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#### Abstract

In this paper, a complex dynamical study of a parametric Chebyshev-Halley type family of iterative methods on quadratic polynomial is presented. The stability of the fixed points is analyzed in terms of the parameter of the family. We also calculate the critical points building their corresponding parameter planes which allow us to analyze the qualitative behaviour of this family. Moreover, we locate some dynamical planes showing different pathological aspects of this family.
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## 1 Introduction

The study of multiple phenomena that commonly appear in various areas of experimental science and technology lead, in a more or less natural way, to formulate problems whose mathematical expression is a nonlinear equation or a differential equation or, more often, a system of equations. The problem is that in practice it is very difficult, if not impossible, to find the exact solution of these equations; therefore, it is necessary to resort to numerical approximations by using iterative methods. This means that the output of the method is a sequence of images $\left\{z_{0}, R\left(z_{0}\right), R^{2}\left(z_{0}\right), \ldots, R^{n}\left(z_{0}\right), \ldots\right\}$ for the initial condition $z_{0}$, where $R$ is a function that represents the fixed-point operator of the iterative scheme. Therefore, it can be seen as a discrete dynamical system and we can study it from this point of view.

The historical seed of complex dynamics goes back to Ernst Schröder and Arthur Cayley who, at the end of the nineteenth century, investigated the global dynamics of Newton's method in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, applied on polynomials of degree two. They were able to see that there are one neighborhood around each root of the quadratic polynomial where Newton's method converges; in fact, these domains can be extended to two half planes and the boundary straight line between them is precisely the bisectrix. Furthermore, any Newton's map for a quadratic polynomial with two different roots is conformal conjugated to the map $z^{2}$ in the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Nevertheless, Newton's method applied on polynomials of degree greater than two is a more complicated rational function. In this case, the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is considered as the domain of the rational mapping $R$ associated with the iterative method.

The study of the dynamics of Newton's method has been extended to other one-point iterative schemes used for solving nonlinear equations, with convergence order up to 3 (see, e.g. [Amat, Busquier \& Plaza(2007)]). In some previous papers, we have considered the dynamical study of Chebyshev-Halley's family [Cordero et al.(2013b)], the King's family [Cordero et al.(2013a)], the c-family [Campos et al.(2014a)] and finally, the ( $\alpha, c$ )-iterative

[^0]class, which includes Chebyshev-Halley and c-families [Campos et al.(2014b)]. A dynamical study of the operators defined by the iterative methods help us to know more widely the regions where these methods have a good behavior.

The natural space for iterating a rational map $R$ is the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. For a given rational map $R$, the sphere splits into two complementary domains: the Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(R)$ where the family of iterates $\left\{R^{n}(z)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a normal family, and the Julia set $\mathcal{J}(R)$ where the family of iterates fails to be a normal family. The Fatou set, when nonempty, is given by the union of, possibly, infinitely many open sets in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, usually called Fatou components, that is, the Fatou set is composed by the set of points whose orbits tend to an attractor (fixed point, periodic orbit, infinity, ...). On the other hand, it is known that the Julia set is a closed, totally invariant, perfect nonempty set, and coincides with the closure of the set of repelling periodic points. For a deep review on iteration of rational maps see [Beardon(1991)].

Given a rational function $R: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Riemann sphere, the orbit of a point $z_{0} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined as:

$$
\left\{z_{0}, R\left(z_{0}\right), R^{2}\left(z_{0}\right), \ldots, R^{n}\left(z_{0}\right), \ldots\right\}
$$

We are interested in the study of the asymptotic behavior of the orbits depending on the initial condition $z_{0}$, that is, we are going to analyze the phase plane of the map $R$ defined by the different iterative methods. To obtain these phase spaces, the first of all is to classify the starting points from the asymptotic behavior of their orbits.

A $z_{0} \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a fixed point if it satisfies: $R\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0}$. A periodic point $z_{0}$ of period $p>1$ is a point such that $R^{p}\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0}$ and $R^{k}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq z_{0}, k<p$. A pre-periodic point is a point $z_{0}$ that is not periodic but there exists a $k>0$ such that $R^{k}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is periodic. A critical point $z_{0}$ is a point where the derivative of rational function vanishes, $R^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$.

On the other hand, a fixed point $z_{0}$ is called attractor if $\left|R^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<1$, superattractor if $\left|R^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=0$, repulsor if $\left|R^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>1$ and parabolic if $\left|R^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$.

The basin of attraction of an attractor $\alpha$ is defined as the set of pre-images of any order:

$$
\mathcal{A}(\alpha)=\left\{z_{0} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}: R^{n}\left(z_{0}\right) \rightarrow \alpha, n \rightarrow \infty\right\} .
$$

As we have said, iterative methods are used for finding roots of a nonlinear equation and, from a dynamical point of view, these roots are fixed points of the operator $R$ associated to the method; we conducted this study in Section 2, with particular emphasis in the study of the region of the parameter plane where the fixed points are attractive (Propositions 1 and 3).

The basin of attraction of an attractor needs at least one critical point inside, so, it is important the number of critical points because they are the causative of the instability of numerical methods (Section 3). In Section 3 we also build the parameter planes associated to the different free critical points. Finally, in Section 4 , we study some methods coming from the parametric family studied in this paper, specially chosen for their stable or unstable behavior, and show the dynamical planes for these values of the parameter.

### 1.1 A multipoint variant of Chebyshev-Halley's family

In this paper we study the dynamics of a multipoint variant of Chebyshev's method for solving a nonlinear equation $f(z)=0$. Considering the Newton-like iterative method as a predictor

$$
y_{n}=z_{n}-\alpha \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}
$$

Chebyshev-Halley's family is modified by using the second-order derivative on $y_{n}$ instead of $z_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n+1}=z_{n}-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{\left(f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)^{2}-a f\left(z_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $a=0$ and $\alpha=0$ we have the Chebyshev's method. In [Behl \& Kanwar(2013)], the authors present a new fourth-order variant of Chebyshev method from this family when $\alpha=\frac{1}{3}$ and $a=\frac{1}{2}$. So, we fix the value of $\alpha=\frac{1}{3}$ for developing a dynamical study of this family, depending on one parameter $a$.

So, the fixed point operator corresponding to the family described in (1) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=z-\frac{f(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f(z)^{2} f^{\prime}(z) f^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\left(f^{\prime}(z)^{2}-a f(z) f^{\prime \prime}(y)\right)^{2}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

when

$$
y=z-\frac{1}{3} \frac{f(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}
$$

In this work, we analyze the dynamics of this operator when it is applied on quadratic polynomials. It is known that any quadratic polynomial can be transformed, by means of an affine map, to $p(z)=z^{2}+c$ with no qualitative changes on the dynamics of family (1). Moreover, P. Blanchard [Blanchard(1984)], by considering the conjugacy map with the following properties:

$$
h(\infty)=1, \quad h(i \sqrt{c})=0, \quad h(-i \sqrt{c})=\infty,
$$

proved that, for quadratic polynomials, Newton's operator is always conjugate to the rational map $z^{2}$, and $z=0$ and $z=\infty$ are associated to the roots of the quadratic polynomial $p(z)=z^{2}+c$. By the same procedure, after applying this conjugacy map to operator (2) we obtain the rational function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{p}(z, a)=\frac{z^{3}(-1+2 a-z)\left(2+(3-2 a) z+z^{2}\right)}{(-1+(2 a-1) z)\left(1+(3-2 a) z+2 z^{2}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

depending on the parameter $a$. Additionally, it is easy to prove the following result, that will be useful for checking that $z=\infty$ is a fixed point.

Lemma 1 The operator defined in equation (3) satisfies the following statement:

$$
O_{p}\left(\frac{1}{z}, a\right)=\frac{1}{O_{p}(z, a)} .
$$

## 2 Study of the fixed points

We study the dynamics of operator $O_{p}(z, a)$ in terms of parameter $a$. In this section, we calculate the fixed points of $O_{p}(z, a)$ analyzing the number and their stability depending on the parameter $a$.

The fixed points of $O_{p}(z, a)$ are the roots of the equation $O_{p}(z, a)=z$. Solving this equation we obtain $z=0, z=\infty, z=1$ (if $a \neq 1$ and $a \neq 3$ ) and the four roots of the symmetric polynomial equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{4}+(5-4 a) z^{3}+4\left(2-2 a+a^{2}\right) z^{2}+(5-4 a) z+1=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These roots are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1,2}(a)=\frac{1}{4}\left(4 a-5+\sqrt{1-8 a} \pm 2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(4 a-5+\sqrt{1-8 a})^{2}-4}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& z_{3,4}(a)=\frac{1}{4}\left(4 a-5-\sqrt{1-8 a} \pm 2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(4 a-5-\sqrt{1-8 a})^{2}-4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In the following we avoid the dependence of $a$ in the notation of the fixed and critical points, unless necessary.

Due to the symmetry of the polynomial 4 , we have that $z_{1}=\frac{1}{z_{2}}$ and $z_{3}=\frac{1}{z_{4}}$.
Indeed, the number of fixed points is reduced for some values of $a$. For example, if $a=2 \pm i$ then $z_{1}=z_{2}=1$, if $a=0$ then $z_{1}=z_{2}=-1$. Moreover, $z=-1$ is a pre-periodic point, i. e. $O_{p}(-1, a)=1$.

Let us remember that fixed points different from $z=0, z=\infty$ (that are associated to the roots of the quadratic polynomial) are called strange fixed points.

In order to study the stability of the fixed points, we calculate the first derivative of $O_{p}(z, a)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{p}^{\prime}(z, a)=-2 z^{2} \frac{\left(1+(2-2 a) z+z^{2}\right) P(z, a)}{(-1+(2 a-1) z)^{2}\left(1+(3-2 a) z+2 z^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z, a)=6 a-3+\left(-12+22 a-12 a^{2}\right) z+\left(-18+32 a-24 a^{2}+8 a^{3}\right) z^{2}+\left(-12+22 a-12 a^{2}\right) z^{3}+(6 a-3) z^{4} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a fixed point is attractive or repulsive if $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}(z, a)\right|$ is less than or greater than one, respectively, this function is known as stability function.

From (6) we obtain that $z=0$ and $z=\infty$ are always superattractive fixed points, but the stability of the other strange fixed points changes depending on the values of the parameter $a$.

Remark 1 Let us notice that for $a=\frac{1}{2}$ the degree of polynomial (7) decreases, in fact, $P\left(z, \frac{1}{2}\right)=-z(4+$ $7 z+4 z^{2}$ ).

### 2.1 Stability of $z=1$

We begin with the stability of the strange fixed point $z=1$, when $a \neq 1$ and $a \neq 3$. Then we consider,

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{p}^{\prime}(1, a)=\frac{2(a-2)^{2}}{(a-3)(a-1)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stability of this point is shown in the following result.
Proposition 1 For every value $a=x+i y$ of the parameter, the strange fixed point $z=1$ satisfies the following statements:
i) $z=1$ is an attractor inside curve $C$ defined by:

$$
3 y^{2}=\left(-11+12 x-3 x^{2}+2 \sqrt{-11+12 x-3 x^{2}}\right)
$$

It is a superattractor for $a=2$.
ii) $z=1$ is a parabolic point for values of the parameter $a$ on curve $C$, and
iii) $z=1$ is a repulsive fixed point for values of a outside curve $C$.

Proof. From equation (8), the stability function of the fixed point $z=1$ is:

$$
\left|O_{p}^{\prime}(1, a)\right|=\left|\frac{2(a-2)^{2}}{(a-3)(a-1)}\right|
$$

To know where this point is parabolic, we look for the points where the stability function equals one:

$$
\left|O_{p}^{\prime}(1, a)\right|=1
$$

then

$$
2|a-2|^{2}=|a-3||a-1| .
$$

By writing $a=x+y i$, we obtain

$$
4\left((x-2)^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}=\left((x-3)^{2}+y^{2}\right)\left((x-1)^{2}+y^{2}\right)
$$

developing on both sides of the equality and simplifying:

$$
55-104 x+74 x^{2}-24 x^{3}+3 x^{4}+\left(22-24 x+6 x^{2}\right) y^{2}+3 y^{4}=0
$$

whose solution is the curve $C$ (Figure 1 ):

$$
3 y^{2}=\left(-11+12 x-3 x^{2}+2 \sqrt{-11+12 x-3 x^{2}}\right),
$$

where $x$ and $y$ are the real and the imaginary part, respectively, of the parameter $a$.
As $C$ is a closed curve, it separates the complex plane into two complementary regions, in each of which one of the inequalities is satisfied. From equation (8), it is easy to see that $z=1$ is a superattractor for $a=2$; as this value is inside the curve $C$, the fixed point $z=1$ must be attractive inside this curve and repulsive outside.


Figure 1: Stability region of $z=1$ in the parameter plane.

As $z=-1$ is a pre-periodic point, its dynamical behaviour is determined by $z=1$.

### 2.2 Stability of the strange fixed points $z_{i}$

In the next result we prove that the stability functions of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ coincide; therefore, $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ exhibit the same dynamical behaviour. The same occurs for $z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$.

Proposition 2 The stability functions of the strange fixed points $z_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$ satisfy the following statements:

$$
\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, a\right)\right|=\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}, a\right)\right|, \quad\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}, a\right)\right|=\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{4}, a\right)\right|
$$

for any value of the parameter $a$.

## Proof.

It can be checked that

$$
O_{p}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{z}, a\right)=\frac{(-1+(2 a-1) z)^{2}\left(1+(3-2 a) z+2 z^{2}\right)^{2}}{z^{4}(-z+2 a-1)^{2}\left(z^{2}+(3-2 a) z+2\right)^{2}} O_{p}^{\prime}(z, a) .
$$

Then, $O_{p}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{z}, a\right)=O_{p}^{\prime}(z, a)$ when $\frac{(-1+(2 a-1) z)^{2}\left(1+(3-2 a) z+2 z^{2}\right)^{2}}{z^{4}(-z+2 a-1)^{2}\left(z^{2}+(3-2 a) z+2\right)^{2}}=1$. So, we can require

$$
(-1+(2 a-1) z)\left(1+(3-2 a) z+2 z^{2}\right)=z^{2}(-z+2 a-1) \cdot\left(z^{2}+(3-2 a) z+2\right)
$$

After some algebraic manipulations, we obtain that the values of $z$ that satisfy this request must satisfy the equation:

$$
(z-1)\left(z^{4}+(5-4 a) z^{3}+4\left(2-2 a+a^{2}\right) z^{2}+(5-4 a) z+1\right)=0
$$

and this equation is just equation (4), that it is satisfied by the strange fixed points $z_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$. As $z_{1}=\frac{1}{x_{2}}$ and $z_{3}=\frac{1}{x_{4}}$, their corresponding stability functions coincide.

Now, we draw the stability functions of all strange fixed points for real values of parameter $a$ (see Figure 2). In this figure the stability functions are coloured as follows: red colour corresponds to $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}(a), a\right)\right|$, green to $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}(a), a\right)\right|$ and blue to $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}(1, a)\right|$; black colour is for the unit.


Figure 2: Stability functions of strange fixed points for real values of $a$.

In Figure 3 we show an enlargement of Figure 2, we can observe that the stability functions of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ reach values below one whereas that the stability functions of $z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$ are always above one. This information will be useful for finding the stability regions of these points in the complex plane.

We can also obtain the stability functions of these strange points in a three dimensional picture (Figure 4). The horizontal plane is the complex plane where the parameter $a$ varies and the vertical axis corresponds to the


Figure 3: Detail of the stability functions of strange fixed points $z_{i}$ for real values of $a$.


Figure 4: Stability functions of the strange fixed points, $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and $z=1$ and the curves $C, C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ in the complex plane.
stability functions of strange points, i.e., $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}(a), a\right)\right|$ and $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}(1, a)\right|$. Moreover, we have also drawn here the curves obtained in Propositions 1 and 3 .

From Proposition 2, we know that the stability regions of the fixed points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ in the parameter plane are the same and these regions are defined by $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}(a), a\right)\right|<1$. The curves $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}(a), a\right)\right|=1$ are difficult to find, so we look for algebraic curves that approximate them according Figure 4. The results we obtain are shown in the following proposition.

Proposition 3 The fixed points $z_{1}(a)$ and $z_{2}(a)$ are attractors for $a=x+i y \in D$ such that $D=D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup D_{3}$, where $D_{1}$ is the disk delimited by the circumference $C_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-2)^{2}+(y-1.5)^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{2}$ is the disk delimited by the circumference $C_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-2)^{2}+(y+1.5)^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $D_{3}$ is the region delimited by the cardioid $C_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(t)=\frac{1}{8}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{6.9}{6000}+\frac{6.9}{6000}\left(\frac{1}{2} \cos t-\frac{1}{4} \cos 2 t\right), \\
& y(t)=\frac{6.9}{6000}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sin t-\frac{1}{4} \sin 2 t\right), t \in[0,2 \pi] .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5: Fiber of circles inside the bulbs

## Proof.

We know that $z_{1}=z_{2}=1$ for $a=2 \pm i$; so, we look for two bulbs which are tangent to the curve $C$ at these points. As we see in the following, $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are attractive inside these bulbs. In fact, $a=2 \pm i$ are bifurcations points where $z=1$ changes from attractive to repulsive and the strange points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ change from repulsive to attractive. We can parametrize a bundle of circles inside each bulb (Figure 5); the equations of the outer circles are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}: \quad(x-2)^{2}+(y-1.5)^{2}=\frac{1}{4}, \\
& C_{2}: \quad(x-2)^{2}+(y+1.5)^{2}=\frac{1}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 6: Stability functions for $z_{1}(a)$ for values of $a$ belonging to the bundle of circles inside upper and lower bulbs.

We deduce that the strange fixed points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are attractive inside these bulbs by drawing the stability function $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}(a), a\right)\right|$ applied on the different circles previously defined and checking that the stability function $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}(a), a\right)\right|$ on the points belonging to the bundle of circles have values lower than one (see Figure 6 . In both plots, the upper coloured curves correspond to $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, a\right)\right|$ applied on the outer circumferences $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. The black line is the unit. Moreover, the upper curves have value 1 when $a=2 \pm i$, respectively.

Let us observe that both stability functions seem to be symmetric.
Similarly, we deduce from Figure 3 the existence of a small region in the complex plane where $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are also attractive (see Figure 4 ; to prove that $D_{3}$ is inside this region we perform a bundle of cardioids inside


Figure 7: Bundle of cardioids inside the little bulb.
$D_{3}$ (Figure 7). The parametrization of the outer cardioid $C_{3}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(t)=\frac{1}{8}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{6.9}{6000}+\frac{6.9}{6000}\left(\frac{1}{2} \cos t-\frac{1}{4} \cos 2 t\right) \\
& y(t)=\frac{6.9}{6000}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sin t-\frac{1}{4} \sin 2 t\right), t \in[0,2 \pi] .
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, we apply the stability function $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, a\right)\right|$ on the points belonging to the bundle and we obtain values lower than one (Figure 8). The red curve correspond to $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, a\right)\right|$ applied on $C_{3}$. The black line is the unit.


Figure 8: Stability functions for $z_{1}$ for values of $a$ belonging to the bundle of cardioids.

On the other hand, we have checked numerically that the only point where $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z_{3}, a\right)\right|=1$ is for $a=\frac{1}{8}$. So, from Figures 2, 3and 9 we can deduce that the other strange fixed points $z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$ are always unstable.


Figure 9: Stability functions for $z_{3}$.

## 3 Study of the critical points and parameter planes

As we have pointed at the beginning, the critical points of $O_{p}(z, a)$ are the roots of $O_{p}^{\prime}(z, a)=0$. From equation (6), we know that these roots are $z=0, z=\infty$, the solutions of $1+(2-2 a) z+z^{2}=0$ and the solutions of $P(z, a)=0$, where $P(z, a)=0$ is described in (7).

The roots of $1+(2-2 a) z+z^{2}=0$ are:

$$
c_{ \pm}=a-1 \pm \sqrt{a^{2}-2 a},
$$

satisfying $c_{+}=\frac{1}{c_{-}}$. The roots of the symmetric fourth degree polynomial $P(z, a)$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{+} \pm \sqrt{x_{+}^{2}-4}\right),  \tag{11}\\
& c_{3,4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{-} \pm \sqrt{x_{-}^{2}-4}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
x_{ \pm}=\frac{6-11 a+6 a^{2} \pm a \sqrt{1+36 a-12 a^{2}}}{3(2 a-1)} .
$$

Let us remark that, due to the symmetry of $P(z, a), c_{1}=\frac{1}{c_{2}}$ and $c_{3}=\frac{1}{c_{4}}$.
Then, there are six critical points (called free critical points), different from the roots of the polynomial, but the parameter planes of inverse critical points coincide, i.e. there are only three independent free critical points. This number decreases in the following cases:

- If $a=0$ then, the only free critical point is -1 .
- If $a=1$ or $a=3$ then, $c_{1}=c_{2}=1$.
- If $a=2$ then, $c_{+}=c_{-}=c_{1}=c_{2}=1$.


Figure 10: Parameter plane for the critical point $c_{+}$

- If $a=\frac{1}{6}(9 \pm 2 \sqrt{21})$ then, $c_{1}=c_{3}$ and $c_{2}=c_{4}$. There are four free critical points.

The dynamical behaviour of operator $O_{p}(z, a)$ depends on the values of the parameter $a$. The parameter plane is obtained by iterating one critical (free) point; each point of the parameter plane is associated with a complex value of $a$, i.e., with an element of the family. To build this parameter plane we use the algorithms designed in [Chicharro et al.(2013)], with MatLab software. The following figures are made by using these algorithms, by using a mesh of $2000 \times 2000$ points, a maximum of 200 iterations and a tolerance of $10^{-3}$.

Red colour in Figures 10, 11 and 12 means that the critical point is into the basins of attraction of $z=0$ or $z=\infty$, whereas that black colour indicates that the critical point generates its own dynamics.

The critical points verify $c_{+}=\frac{1}{c_{-}}, c_{1}=\frac{1}{c_{2}}$ and $c_{3}=\frac{1}{c_{4}}$. As $O_{p}\left(\frac{1}{z}, a\right)=\frac{1}{O_{p}(z, a)}$ (Lemma 1 t the conjugated critical points exhibit the same dynamics; so, we consider only three independent critical points and we draw the parameter plane corresponding to each of them (Figures 10, 11 and 12).

We can also ensure that the critical point $c_{1}$ is in the basin of $z=1$ or $z_{1}$ for those values of the parameter for which $z=1$ or $z_{1}$ are attractive, by overlaying their basins of attraction (Figure 4) with the parameter plane of $c_{1}$ (Figure 11). This is illustrated in Figure 13.

## 4 Dynamical planes

A classical result establishes that there is at least one critical point associated with each invariant Fatou component. As $z=0$ and $z=\infty$ are both superattractive fixed points, they also are critical points and give rise to their respective Fatou components. The other Fatou components need at least one free critical point.

Therefore, the number of free critical points for a given value of the parameter determines how rich is the dynamics of the rational function. However, what is interesting from a dynamical point of view, it is not from the point of view of stability of the numerical method. In this section, we consider those methods with a small number of critical points and show the dynamical planes for given values of the parameter. The dynamical


Figure 11: Parameter plane for the critical point $c_{1}$.


Figure 12: Parameter plane for the critical point $c_{3}$.
planes are built by using the algorithms designed in [Chicharro et al.(2013)], with MatLab software, by using a mesh of $800 \times 800$ points, a maximum of 80 iterations and a tolerance of $10^{-3}$.

In the following figures we use different colours for the different basins of attraction: blue colour corresponds to the basin of attraction of $z=\infty$, orange colour is for $z=0$, black colour indicates the existence of attractive periodic orbits and the other colours correspond to basins of attraction of strange fixed points.

- If $a=0$, then

$$
O_{p}(z, 0)=\frac{z^{3}(z+2)}{2 z+1}
$$



Figure 13: The basins of attraction of $z=1, z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ in the parameter plane of $c_{1}$.
the fixed points are $0, \infty, 1$ and $\frac{-3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$. From

$$
O_{p}^{\prime}(z, 0)=\frac{6 z^{2}(z+1)^{2}}{(2 z+1)^{2}}
$$

we obtain that the critical points are $0, \infty$ and -1 ; but, as we pointed out in the first section, -1 is a pre-image of 1 , then its dynamics is given by the dynamical behaviour of $z=1$. As $z=1$ is repulsive for this value of the parameter (Proposition 1) $z=-1$ is in the Julia set. As the other strange points satisfy that $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}\left(\frac{-3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}, 0\right)\right|>1$, they are also repellors. So, in this case, the only attractive fixed points are $z=0$ and $z=\infty$, that corresponds to the roots of the polynomial. The dynamical plane has only two Fatou components: the basins of attraction of $z=0$ and $z=\infty$ (see Figure 14).

- When $a=1$, then

$$
O_{p}(z, 1)=-z^{3} \frac{z^{2}+z+2}{2 z^{2}+z+1}
$$

In this case, the fixed points are $0, \infty, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$.
In order to establish their stability, we calculate the derivative of the fixed point operator

$$
O_{p}^{\prime}(z, 1)=\frac{-2 z^{2}\left(3 z^{4}+4 z^{3}+6 z^{2}+4 z+3\right)}{\left(2 z^{2}+z+1\right)^{2}} .
$$

It leads to the free critical points: $c_{ \pm}= \pm i$ and $c_{3,4}=-\frac{2}{3} \pm \frac{1}{3} i \sqrt{5}$. It can be checked that $O_{p}(i, 1)=$ $O_{p}(-i, 1)=1$ and $O_{p}(1,1)=-1$. Then $\{-1,1\}$ is a periodic orbit of period two and $\pm i$ are preimages of 1. Since $\left|O_{p}^{\prime}(1,1)\right|>1$, this periodic orbit is repulsive and these critical points are in the


Figure 14: Dynamical plane for $a=0$

Julia set. The two free critical points $c_{3}, c_{4}$ generate their own dynamics: we can see the existence of two nearby periodic orbits of period 6 in Figures 15 a and 15 b.


Figure 15: Dynamical plane for $a=1$ and periodic orbits of period 6

- If $a=2$ then,

$$
O_{p}(z, 2)=-\frac{z^{3}(z-3)\left(z^{2}-z+2\right)}{(3 z-1)\left(2 z^{2}-z+1\right)} .
$$

The fixed points are $0, \infty, 1, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$. Moreover:

$$
O_{p}^{\prime}(z, 2)=-\frac{2 z^{2}(z-1)^{4}\left(9 z^{2}+2 z+9\right)}{(3 z-1)^{2}\left(-z+2 z^{2}+1\right)^{2}}
$$

gives $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{+}=c_{-}=1$ and $c_{3,4}=-\frac{1}{9}(1 \pm 4 i \sqrt{5})$ as free critical points.
As $z=1$ is a fixed and critical point, it has its own basin of attraction. We can observe these three basins of attraction of attractive fixed points in the dynamical plane (Figure 16).
The other two more free critical points are in the basins of attraction of two period orbits depicted in Figures 16a and 16b.


Figure 16: Dynamical plane for $a=2$

- If $a=3$ then,

$$
O_{p}(z, 3)=-\frac{z^{3}(z-2)(z-5)}{10 z^{2}-7 z+1}
$$

The fixed points are $0, \infty, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$. On the other hand,

$$
O_{p}^{\prime}(z, 3)=-6 \frac{z^{2}\left(-4 z+z^{2}+1\right)\left(-8 z+5 z^{2}+5\right)}{(5 z-1)^{2}(2 z-1)^{2}}
$$

gives four critical points $c_{ \pm}=2 \pm \sqrt{3}$ and $c_{3,4}=\frac{4}{5} \pm \frac{3}{5} i$.
These critical points are in the basins of attraction of two 4-periodic orbits (Figure 17a and 17p).

- If $a=\frac{1}{6}(9 \pm 2 \sqrt{21})$ then $c_{1}=c_{3}$ and $c_{2}=c_{4}$. Due to $c_{1}=\frac{1}{c_{2}}$ there are two independent free critical points: $c_{+}$and $c_{1}$. The associated operators are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O_{p}\left(z, \frac{1}{6}(9+2 \sqrt{21})\right)=\frac{z^{3}(6+2 \sqrt{21}-3 z)\left(-6+2 \sqrt{21} z-3 z^{2}\right)}{(-3+2(3+\sqrt{21}) z)\left(3-2 \sqrt{21} z+6 z^{2}\right)}, \\
& O_{p}\left(z, \frac{1}{6}(9-2 \sqrt{21})\right)=\frac{z^{3}(-6+2 \sqrt{21}+3 z)\left(6+2 \sqrt{21} z+3 z^{2}\right)}{(3+2(-3+\sqrt{21}) z)\left(3+2 \sqrt{21} z+6 z^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fixed points are $0, \infty, 1, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$, but it can be checked that all the strange fixed points are repulsive. In the dynamical planes of Figures 18a and 18b we observe the non existence of attractive periodic orbits; then, there are only two basins of attraction corresponding to 0 and $\infty$.


Figure 17: Dynamical plane for $a=3$


Figure 18: Dynamical planes for $a=\frac{1}{6}(9 \pm 2 \sqrt{21})$

- Finally, we study the dynamical plane for $a=\frac{1}{2}$, where the numerical method is of order four. For this value:

$$
O_{p}\left(z, \frac{1}{2}\right)=z^{4} \frac{2+2 z+z^{2}}{1+2 z+2 z^{2}},
$$

whose fixed points are 0,1 and $\infty$ and the four strange $z_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$. All the strange fixed points are repulsive; so, they are located on the Julia set.
On the other hand,

$$
O_{p}^{\prime}\left(z, \frac{1}{2}\right)=z^{3} \frac{\left(1+z+z^{2}\right)\left(4+7 z+4 z^{2}\right)}{\left(1+2 z+2 z^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

that gives four free critical points, that are in the Julia set. The dynamical plane is given in Figure 19 . This dynamical plane shows that the only attractive regions are those corresponding to $z=0$ and $z=\infty$.


Figure 19: Dynamical plane for $a=\frac{1}{2}$

## 5 Final Remarks

In this paper, a complex dynamical study of a parametric Chebyshev-Halley type family of iterative methods, on quadratic polynomial, is presented. Once the associated rational operator has been found and its symmetric property has been proved, the fixed and critical points have been obtained. The relevance of this kind of analysis is showed in the dynamical richness of the family: several fixed and critical points, different from the roots of the polynomials, appear showing a particular behaviour, that can be stable or unstable depending on the value of the parameter. In order to better understanding these facts, we have got the associated parameter planes to each independent free critical point. They have showed us which are the loci of bifurcation, that is, the values of the parameter where the numerical stability of the methods changes. Some dynamical planes show us different pathological aspects, such us attracting periodic orbits of several periods, basins of attraction of strange fixed points that do not correspond to the solution of the problem, as well as perfectly stable basins. The last ones are the most interesting elements of the family, under the numerical point of view, in terms of stability and reliability.
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