" result; the proof of the only theorem of the paper is easy and in
a sense standard. How to write results coming from several settings that seem to be completely different as consequences of a unified principle is what we want to show.

In this paper we consider in a sense linear and continuous operators as generalized multiplication operators. This is the reason why we use the usual notation for multiplication operators, which in a sense is the opposite to the one for maps: if $Y$ and $X$ are Banach spaces we will write $Y^{X}$ for the space of linear and continuous operators from $Y$ to $X$, that is usually denoted by $\mathcal{L}(Y, X)$. This classical notation will be used too, depending on the context.

## 2. Generalized duality on Banach spaces and THE PRODUCT DUALITY FORMULA

In this section we introduce the construction that leads to what we call a product of two Banach spaces and the associated product duality formula in terms of bilinear maps and "dual" subspaces of operators.

Let us fix some notation. Let $c: E \times F \rightarrow G$ be a bounded bilinear map and let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G, X)$.
$\triangleright$ We write $\hat{c}$ for the linearization of $c$, i.e., $\hat{c}: E \otimes F \rightarrow G, \hat{c}(x \otimes y):=c(x, y), x \in E$, $y \in F$.
$\triangleright$ We write $c_{L}$-the left linearization of $c$-for the map $c_{L}: E \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F, G)$ given by $c_{L}(x)(y):=c(x, y), x \in E, y \in F$.
$\triangleright$ We write $c_{R}$-the right linearization of $c$-for the map $c_{R}: F \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, G)$ given by $c_{R}(y)(x):=c(x, y), x \in E, y \in F$.

Let us introduce now our basic product structure.
Definition 2.1. Consider a pair of normed spaces $E$ and $F$, and assume that there is a continuous Banach space valued bilinear map $c: E \times F \rightarrow G$. Define a seminorm on $E \otimes F$ by the formula

$$
\pi_{c}(z):=\inf \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|\left\|y_{i}\right\|: \hat{c}(z)=\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\}, \quad z \in E \otimes F
$$

where the infimum is defined for all simple tensors $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}$ such that $\hat{c}(z)=$ $\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right)$. Note that it is a norm if we construct a quotient by identifying the equivalence classes of the tensor product $E \otimes F$ with its range by $\hat{c}$ in $G$, i.e., with the subspace of $\hat{c}\left(E \otimes_{\pi} F\right) \subseteq G$. We define the product space $E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F$ as the normed space $\left(\hat{c}(E \otimes F), \pi_{c}\right)$.

The following scheme may help to visualize the construction above: the product space is a sort of intermediate space in the commutative diagram


In an abuse of notation, we will consider $\hat{c}$ having values both in $G$ and in $E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F$ depending on the context.

Remark 2.2. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a Banach subspace of the space of linear and continuous operators $\mathcal{L}(G, X)$. Fix $T \in \mathcal{V}$. It defines a diagram as

$$
E \otimes_{\pi} F \xrightarrow{\hat{c}} E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F \longleftrightarrow G \xrightarrow{T} X .
$$

Let us define an operator $\varphi_{T}: E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F \rightarrow X$ by $\varphi_{T}(\hat{c}(x \otimes y)):=(T \circ c)(x, y), x \in E$, $y \in F$, and impose its linearity. It actually gives a well-defined continuous operator from $E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F$ to $X$. To see this, note that for each $x \in E$ and $y \in F$,

$$
\left\|\varphi_{T}(\hat{c}(x \otimes y))\right\| \leqslant\|T\|\|c(x, y)\|
$$

and so for each finite sum $z=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}$,

$$
\left\|\varphi_{T}(\hat{c}(z))\right\|=\left\|\varphi_{T}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)\right\| \leqslant\left\|T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)\right\| \leqslant\|T\|\|c\| \pi(z)
$$

Since if $\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} c\left(x_{i}^{1}, y_{i}^{1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} c\left(x_{i}^{2}, y_{i}^{2}\right)$ we have that

$$
\varphi_{T}\left(\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} x_{i}^{1} \otimes y_{i}^{1}\right)\right)=T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} c\left(x_{i}^{1}, y_{i}^{1}\right)\right)=T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} c\left(x_{i}^{2}, y_{i}^{2}\right)\right)=\varphi_{T}\left(\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} x_{i}^{2} \otimes y_{i}^{2}\right)\right),
$$

we also obtain that

$$
\left\|\varphi_{T}(\hat{c}(z))\right\| \leqslant\|T\|\|c\| \pi_{c}(z)
$$

This observation is the basis of the next definition.
Definition 2.3. With the notation used above, consider a closed subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of operators from $G$ to $X$. We define the space $\mathcal{V}\left(E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F, X\right)$ as

$$
\mathcal{V}\left(E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F, X\right):=\left\{\varphi_{T}: E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F \rightarrow X ; T \in \mathcal{V}\right\} \subseteq\left(E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F\right)^{X}
$$

endowed with the natural norm $\left\|\varphi_{T}\right\|:=\sup _{x \in B_{E}, y \in B_{F}}\|T(c(x, y))\|_{X}$.

Definition 2.4. With the notation used above, consider a closed subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of operators from $G$ to $X$. For each $T \in \mathcal{V}$ define the operator from $E$ to $F^{X}$ by

$$
S_{T}(x)(y):=T\left(c_{L}(x)(y)\right)=T(c(x, y)) \in X
$$

We will write $\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}(E, \mathcal{L}(F, X))$ for the normed space of operators

$$
\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}(E, \mathcal{L}(F, X)):=\left\{S_{T}: E \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F, X) ; T \in \mathcal{V}\right\} \subseteq E^{\left(F^{X}\right)}
$$

endowed with the operator norm of $E^{\mathcal{L}(F, X)}$. Clearly, $\left\|S_{T}\right\| \leqslant\|T\|\|c\|$ for all $T \in \mathcal{V}$.
Note that an analogous definition can be done by changing $c_{L}$ for $c_{R}$. Also the dual version of the following result-the product duality formula with $c_{R}$ instead of $c_{L}$-may be obtained.

Theorem 2.5. Let $E, F, G$ and $X$ be Banach spaces, and suppose that a bilinear map $c: E \times F \rightarrow G$ and a class of operators $\mathcal{V}$ from $G$ to $X$ are given. Then

$$
\left(\left(E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F\right)^{X} \supseteq\right) \quad \mathcal{V}\left(E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F, X\right)=\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}(E, \mathcal{L}(F, X)) \quad\left(\subseteq E^{\left(F^{X}\right)}\right)
$$

isometrically.
Proof. Recall that each element of $\mathcal{V}\left(E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F, X\right)$ is given by the following expression: if $x \in E, y \in F$ and $T \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$
\varphi_{T}\left(\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right)\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(T \circ c)\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) .
$$

Clearly, such a continuous operator can also be understood as a continuous linear $\operatorname{map} S_{T}: E \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F, X)$ that is defined by

$$
S_{T}(x)(y):=(T \circ c)(x, y) \in X
$$

for $x \in E$ and $y \in F$. It is continuous since

$$
\left\|S_{T}(x)(y)\right\|=\|T(c(x, y))\| \leqslant\|T\|\|c\|\|x\|\|y\| .
$$

Conversely, the definition of an element $S_{T}$ of $\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}(E, \mathcal{L}(F, X))$ allows to define the corresponding $\varphi_{T}$, in this case by the formula

$$
\varphi_{T}\left(\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right)\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{T}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(y_{i}\right)=T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)
$$

where $\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right) \in E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F$. Then

$$
\left\|\varphi_{T}\left(\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right)\right)\right\| \leqslant\|T\|\|c\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|\left\|y_{i}\right\|\right)
$$

A computation as in Remark 2.2 shows that in fact

$$
\left\|\varphi_{T}\left(\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right)\right)\right\| \leqslant\|T\|\|c\| \pi_{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right) .
$$

Let us show the equality of the norms of $\varphi_{T}$ and $S_{T}$ for a fixed $T \in \nu$. Consider first an element $z \in E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F$ such that $\pi_{c}(z) \leqslant 1$. Fix $\varepsilon>0$ and take a tensor $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}$ such that $\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right)=z$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|\left\|y_{i}\right\|<1+\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi_{T}(z)\right\| & =\left\|\varphi_{T}\left(\hat{c}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right)\right)\right\|=\left\|T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{T}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(y_{i}\right)\right\| \leqslant\left\|S_{T}\right\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|\left\|y_{i}\right\|\right) \leqslant\left\|S_{T}\right\|(1+\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left\|\varphi_{T}\right\| \leqslant\left\|S_{T}\right\|$. For the converse, take $\varepsilon>0$ and norm one elements $x \in E$ and $y \in F$ such that $\left\|S_{T}\right\| \leqslant\left\|S_{T}(x)(y)\right\|+\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S_{T}\right\| & \leqslant\|T(c(x, y))\|+\varepsilon \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{T}(\hat{c}(x \otimes y))\right\|+\varepsilon \\
& \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{T}\right\| \pi_{c}(\hat{c}(x \otimes y))+\varepsilon \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{T}\right\|\|x\|\|y\|+\varepsilon \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{T}\right\|+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $\left\|S_{T}\right\| \leqslant\left\|\varphi_{T}\right\|$, and so $\left\|S_{T}\right\|=\left\|\varphi_{T}\right\|$.

## 3. Examples and applications

3.1. Some direct examples. Let us show first some classical easy examples in which the product duality formula appears in a natural way.

Example 3.1. Let us present an elementary example that shows how the topological dual space $F^{*}$ of a Banach space $F$ can be interpreted in our setting. Let $E=\mathbb{R}$ and $F$ be a Banach space. Let $c: \mathbb{R} \times F \rightarrow G=F$ be given by the product $c(r, y)=r y$ and consider $X=\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{V}=F^{\mathbb{R}}=F^{*}$. Then we clearly have that $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F=F$ and

$$
F^{*}=\mathcal{V}\left(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F, \mathbb{R}\right) \subseteq\left(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F\right)^{\mathbb{R}}=F^{*}
$$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{R}^{F^{*}}=F^{*}$, and so we have the desired equality

$$
\mathcal{V}\left(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F, \mathbb{R}\right)=F^{*}=\mathbb{R}^{F^{*}}=\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}\left(\mathbb{R}, F^{*}\right) .
$$

Example 3.2. Let us see that the duality between the dual space of the projective tensor product $E \otimes_{\pi} F$ and the space of operators from $E$ to $F^{*}$ is a particular case of our formula. Consider the bilinear map $c=\otimes: E \times F \rightarrow E \otimes_{\pi} F$ and $X=\mathbb{R}$. Consider the space of all linear and continuous functionals $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{L}\left(E \otimes_{\pi} F, \mathbb{R}\right)=$ $\left(E \otimes_{\pi} F\right)^{*}$. Then

$$
\mathcal{V}\left(E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F, X\right)=\left(E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F\right)^{*}=E^{\mathcal{L}(F, X)}=E^{F^{*}}=\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}\left(E, F^{*}\right)
$$

Example 3.3. Let us show an example involving $p$-th powers of Banach function spaces (see Section 2.2 in [12], see also [10], page 51 ). We need to introduce first some basic notions on Banach function spaces. Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a complete finite measure space. We follow the definition of Banach function space given in [10], Definition 1.b.17, page 28. A real Banach space $X(\mu)$ of (equivalence classes of) $\mu$-measurable functions is a Banach function space over $\mu$-also called a Köthe function space-if $X(\mu) \subset L^{1}(\mu)$ and contains all the simple functions and, if $\|\cdot\|_{X(\mu)}$ is the norm of the space, $g \in X(\mu)$ and $f$ is a measurable function such that $|f| \leqslant|g|$ $\mu$-a.e., then $f \in X(\mu)$ and $\|f\|_{X(\mu)} \leqslant\|g\|_{X(\mu)}$. The relations $L^{\infty}(\mu) \subset X(\mu) \subset L^{1}(\mu)$ with continuous inclusions always hold. A Banach function space $X$ is order continuous if decreasing positive sequences converging $\mu$-a.e. to 0 converge also in the norm. If $X(\mu)$ and $Y(\mu)$ are Banach function spaces and $X(\mu) \subseteq Y(\mu)$, we define the space of multiplication operators $X(\mu)^{Y(\mu)}$ as the space of (classes of) measurable functions defining operators from $X(\mu)$ to $Y(\mu)$ by pointwise multiplication. The operator norm is considered for this space; then $X(\mu)^{Y(\mu)}$ is also a Banach function space over $\mu$. The Köthe dual of $X(\mu)$ is defined by the real functionals obtained by integrating the evaluation of the elements of $X(\mu)^{L^{1}(\mu)}$; i.e., each $g \in X(\mu)^{\prime}$ can be identified with a continuous functional on $X(\mu)$ via the integral of the multiplication operator $f \in X(\mu) \mapsto f g \in L^{1}(\mu)$. If $X(\mu)$ is order continuous, then $X(\mu)^{\prime}=X(\mu)^{*}$ isometrically.

Let us define now the notion of $p$-th power of a Banach function space $X(\mu)$. Let $0<p \leqslant 1$. The $p$-th power of $X(\mu)$ is defined as the set of functions

$$
X_{[p]}:=\left\{f \in L^{0}(\mu):|f|^{1 / p} \in X(\mu)\right\},
$$

that is, a Banach function space over $\mu$ with the norm $\|f\|_{X(\mu)_{[p]}}:=\left\||f|^{1 / p}\right\|_{X(\mu)}^{p}$, $f \in X(\mu)_{[p]}$. For example, $L^{1}[0,1]_{[1 / 2]}=L^{2}[0,1]$.

Let $X(\mu)$ be an order continuous Banach function space over a finite measure $\mu$. Consider the case $E=X(\mu)_{[1 / p]}, F=X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}, G=X(\mu)$ and $X=\mathbb{R}$. Let $c: X(\mu)_{[1 / p]} \times X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]} \rightarrow X(\mu)$ be given by the pointwise product $c(f, g)=f g$. Then it is well-known that $X(\mu)$ can be written as the (pointwise) product space $X(\mu)_{[1 / p]} X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}$ endowed with a norm given by the expression

$$
\|f\|:=\inf \|g\|_{X(\mu)_{[1 / p]}}\|h\|_{X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}}=\inf \left\||g|^{p}\right\|_{X(\mu)}^{1 / p}\left\||h|^{p^{\prime}}\right\|_{X(\mu)}^{1 / p^{\prime}},
$$

where the infimum is computed over all decompositions of $|f|$ as $|f|=|g||h|, g \in$ $X(\mu)_{[1 / p]}, h \in X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}$ (to see this, use for example Proposition 1.d. 2 in [10]).

Take $\mathcal{V}=X(\mu)^{\prime}$, and consider the space of multiplication operators $\mathcal{M}\left(X(\mu)_{[1 / p]}\right.$, $\left.\left(X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ defined by all the functions $S_{j}$ from $X(\mu)_{[1 / p]}$ to $\left(X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{\prime}$ that are given by the expression

$$
S_{j}(g)(f):=\int j g h \mathrm{~d} \mu, \quad h \in X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}
$$

for each $j \in X(\mu)^{\prime}$. The operator norm is considered for this space. A direct identification shows that this is a description of the space

$$
\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}\left(X(\mu)_{[1 / p]}, \mathcal{L}\left(X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}, \mathbb{R}\right)\right)
$$

for this particular case.
An application of the product duality formula of Theorem 2.5 gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X(\mu)^{\prime} & =\left(X(\mu)_{[1 / p]} X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{V}\left(X(\mu)_{[1 / p]} \otimes_{\pi_{c}} X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}, \mathbb{R}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}\left(X(\mu)_{[1 / p]},\left(X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{M}\left(X(\mu)_{[1 / p]},\left(X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& \subseteq\left(X(\mu)_{[1 / p]}\right)^{\left(X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have found that for each $1 \leqslant p$, the space of multiplication operators defined by elements of $X(\mu)^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}\left(X(\mu)_{[1 / p]},\left(X(\mu)_{\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ coincides in fact with $X(\mu)^{\prime}$ isometrically.

Example 3.4. Another example that provides some information on duality in vector valued function spaces is the one given by the tensor product bilinear map $c=\otimes \operatorname{from} L^{p}(\mu) \times E$ on $L^{p}(\mu, E)$-the space of Bochner $p$-integrable functions-for $1<p<\infty$, and $\mu$ being a finite measure. Suppose also that $E^{*}$ has the RadonNikodým property with respect to $\mu$, and take $\mathcal{V}$ as the dual of the space $L^{p}(\mu, E)$, given by $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mu, E^{*}\right)$; that is, $X=\mathbb{R}$. We have that

$$
L^{p}(\mu) \otimes_{\pi_{c}} E=L^{p}(\mu) \otimes_{\pi} E .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}\left(L^{p}(\mu), \mathcal{L}(E, \mathbb{R})\right)=\{ & S_{g}: L^{p}(\mu) \rightarrow E^{*}: \text { there is } g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mu, E^{*}\right) \text { such that } \\
& \left.S_{g}(f)(x)=\int f\langle x, g(w)\rangle \mathrm{d} \mu(w), f \in L^{p}(\mu), x \in E\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the product duality formula, we have that

$$
\left(L^{p}(\mu) \otimes_{\pi} E\right)^{*} \supseteq \mathcal{V}\left(L^{p}(\mu) \otimes_{\pi} E, \mathbb{R}\right)=\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}\left(L^{p}(\mu), \mathcal{L}(E, \mathbb{R})\right) \subseteq\left(L^{p}(\mu)\right)^{E^{*}}
$$

This equality means that the dual of the Bochner space $L^{p}(\mu, E)$ generates a subspace of linear and continuous functions $L^{p}(\mu) \rightarrow E^{*}$ that is isometrically isomorphic to a space included in the dual of the projective tensor product of $L^{p}(\mu)$ and $E$. Moreover, for a function $g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mu, E^{*}\right)$,

$$
\left\|\varphi_{g}\right\|=\left\|S_{g}\right\|_{\left(L^{p}\right) E^{*}}=\sup _{f \in B_{L^{p}(\mu)}, x \in B_{E}}\left|\int f\langle x, g(w)\rangle \mathrm{d} \mu(w)\right|=\sup _{x \in B_{E}}\|\langle x, g\rangle\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\mu)},
$$

that is, the $p^{\prime}$-Pettis norm for the function $g$.
3.2. Multiplication operators on Banach function spaces. Let us show now another application of our theorem that proves a well-known formula of the theory of Banach lattices of functions regarding the authentic space of multiplication operators. It can be essentially found in [2], [4]; the same result with different notation is given in [17], and more examples of the duality formula in the case of multiplication operators can be found in [13]. Consider a pair of saturated Banach function spaces $X(\mu)$ and $Y(\mu)$ over a finite measure $\mu$ (in the sense that has been explained in Example 3.3) such that $X(\mu) \subseteq Y(\mu)^{\prime}$, where $Y(\mu)^{\prime}$ denotes the Köthe dual of $Y(\mu)$. Then the $\pi$-product space $X(\mu) \pi Y(\mu)$ can be defined as in [4] and is again a Banach function space over $\mu$ with $X(\mu) \pi Y(\mu) \subseteq L^{1}(\mu)$. The definition of this $\pi$-product and its norm is similar to the one of "product" that we give here. However, note that in [4] the product norm in defined for infinite sums, although in other versions only finite decompositions are considered (see [17]). Completeness of the resulting product space is the advantage of considering infinite sums; this is not relevant here, since our product formula concerns the dual of the space, which is the same for the normed space and for its completion. In order to avoid confusion, we write $X(\mu) \pi_{0} Y(\mu)$ for the normed space of linear combinations of single product of functions with the norm computed by means of finite sums.

In Proposition 2.2 (ii) of [4], the following product duality formula is proved:

$$
(X(\mu) \pi Y(\mu))^{\prime}=X(\mu)^{Y(\mu)^{\prime}}
$$

Consider the bilinear map $c: X(\mu) \times Y(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu) \pi_{0} Y(\mu)$ given by the pointwise product $c(f, g):=f g$. Let us define $X=\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{V}=\left(X(\mu) \pi_{0} Y(\mu)\right)^{L^{1}(\mu)}$. A simple computation just taking into account the definition of the elements of each space shows that it coincides with $(X(\mu) \pi Y(\mu))^{\prime}$, the Köthe dual of the true $\pi$-product space. We take $G=X(\mu) \pi_{0} Y(\mu)$ that coincides with $X(\mu) \otimes_{\pi_{c}} Y(\mu)$ by the construction of this space. Then Theorem 2.5 proves the product duality formula given above,

$$
(X(\mu) \pi Y(\mu))^{\prime}=\mathcal{V}\left(X(\mu) \otimes_{\pi_{c}} Y(\mu), \mathbb{R}\right)=\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}(X(\mu), \mathcal{L}(Y(\mu), \mathbb{R}))=X(\mu)^{Y(\mu)^{\prime}}
$$

3.3. Multipliers on Banach spaces of analytic functions. Let us show now another application of the duality formula given in a completely different context. We follow the ideas published in [1] (see also the references therein). Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the space of all formal series $f=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(j) z^{j}$ with complex valued coefficients. We endow this space with the topology given by the seminorms $p_{j}(f):=\hat{f}(j), j=1, \ldots, \infty$. If $g$ is another series like this, the Hadamard product $*$ is defined as

$$
f * g=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(j) \hat{g}(j) z^{j}
$$

Take a pair of spaces $X$ and $Y$ of analytic functions on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ such that each of them contains the polynomials and $X, Y \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ with continuous inclusions; following the definition given in the paper quoted, we say that the spaces are $\mathcal{S}$-admissible. We consider now two relevant spaces.
$\triangleright$ The space that plays the role of product; as in the previous case and following our construction, we use finite sums in the following definitions of the space and the norm. In the original paper, infinite sums are considered, and then the corresponding space is complete. We consider the space $X \bar{\otimes} Y$ defined by functions satisfying the condition that they can be written as $f=\sum_{n=0}^{k} g_{n} * h_{n}$, with $g_{n} \in X$, $h_{n} \in Y$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{k}\left\|g_{n}\right\|\left\|h_{n}\right\|<\infty$; the infimum in this expression for all decompositions of $f$ gives the natural norm for the space. We use the symbol $\bar{\otimes}$ instead of $\otimes$ used by the authors for the sake of clarity, since in our case only finite sums are considered and so we cannot expect completeness. We show in what follows
that the formula also works for finite sums decompositions, as is written when the product duality formula is involved.
$\triangleright$ The space that plays the role of a multiplication operators space. A series $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be a (coefficient) multiplier from $X$ to $Y$ if $\lambda * f \in Y$ for each $f \in X$. We denote the set of all multipliers from $X$ to $Y$ by $(X, Y)$ and define

$$
\|\lambda\|_{(X, Y)}:=\sup \left\{\|\lambda * f\|_{Y}:\|f\|_{X} \leqslant 1\right\} .
$$

The following result is proved in [1], Theorem 2.3. Let $X, Y, Z$ be $\mathcal{S}$-admissible Banach spaces. Then

$$
(X \otimes Y, Z)=(X,(Y, Z)) .
$$

It can be understood again as a particular case of our product duality formula. The bilinear map $*: X \times Y \rightarrow X \bar{\otimes} Y$ plays the role of $c, G:=X \bar{\otimes} Y$ and $\mathcal{V}:=(X \bar{\otimes} Y, Z)$, the space of multipliers from the product to $Z$. Thus, $\mathcal{V}\left(X \otimes_{\pi_{c}} Y, Z\right)=(X \bar{\otimes} Y, Z)$ and $\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}(X, \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)):=(X,(Y, Z))$. Writing our product duality formula, we obtain

$$
(X \bar{\otimes} Y, Z)=\mathcal{V}\left(X \otimes_{\pi_{c}} Y, Z\right)=\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}(X, \mathcal{L}(Y, Z))=(X,(Y, Z)),
$$

a version of the result that was proved in [1] for the norm defined for infinite sums.

### 3.4. The product duality formula for the case of spaces of molecules

 associated to the linearization of Lipschitz bi-forms. Let us show the product duality formula for the case of the spaces of molecules, which appear in the standard techniques for linearizing Lipschitz operators. An operator $T$ from a metric space $\left(A, d_{A}\right)$ on a Banach space $Z$ is said to be Lipschitz if there is a constant $K>0$ such that for any pair of points $x_{1}, x_{2} \in A$, we have$$
\left\|T\left(x^{1}\right)-T\left(x^{2}\right)\right\| \leqslant K d\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) .
$$

The additional requirement $T(0)=0$ for a distinguished point $0 \in A$ is also assumed. The space of molecules $Æ_{A}$ for the metric space $\left(A, d_{A}\right)$ is given by the linear span of all the functions $A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that can be written as differences of characteristic functions of each point, i.e.,

$$
m_{x^{1}, x^{2}}(w):=\chi_{\left\{x^{1}\right\}}(w)-\chi_{\left\{x^{2}\right\}}(w), \quad w, x^{1}, x^{2} \in A .
$$

The norm for this space is given by the formula

$$
L_{A}(z):=\inf \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| d\left(x_{i}^{1}, x_{i}^{2}\right), \quad \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R},
$$

where the infimum is computed over all representations of $z$ as

$$
z=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(\chi_{\left\{x_{i}^{1}\right\}}(w)-\chi_{\left\{x_{i}^{2}\right\}}(w)\right) .
$$

A Lipschitz map $T: A \rightarrow Z$ always satisfies a factorization scheme through the space of molecules as

where $j$ is the Lipschitz isometry $j(x):=m_{x, 0}$ for $x \in A$ and $T_{L}$ is the linearization of $T$ through the space of molecules.

Let us consider the notion of Lipschitz bi-form defined as follows. If $\left(A, d_{A}\right)$ and $\left(D, d_{D}\right)$ are metric spaces, we say that a map $T: A \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz bi-form if there is a constant $K>0$ such that for each $x^{1}, x^{2} \in A$ and $y^{1}, y^{2} \in D$,

$$
\left|T\left(x^{1}, y^{1}\right)-T\left(x^{1}, y^{2}\right)-T\left(x^{2}, y^{1}\right)+T\left(x^{2}, y^{2}\right)\right| \leqslant K d_{A}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) \cdot d_{D}\left(y^{1}, y^{2}\right)
$$

Additionally, $T(x, 0)=T(0, y)=0$ for all $x \in A$ and $y \in D$. If $\left(m_{x^{1}, x^{2}}, m_{y^{1}, y^{2}}\right) \in$ $Æ_{A} \times \oiiint_{D}$, we define the bilinearization $T_{B}$ of $T$ as

$$
T_{B}\left(m_{x^{1}, x^{2}}, m_{y^{1}, y^{2}}\right):=T\left(x^{1}, y^{1}\right)-T\left(x^{1}, y^{2}\right)-T\left(x^{2}, y^{1}\right)+T\left(x^{2}, y^{2}\right),
$$

in the way that allows $T$ to be factored through $T_{B}$ in the natural manner,


We write $\mathcal{B}\left(\oiiint_{A} \times \Vdash_{D}\right)$ for the space of all the Lipschitz bi-forms which can be bilinearized in this way.

Since $T_{B}$ can also be factored through the tensor product $Æ_{A} \otimes_{\pi} Æ_{D}$, the following construction makes sense. Take $G:=\mathbb{Æ}_{A} \odot_{\pi} \mathbb{Æ}_{D}$, the space defined by functions $u: A \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are linear combinations of pointwise products of functions of $Æ_{A}$ and $Æ_{D}$. Define a norm for this space as

$$
\|u\|_{\odot}:=\inf \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{\Phi_{A}}\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{\Phi_{D}}: u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} g_{i}\right\}
$$

where the infimum is computed over all suitable decompositions of $u$ as the one written in the formula. Clearly, an isometric isomorphism can be defined between this space and the tensor product $Æ_{A} \otimes_{\pi} Æ_{D}$. Note that this norm can be computed also with the formula

$$
\pi_{c}(u):=\inf \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| d_{A}\left(x_{i}^{1}, x_{i}^{2}\right) d_{D}\left(y_{i}^{1}, y_{i}^{2}\right): u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} m_{x_{i}^{1}, x_{i}^{2}} m_{y_{i}^{1}, y_{i}^{2}}\right\} .
$$

Take the Banach space $X$ in our abstract construction of the duality as $X:=\mathbb{R}$, and consider the bilinear map $c: Æ_{A} \times \Vdash_{D} \rightarrow \Vdash_{A} \odot_{\pi} \mathbb{Æ}_{D}$ given by the pointwise product $c(f, g):=f g$. Take also $\mathcal{V}=\left(Æ_{A} \otimes_{\pi} Æ_{D}\right)^{*}$. Then, the $\pi_{c}$ norm is the usual projective norm that coincides with $\|\cdot\|_{\odot}$. Therefore, the product space is

$$
Æ_{A} \otimes_{\pi_{c}} Æ_{D}:=\left\{u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} m_{x_{i}^{1}, x_{i}^{2}} \cdot m_{y_{i}^{1}, y_{i}^{2}}: \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, x_{i}^{1}, x_{i}^{2} \in A, y_{i}^{1}, y_{i}^{2} \in D\right\} .
$$

Using Example 3.2 for the duality of the projective tensor product $\left(\oiiint_{A} \otimes_{\pi} Æ_{D}\right)^{*}=$ $\left(\oiiint_{A}\right)^{\mathscr{E}_{D}^{*}}$, we obtain that the class $\mathcal{B}\left(\oiiint_{A} \times Æ_{D}\right)$ of maps from the Cartesian product of metric spaces can be identified with the real maps that factor through $Æ_{A} \otimes_{\pi_{c}}$ $Æ_{D}$. The product duality gives the equality with all the linear and continuous maps from $Æ_{A}$ to $Æ_{D}^{*}$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{B}\left(\Vdash_{A} \times \Vdash_{D}\right)=\left(\oiiint_{A}\right)^{\mathscr{E}_{D}^{*}} .
$$

### 3.5. The product induced by the integration map in spaces of $p$-inte-

 grable functions with respect to a vector measure. Let us give first some necessary definitions related to vector measure integration and the corresponding spaces of functions. If $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ is a measurable space, let $m: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ be a Banach space valued countably additive vector measure. Its semivariation is defined by $\|m\|(A):=\sup _{x^{*} \in B_{Y^{*}}}\left|\left\langle m, x^{*}\right\rangle\right|(A), A \in \Sigma$, where $\left\langle m, x^{*}\right\rangle$ is the scalar measure given by $\left\langle m, x^{*}\right\rangle(A):=\left\langle m(A), x^{*}\right\rangle$. Then there exists $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ such that $m(A)=0$ whenever $\left|\left\langle m(A), x^{*}\right\rangle\right|=0$, which implies that it is equivalent to $m$ (same null sets). Such a measure $\left\langle m, x^{*}\right\rangle$ defined by the composition of $m$ with a functional of $X^{*}$ is called a Rybakov measure for $m$; there always exists at least one ([5], Chapter IX). If $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, a (scalar) measurable function $f$ is said to be $p$-integrable with respect to $m$ if $|f|^{p}$ is integrable with respect to all measures $\left|\left\langle m, x^{*}\right\rangle\right|$ and for each $A \in \Sigma$ there exists an element $\int_{A}|f|^{p} \mathrm{~d} m \in X$ such that $\left.\left.\left\langle\int_{A}\right| f\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} m, x^{*}\right\rangle=\int_{A}|f|^{p} \mathrm{~d}\left\langle m, x^{*}\right\rangle$, $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ (see [12], Chapter 3). The space $L^{p}(m)$ is defined by all the equivalence classes (with respect to any Rybakov measure) of measurable real functions defined on $\Omega$ that are $p$-integrable with respect to $m$. If $p=\infty$, the space $L^{\infty}(m)$ is definedas the space of bounded 1-integrable functions with respect to $m$ that coincides with $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ for any Rybakov measure $\mu$ for $m$; the natural $L^{\infty}$-norm is considered for the space. If $1 \leqslant p<\infty, L^{p}(m)$ is a $p$-convex order continuous Banach function space over any fixed Rybakov measure for $m$ when the a.e. order and the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}(m)}:=\left(\sup _{x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}} \int_{\Omega}|f|^{p} \mathrm{~d}\left|\left\langle m, x^{*}\right\rangle\right|\right)^{1 / p}, \quad f \in L^{p}(m)
$$

are considered (see [6], Proposition 5, [12] and [15], Chapter 3). It must be pointed out that $f g \in L^{1}(m)$ for any $f \in L^{p}(m)$ and $g \in L^{p^{\prime}}(m), 1=1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}$, and for each $f \in L^{p}(m)$

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}(m)}=\sup _{g \in B_{L^{p^{\prime}}(m)}}\left\|\int_{\Omega} f g \mathrm{~d} m\right\|
$$

Thus, $L^{p}(m)$ is defined as the $1 / p$-th power of $L^{1}(m)$.
Consider the bilinear map $c: L^{p}(m) \times L^{p^{\prime}}(m) \rightarrow L^{1}(m)$ given by the pointwise product $c(f, g):=f g$ and the class $\mathcal{V}$ of operators $L^{1}(m) \rightarrow X$ given by $T(h):=$ $\int h h_{0} \mathrm{~d} m$ for $h_{0} \in L^{\infty}(m)$. Then it can be easily seen that

$$
\pi_{c}(h)=\|h\|_{L^{1}(m)}, \quad h \in L^{1}(m)
$$

as in the case of $p$-th powers of Banach function spaces, and $E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F=L^{1}(m)$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}\left(E \otimes_{\pi_{c}} F, X\right)=\{ & v_{I_{m_{h_{0}}}} ; h_{0} \in L^{\infty}(m), v_{I_{m_{h_{0}}}}(f, g)=\int h_{0} f g \mathrm{~d} m \in X, \\
& \left.f \in L^{p}(m), g \in L^{p^{\prime}}(m)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|v_{I_{m_{h_{0}}}}\right\|=\sup _{f \in B_{L^{p}(m)}, g \in B_{L^{p^{\prime}}(m)}}\left\|\int h_{0} f g \mathrm{~d} m\right\|=\left\|h_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(m)} .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}\left(L^{p}(m), \mathcal{L}\left(L^{p^{\prime}}(m), X\right)\right)= & \left\{S_{I_{m_{h_{0}}}}: L^{p}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\left(L^{p^{\prime}}(m), X\right)\right. \\
& \left.S_{I_{m_{h_{0}}}}(f)(\cdot)=\int h_{0} f(\cdot) \mathrm{d} m, h_{0} \in L^{\infty}(m)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|S_{I_{m_{h_{0}}}}\right\|=\sup _{f \in B_{L^{p}(m)}}\left(\sup _{g \in B_{L^{p^{\prime}}(m)}}\left\|\int h_{0} f g \mathrm{~d} m\right\|\right)=\left\|v_{I_{m_{h_{0}}}}\right\|=\left\|h_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(m)} .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\mathcal{V}\left(L^{p}(m) \otimes_{\pi_{c}} L^{p^{\prime}}(m), X\right)=\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}\left(L^{p}(m), \mathcal{L}\left(L^{p^{\prime}}(m), X\right)\right)=L^{\infty}(m) .
$$

This formula concerns the vector measure duality between spaces of $p$-integrable functions, which was first studied in [14], [15] (see also [7], [8], [16] and the references therein). Roughly speaking, in particular it asserts that the "vector dual" space of $L^{1}(m)$ of the vector measure $m$-i.e., the dual space that appears when the duality is defined by the bilinear operator induced by the integration map-is always $L^{\infty}(m)$, since the usual dual space of $L^{1}(m)$ does not coincide with this space in the general case.
3.6. An application to spaces of integrable functions with respect to a vector measure. Let $m: \Sigma \rightarrow Z$ be a Banach space valued countably additive vector measure and let $1<p<\infty$. Consider the space of $p$-integrable functions with respect to a vector measure $L^{p}(m)$ and take it as the space $E$ of our general setting. Consider for each element $z^{*} \in Z^{*}$ the scalar measure $\left\langle m, z^{*}\right\rangle(A):=\left\langle m(A), z^{*}\right\rangle$, $A \in \Sigma$. Fix a Rybakov measure $\mu=\left|\left\langle m, z_{0}^{*}\right\rangle\right|$ and consider the linear space of the Radon-Nikodým derivatives

$$
\mathcal{R N}(m):=\left\{h=\frac{\mathrm{d}\left\langle m, z^{*}\right\rangle}{\mathrm{d} \mu}, z^{*} \in Z^{*}\right\} \subseteq\left(L^{1}(m)\right)^{\prime}
$$

endowed with the norm of $\left(L^{1}(m)\right)^{\prime} ;$ take it as $F$.
In recent years, some effort has been made in order to find how the set $\mathcal{R N}(m)$ can be used to find a description of the dual space of the spaces $L^{p}(m)$. In [7], [14], such a description has been found, although some requirements are needed; the main application of this representation is to give a useful description of the weak topology in this space, which was finally done in [8] (see also [16]).

In what follows we show how we can use the product construction to clarify this problem. Let $G:=\left(L^{p^{\prime}}(m)\right)^{\prime}$ and consider the Cartesian product $L^{p}(m) \times \mathcal{R N}(m)$ and the bilinear map

$$
c: L^{p}(m) \times \mathcal{R N}(m) \rightarrow\left(L^{p^{\prime}}(m)\right)^{\prime}
$$

given by the pointwise product $c(f, h)=f h \in\left(L^{p^{\prime}}(m)\right)^{\prime}$. It is well defined, since for all $g \in L^{p^{\prime}}(m)$ and $f \in L^{p}(m), f g \in L^{1}(m)$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int f h g \mathrm{~d} \mu & =\int(f g) h \mathrm{~d} \mu \leqslant\|f g\|_{L^{1}(m)}\|h\|_{\left(L^{1}(m)\right)^{\prime}} \\
& \leqslant\|f\|_{L^{p}(m)}\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(m)}\|h\|_{\left(L^{1}(m)\right)^{\prime}}, \quad h \in \mathcal{R N}(m) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For all the elements $w$ in the linear space generated by $c\left(L^{p}(m) \times \mathcal{R N}(m)\right)$, define

$$
\pi_{c}(w):=\inf \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{L^{p}(m)}\left\|h_{i}\right\|_{\left(L^{1}(m)\right)^{\prime}},
$$

where the infimum is computed over all decompositions of $w$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} h_{i}, f_{i} \in L^{p}(m)$ and $h_{i} \in \mathcal{R N}(m) \subseteq\left(L^{1}(m)\right)^{\prime}$. Take $G$ as the space of all such $w$ with the norm $\pi_{c}$.

Consider now the space $L^{p^{\prime}}(m)$ and take $\mathcal{V}$ as the space of real functionals from $\left(L^{p^{\prime}}(m)\right)^{\prime}$ defined by the elements of $L^{p^{\prime}}(m)$; we have taken $X:=\mathbb{R}$.

Let us write what is obtained by applying the product duality formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}\left(L^{p}(m) \otimes_{\pi_{c}}\right. & \mathcal{R N}(m), \mathbb{R})=\mathcal{V} \odot c_{L}\left(L^{p}(m), \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R N}(m), \mathbb{R})\right) \\
= & \left\{S_{h}: L^{p}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{R N}(m)^{*} ; h \in L^{p^{\prime}}(m), S_{h}(f)(\cdot):=\int h f \mathrm{~d} \mu\right\} \\
= & L^{p^{\prime}}(m) \subseteq L^{p}(m)^{\mathcal{R N}(m)^{*}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L^{p^{\prime}}(m)$ is endowed with its own norm. Therefore, by using the basic properties of the norm of the spaces $L^{p}(m)$ and the corresponding spaces of multiplication operators that can be found in [12], Chapter 3, it can be easily seen that the first equality in

$$
L^{p^{\prime}}(m)=\mathcal{V}\left(L^{p}(m) \otimes_{\pi_{c}} \mathcal{R N}(m), \mathbb{R}\right) \subseteq\left(L^{p}(m) \otimes_{\pi_{c}} \mathcal{R N}(m)\right)^{\mathbb{R}}
$$

holds isometrically. In other words, $L^{p}(m) \otimes_{\pi_{c}} \mathcal{R N}(m)$ is a sort of predual space of $L^{p^{\prime}}(m)$, in the sense that this space can be identified with the linear functional $\phi_{h}$ from $L^{p}(m) \otimes_{\pi_{c}} \mathcal{R N}(m)$ that factors as

for $h \in L^{p^{\prime}}(m)$.
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