

# Contents

---

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	2
1.2 Problem statement . . . . .	3
1.3 Thesis goals . . . . .	4
1.4 The proposed solution . . . . .	6
1.5 Research methodology . . . . .	7
1.6 Thesis context . . . . .	8
1.7 Thesis structure . . . . .	9
<b>2 Background</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Business Process Management . . . . .	12
2.1.1 Business process modeling . . . . .	12
2.1.2 Business process execution . . . . .	17
2.1.3 Analysis and discussion . . . . .	19
2.2 The Internet of Things . . . . .	19

---

2.2.1	Technological support . . . . .	21
2.2.2	Auto-ID frameworks . . . . .	24
2.2.3	Languages for specification . . . . .	29
2.2.4	Analysis and discussion . . . . .	32
2.3	Mobile applications . . . . .	33
2.3.1	The Android platform . . . . .	34
2.4	Conclusions . . . . .	37
<b>3</b>	<b>State of the art</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1	Smart workflows . . . . .	42
3.1.1	Analysis and discussion . . . . .	46
3.2	Physical Mobile Interactions . . . . .	47
3.2.1	Analysis and discussion . . . . .	52
3.3	Mobile business processes . . . . .	53
3.3.1	Analysis and discussion . . . . .	57
3.4	Conclusions . . . . .	58
<b>4</b>	<b>A design method for physical mobile workflows</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	Design method overview . . . . .	63
4.1.1	Why a modeling approach? . . . . .	64
4.1.2	Steps of the method . . . . .	65
4.1.3	Guidance through the process . . . . .	68
4.2	Capturing technology-independent requirements . . . . .	71
4.2.1	The obtrusiveness concept . . . . .	74
4.2.2	Physical interaction . . . . .	77
4.3	Technological requirements . . . . .	80
4.3.1	Technological analysis . . . . .	80
4.3.2	Deployment configuration . . . . .	82
4.4	Validating the design with users . . . . .	83
4.4.1	Requirements for the evaluation . . . . .	84

---

4.4.2	Fast-prototyping for physical mobile workflows . . . . .	85
4.5	Tool support for the method . . . . .	89
4.5.1	The Parkour metamodel . . . . .	90
4.5.2	Model-based validation . . . . .	96
4.6	Conclusions . . . . .	99
<b>5</b>	<b>Automating the development</b>	<b>101</b>
5.1	The architectural process . . . . .	103
5.2	Elaboration of the architecture . . . . .	106
5.2.1	Architecture requirements . . . . .	106
5.2.2	Technology-independent architecture . . . . .	107
5.2.3	Programming model . . . . .	112
5.2.4	Technology mapping . . . . .	117
5.2.5	Mock Platform . . . . .	124
5.2.6	Vertical prototype . . . . .	126
5.3	Automating the development process . . . . .	129
5.3.1	Architecture metamodel . . . . .	130
5.3.2	Using design concepts for development . . . . .	133
5.3.3	Glue code generation . . . . .	139
5.4	Conclusions . . . . .	144
<b>6</b>	<b>Adapting obtrusiveness at run-time</b>	<b>147</b>
6.1	Adapting the obtrusiveness level . . . . .	150
6.1.1	The obtrusiveness adaptation space . . . . .	152
6.1.2	Defining context conditions . . . . .	154
6.1.3	Defining transitions . . . . .	156
6.2	Reconfiguring architecture components . . . . .	159
6.2.1	Model-based reconfiguration . . . . .	160
6.2.2	Reconfiguration policies specification . . . . .	162
6.3	Development of reconfigurable components . . . . .	164

---

6.3.1	Develop alternative components . . . . .	165
6.3.2	Connect sources of contextual information . . . . .	166
6.3.3	Extend the infrastructure . . . . .	168
6.3.4	Consider efficiency aspects . . . . .	169
6.4	Conclusions . . . . .	171
<b>7</b>	<b>Validation of the proposal</b>	<b>173</b>
7.1	Designing the smart workflow . . . . .	175
7.1.1	User activities . . . . .	175
7.1.2	Requirements for physical interaction . . . . .	178
7.1.3	Technological analysis . . . . .	183
7.1.4	Deployment configuration . . . . .	185
7.2	Early-stage evaluation . . . . .	187
7.2.1	Workflow re-design . . . . .	191
7.3	Obtaining a final implementation . . . . .	192
7.3.1	Task support . . . . .	193
7.3.2	Integrating identification technologies . . . . .	194
7.3.3	Communication among systems . . . . .	195
7.4	Experience applying the approach . . . . .	196
7.4.1	Case studies . . . . .	196
7.4.2	Benefits obtained . . . . .	202
7.4.3	Limitations detected . . . . .	205
7.5	Conclusions . . . . .	207
<b>8</b>	<b>Concluding remarks</b>	<b>209</b>
8.1	Contributions . . . . .	210
8.2	Publications . . . . .	210
8.2.1	Detail of the publications . . . . .	211
8.2.2	Relevance of the publications . . . . .	213
8.3	Future work . . . . .	215

---

<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>217</b>
<b>A Metamodels</b>	<b>233</b>
A.1 Parkour metamodel . . . . .	234
A.1.1 Constraints . . . . .	237
A.1.2 Tool support . . . . .	244
A.2 Presto metamodel . . . . .	246
A.2.1 Constraints . . . . .	249
A.2.2 Tool support . . . . .	252
<b>B Experimental results</b>	<b>255</b>
B.1 Perceived usability of a mobile business service . . . . .	256
B.1.1 Feedback from users . . . . .	256
B.2 Fit for mobile working context . . . . .	258
B.2.1 Feedback from users . . . . .	258
B.3 Perceived impact on mobile work productivity . . . . .	260
B.3.1 Feedback from users . . . . .	261
B.4 Additional questions . . . . .	261

