

APPLIED GENERAL TOPOLOGY Universidad Politécnica de Valencia Volume 1, No. 1, 2000 pp. 113 - 118

# Flows equivalences

GABRIEL SOLER LÓPEZ\*

ABSTRACT. Given a differential equation on an open set  $\mathcal{O}$  of an *n*-manifold we can associate to it a pseudo-flow, that is, a flow whose trajectories may not be defined in the entire real line. In this paper we prove that this pseudo-flow is always equivalent to a flow with its trajectories defined in all  $\mathbb{R}$ . This result extends a similar result of Vinograd stated in the *n*-dimensional euclidean space.

2000 AMS Classification: Primary 37E35, 37C15. Secondary 58C25. Keywords: Flow, pseudo-flow, equivalence diffeomorphism.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

It is well known that given a  $C^r$ -flow  $(r \ge 1)$  on an *n*-manifold  $\mathcal{M}, \Psi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ , we can associate to it a  $C^{r-1}$ -autonomous differential equation y' = f(y). Where f maps  $\mathcal{M}$  onto its tangent bundle,  $T\mathcal{M}$ , in the following way  $f(y) = \frac{\partial \Psi}{dt}(0, y)$ .

The converse does not work in general because the solutions of a differential equation could not be defined in the entire real line. For example, if we take the autonomous differential equation  $(x', y') = (1, 1 + \tan^2(x))$ , the solutions are defined for each initial condition  $(x_0, y_0)$  in an interval of length  $\pi$ . Then we can not associate to this autonomous differential equation a flow. However, if the manifold is compact, the converse does work [1, Theorem 4, §1.9] and [5, p.11].

Let us introduce some terminology. Given two flows  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  on an *n*manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  we say that they are  $C^r$ -equivalent if there exists a  $C^r$ -diffeomorphism  $h : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$  such that h conserves the orbits of  $\Phi$ . That is, the subsets  $h(\Phi(\mathbb{R}, p))$  and  $\Psi(\mathbb{R}, h(p))$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  are equal for any  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ . Moreover the orientations of the curves  $\Psi_{h(p)}(t) = \Psi(t, h(p))$  and  $h \circ \Phi_p(t) = h \circ \Phi(t, p)$  coincide for any  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ , that is, there exists a continuous increasing map  $i_p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ for which  $h \circ \Phi_p(t) = \Psi_{h(p)}(i_p(t))$ . When we use the norm of a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we are always using the norm  $||x|| = ||x||_{\infty} = \max_{i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}} \{|x_1|, |x_2|, \ldots, |x_n|\}$ .

<sup>\*</sup>This paper has been partially supported by the D.G.I.C.Y.T. grant PB98-0374-C03-01.

By  $\mathbb{R}_+$  we denote the set of positive real numbers. As usual, given  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , Bd( $\mathcal{O}$ ) denote the topological boundary of the set  $\mathcal{O}$ .

From now on, when speaking of  $C^0$ -differential equations they are supposed to be continuous and locally Lipschitz. Let  $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and take a  $C^r$ -differential equation y' = f(y) on an open set  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{M}$ ,  $f : \mathcal{O} \to T\mathcal{O}$ . The classical theory of differential systems assures that there exists a  $C^r$ -map  $\psi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{O}$  called pseudo-flow, where  $\mathcal{D}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O}$  and for each  $p \in \mathcal{O}$  the curve  $\psi_p(t) = \psi(t, p)$  is the solution of the equation y' = f(y) with initial condition y(0) = p. Analogously to the definition of equivalence between flows we say that two pseudo-flows  $\phi : \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$  and  $\psi : \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$  are  $C^r$ -equivalent if there exists a  $C^r$ -diffeomorphism  $h : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$  such that h conserves the orbits of  $\phi$  and the orientations of the curves  $\psi_{h(p)}(t)$  and  $h \circ \phi_p(t)$  coincide for all  $p \in \mathcal{O}$ . With this terminology we will say that two autonomous differential equations are  $C^r$ -equivalent if their associated pseudo-flows are  $C^r$ -equivalent, moreover the diffeomorphism h will be called equivalence diffeomorphism.

The basic question in which we are interested is to prove that for any  $C^r$ autonomous differential system in an open set  $\mathcal{O}$ , we can find a  $C^r$ -equivalent autonomous differential equation such that the associated pseudo-flow is in fact a flow, that is, defined in all  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O}$ . This question was solved by Vinograd [4, pp. 19-21] when the phase space is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Vinograd). Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $f : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a  $C^r$ -map  $(r \ge 0)$ . Then there exists a  $C^r$ -map  $g : \mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the equations y' = f(y) and y' = g(y) are  $C^r$ -equivalent and the associated pseudo-flow to g is a flow. Moreover, the equivalence diffeomorphism is the identity map. (When r = 0 we consider f and g to be locally Lipschitz)

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem that generalizes the previous one:

**Theorem 1.2** (Main Result). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an n-manifold,  $\mathcal{O}$  an open set of  $\mathcal{M}$ and  $f: \mathcal{O} \to T\mathcal{O}$  a  $C^r$ -map  $(r \ge 0)$ . Then there exists a  $C^r$ -map  $g: \mathcal{O} \to T\mathcal{O}$ such that the equations y' = f(y) and y' = g(y) are  $C^r$ -equivalent and the associated pseudo-flow to g is a flow. (When r = 0 we consider f and g to be locally Lipschitz)

Section 2 is devoted to state some classical results that we need in the proof of our result. We also construct a positive  $C^{\infty}$ -function that vanish only in the boundary of  $\mathcal{O}$ . This function will be essential in the proof of the Main Theorem in Section 3.

# 2. Preliminary Results

In the sequel we are going to use the Whitney theorem that provides a  $C^{\infty}$ *n*-manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  (see [2, §1.3]). Another Whitney theorem about function extensions is stated and used in the proof of Lemma 2.4 to construct a scalar  $C^{\infty}$ -function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  vanishing only in the boundary of an open set  $\mathcal{O}$  and being strictly positive in  $\mathcal{O}$ . **Theorem 2.1** (Whitney). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an *n*-manifold of class  $C^r$ ,  $r \geq 1$ . Then there exists a  $C^r$ -embedding  $f : \mathcal{M}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  such that  $f(\mathcal{M})$  is a closed  $C^{\infty}$ -submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ .

We will use another less known Whitney's Theorem. Its proof can be found combining [7, p. 177,Th. 4] and [8]. We introduce some necessary terminology for its statement: if  $\beta \in (\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N})^n$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and f is a map defined on an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote  $\beta! = \beta_1!\beta_2!\ldots\beta_n!$ ,  $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_n$ ,  $y^{\beta} = y_1^{\beta_1}y_2^{\beta_2}\ldots y_n^{\beta_n}$  and  $D_{\beta}f(y) = \frac{\partial^{\beta_1+\beta_2+\cdots+\beta_n}}{\partial x_1^{\beta_1}\partial x_2^{\beta_2}\ldots \partial x_n^{\beta_n}}f(y)$ . As usual we mean  $D_0f = f$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (Whitney). Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed set (as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Then the following statements hold.

- (1) Let  $f^0: C \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be a bounded Lipschitz map. Then there is a bounded Lipschitz map  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $f(x) = f^0(x)$  for any  $x \in C$ .
- (2) Let  $1 \leq k \leq \infty$  and let  $f^{\beta} : C \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be arbitrary maps for any  $\beta \in (\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N})^n$  with  $0 \leq |\beta| \leq k$ . Let  $F^{\gamma,r} : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be defined by

$$F^{\gamma,r}(x,y) = \frac{f^{\gamma}(y) - \sum_{0 \le |\beta| \le r} \frac{f^{\gamma+\beta}(x)(y-x)^{\beta}}{\beta!}}{\|x-y\|^r}$$

if  $x \neq y$  and

$$F^{\gamma,r}(x,x) = 0$$

otherwise, for any  $\gamma \in (\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N})^n$  and  $0 \leq r < \infty$  with  $|\gamma| + r \leq k$ . Suppose that all maps  $F^{\gamma,r}$  are continuous. Then there is a  $C^k$  map  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $D_{\beta}f = f^{\beta}$  for any  $\beta \in (\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N})^n, 0 \leq |\beta| \leq k$ .

The following result is an easy consequence of the previous Theorem:

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed set decomposed into disjoint sets A and B,  $C = A \cup B$ . Given two real numbers a and b define  $f_* : C \to \mathbb{R}^m$  as follows:  $f_*(x) = a$  for any  $x \in A$  and  $f_*(x) = b$  for any  $x \in B$ . Then there is a  $C^{\infty}$ -map  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) = f_*(x)$  for any  $x \in C$  and any partial derivate of f is equal to 0 in C.

Proof. Take for each  $\beta \in (\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N})^n$ ,  $f^{\beta} : C \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $f^{\beta} \equiv 0$  for any  $0 < |\beta| < \infty$  and  $f^0 = f_*$ . It is clear that the functions  $f^{\beta}$  satisfy the conditions of part 2 of Theorem 2.2. Then there exists a  $C^{\infty}$ -function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  that extends  $f^0$  and whose derivates are 0 in C.

We also need some previous lemmas:

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a nonclosed set. There exists a  $C^{\infty}$ -map  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, 1[$  such that f(x) = 0 for any  $x \in Bd(\mathcal{O})$  and  $f(x) \in [0, 1[$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ .

*Proof.* We are going to construct the  $C^{\infty}$ -map as the sum of a function series. Thus we are going to construct  $C^{\infty}$ -functions  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, 1]$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $C_j = \emptyset$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{O} : 1 < d(x, \operatorname{Bd}(\mathcal{O}))\}$  and for  $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ consider  $C_j = \{x \in \mathcal{O} : \frac{1}{j} < d(x, \operatorname{Bd}(\mathcal{O})) < \frac{1}{j-1}\}$  (eventually  $C_j = \emptyset$  for j small). Let  $A = \overline{C_i}$  and  $B = \bigcup_{j>i+1} \overline{C_j} \bigcup \bigcup_{j<i-1} \overline{C_j} \bigcup \operatorname{Bd}(\mathcal{O})$ . It is clear that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and A and B are closed sets. We define

$$g_{i*}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in B. \end{cases}$$

Using that  $\mathbb{R}$  and ]-2, 2[ are  $C^{\infty}$ -diffeomorphic (being 0 a fixed point of the diffeomorphism) and the precedent Corollary, we extend  $g_{i*}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  obtaining the  $C^{\infty}$ -function  $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to ]-2, 2[$  that vanishes in  $\mathrm{Bd}(\mathcal{O})$  and verifies  $g_i(x) = 1$  if  $x \in \overline{C_i}$ . Define  $f_i = \frac{g_i^2}{4}$  and obtain the  $C^{\infty}$ -function  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, 1[$  with  $f_i(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathrm{Bd}(\mathcal{O})$ .

Let us define  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} f_i(x)$  which is clearly uniformly convergent because it is bounded by the series of real number  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2}$ . Moreover, the function f is  $C^{\infty}$  in each point  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  because, in a neighborhood of x the function f is at most the sum of three  $C^{\infty}$ -functions  $f_j$  different of 0. Then fis  $C^{\infty}$ . Obviously the function f can not vanish in the set  $\mathcal{O}$ . That concludes the proof.

Finally we state a Theorem about maximal solutions of differential equations. Find the proof, e.g., in [3, p.195, Th. 25.9].

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a continuous bounded map. Then every maximal solution of y' = f(y) is defined in the entire real line.

## 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

**Theorem** (Main Result). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an n-manifold,  $\mathcal{O}$  an open set of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $f: \mathcal{O} \to T\mathcal{O}$  a  $C^r$ -map  $(r \ge 0)$ . Then there exists a  $C^r$ -map  $g: \mathcal{O} \to T\mathcal{O}$  such that the equations y' = f(y) and y' = g(y) are  $C^r$ -equivalent and the associated pseudo-flow to g is a flow. (When r = 0 we consider f and g to be locally Lipschitz)

*Proof.* As  $\mathcal{M}$  is embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  thanks to Whitney theorem,  $i : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ , we can see the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  and the vector field f in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . Thus we have  $f : \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . Denote by  $f_j$  the *j*-th component of  $f, f_j : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^{\infty}$ -function equal to 0 in Bd( $\mathcal{O}$ ) and strictly

positive outside (see precedent section, Lemma 2.3). Define  $\gamma : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}$  as  $\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2n+1} f_j(x)^2\right)}$  and  $G : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  as

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma(x)\lambda(x)f(x) & \text{si } x \in \mathcal{O}, \\ 0 & \text{si } x \notin \mathcal{M} \backslash \mathcal{O}. \end{cases}$$

G is bounded by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2e}}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma(x)\lambda(x)f(x)\|_{\infty} &\leq & \|\gamma(x)f(x)\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq & \sup_{a\in\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{a}{e^{a^2}}\right) \\ &\leq & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2e}} \end{aligned}$$

and it is locally lipstchitz in  $\mathcal{M}$ : it is clear that G is locally Lipschitz inside and outside of  $\mathcal{O}$ , let us now show that G is locally Lipschitz in Bd( $\mathcal{O}$ ).

Take  $y \in Bd(\mathcal{O})$  and x in a neighborhood  $U_y$  of y. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|G(x) - G(y)\| &= \|\gamma(x)\lambda(x)f(x)\| \\ &= \|\gamma(x)\lambda(x)f(x)\| \\ &\leq \|\gamma(x)f(x)\|\|\lambda(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2e}}\|\lambda(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda$  is  $C^{\infty}$  it will be locally Lipschitz and taking  $U_x$  small enough we will have

$$||G(x) - G(y)|| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2e}} ||\lambda(x)|| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2e}} M ||x - y||.$$

Therefore G is locally Lipschitz in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

By Theorem 2.1  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . Now we can use Theorem 2.2 for extending G to a locally Lipschitz function  $G^1 : \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  with  $G^1|_{\mathcal{M}} = G$ . Hence the autonomous differential equation  $y' = G^1(y)$  has uniqueness of solutions and using Theorem 2.5, the solutions are defined in the entire real line.

Denote by  $\psi$  the pseudo-flow associated to f and by  $\phi$  the restriction of the flow associated to  $G^1$  to  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O}$ . Notice that  $\phi$  is the pseudo-flow associated to y' = g(y) where  $g : \mathcal{O} \to T\mathcal{O}$  is defined by  $g(y) = G^1(y)$ . We must see that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are  $C^r$ -equivalent with equivalence diffeomorphism Id :  $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}$ , that is, we must prove that the orbits of  $\psi$  are orbits of  $\phi$  with the same orientation. Let  $y : I = (a, b) \to \mathcal{O}$  be an orbit of  $\psi$ , that is y'(t) = f(y(t)). Consider the real function  $s : I = (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $s(t) = c + \int_c^t \frac{1}{\gamma(y(u))\lambda(y(u))} du$  with  $c \in I$ . As  $s'(t) = \frac{1}{\gamma(y(t))\lambda(y(t))} > 0$ , s is strictly increasing and there exists its inverse  $t : s(I) \to (a, b)$ . Define  $z : s(I) \to \mathcal{O}$  as z(s) = y(t(s)) and notice that

$$z'(s) = y'(t(s))\frac{1}{s'(t(s))} = f(y(t(s)))\gamma(y(t(s)))\lambda(y(t(s))) = G(z(s)) = g(z(s))$$

and z(c) = y(t(c)) = y(c). Thus the orbits of  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  coincide and also their orientations because s is strictly increasing.

#### References

- A. Andronov and E. Leontovich, Qualitative theory of second-order dynamic systems, (John Wiley And Sons, New York, 1973).
- [2] M.W. Hirsch, *Differential topology*. (Springer Verlag, New York, 1976).
- [3] V. Jiménez López, *Ecuaciones diferenciales*, (Universidad de Murcia, Murcia, 2000).
- [4] V. Nemytskii and V. Stepanov, Qualitative theory of differential equations. (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1960).
- [5] Jacob Palis and Wellington De Melo, Geometric theory of dynamical systems. (Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1982).
- [6] Jorge Sotomayor, Lições de equações diferenciais ordinárias. (Instituto De Matemática Pura e Aplicada Rio De Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 1979).
- [7] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions. (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970).
- [8] H. Whitney, Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1934), 63-89.

Gabriel Soler López

Received February 2001 Revised version April 2001

GABRIEL SOLER LÓPEZ Departamento de Matemática Aplicada y Estadística Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena Paseo Alfonso XIII 52 30203-Cartagena Spain E-mail address: gabriel.soler@upct.es