Molecular identification of 16SrII-D subgroup phytoplasmas associated with chickpea and faba bean in Sudan

Ana Alfaro-Fernández^{1*}, Mai Abdalla Ali², Fadia Mobarak Abdelraheem², Ebrahim Abd Elhameed Saeed² and María Isabel Font San Ambrosio¹

¹Grupo de Virología. Instituto Agroforestal Mediterráneo. Universidad Politécnica de Valencia (IAM-UPV). Camino de Vera s/n. 46022 Valencia, Spain.

²Plant Pathology Center, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Gezira, P.O. Box 20, Wad Medani, Sudan.

*Corresponding author: e-mail: <u>analfer1@doctor.upv.es</u> Tel.: +34963879259; fax: +34963879269 (A. Alfaro-Fernández).

Abstract

In January 2011, symptomatic chickpea and faba bean plants were observed in

fields located in the Gezira state (Sudan). Faba bean plants showed yellowing and

stunting, whereas chickpea plants presented yollowing, reddening and little leaves. The

disease etiology was investigated using nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with

phytoplasma-specific primers which amplify a fragment of the 16S rRNA gene.

Sequencing and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analyses revealed

that the tested phytoplasmas belonged to the group 16SrII. Phylogenetic analyses of the

16S rRNA gene of the obtained sequences indicated that the chickpea and faba bean

phytoplasmas from Sudan were more closely related to the phytoplasmas subgroup

16SrII-D. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a phytoplasma from the group

16SrII-D infecting chickpea in Sudan, and specifically from the subgroup 16SrII-D

infecting faba bean worldwide.

Keywords: Cicer arietinum, group 16SrII, PCR, RFLP, Vicea faba

Faba bean (Vicea faba L.) and chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) are among the

major food legumes cultivated in many countries worldwide and crop yield is often

limited by disease problems. Phytoplasmas affect several hundred plant species,

including vegetables, fruit crops, ornamental plants and trees in temperate to tropical

regions (Schneider et al., 1997; Lee et al., 2000).

Phytoplasmas, formerly termed mycoplasma-like organisms, are cell-wall-less

plant pathogeni bacteria belonging to the class Mollicutes. These organisms are

2

restricted to the phloem tissues and, therefore, naturally transmitted by phloem-sap feeding insects, specifically leafhoppers (Families Cicadellidea), planthoppers (Families Fulgoridea) and psyllids (Families Psyllidea) (Lee et al., 2000). In general, plants infected by phytoplasmas exhibit symptoms that suggest profound disturbances in the normal balance of plant hormones or growth regulators, and include: virescence, phyllody, yellowing and reddening of leaves, witches' broom appearance, abnormal elongation of internodes, leaf rolling, general decline, bunchy appearance, stunting, floral abnormalities, shoot proliferation or reduced vigour (Lee et al., 2000; Bertaccini and Duduk, 2009). Phytoplasmas were recently assigned to a novel genus *Candidatus* (*Ca.*) Phytoplasmas within the Mollicutes class, based on the percentage of similarity of the 16S rRNA gene sequence (IRPCM, 2004; Bertaccini and Duduk, 2009). This study was undertaken to detect and identify the phytoplasmas present in the symptomatic samples of chickpea and faba bean plants collected in Sudan.

In January 2011, faba bean plants showing yellowing and general stunting and chickpea plants showing yellowing, reddening and little leaves were observed in fields of the Gezira state, Sudan. Samples of two and three chickpea and faba bean symptomatic plants were respectively collected. Four asymptomatic plants of both plant species were also included in the assay. Total DNA was extracted from the plant material using the cetyltrimethylammoniumbromide (CTAB) buffer and the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) as described by Green and Thompson (1999). A nested-PCR was performed using the universal phytoplasma primers P1/P7 (Deng and Hiruki, 1991; Schneider et al., 1995) in the first amplification, followed by R16F2n/R16R2 (Gundersen and Lee, 1996) in the second amplification. Total DNA from the reference phytoplasmas of the different groups belonging to the Virology Group's (IAM-UPV) collection of isolates were used in this study as positive controls.

These positive controls belonged to subgroups 16SrI-A (tomato big bud, BB), 16SrI-B (aster yellows, AY), 16SrIII-A (x-disease, CX), 16SVI-A (potato witches' broom, PWB), 16SrX-A (apple proliferation, AP) and 16SrXII-A (stolbur, STOL). PCR products were analysed in 1.2% agarose gels, stained in ethidium bromide and visualised with a UV transilluminator. Fragments with the expected size of 1.2 kb were amplified by nested PCR only from the symptomatic faba bean and chickpea plants, and not from the asymptomatic plants or the water used as negative controls of the reaction.

A restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis of the nested-PCR products was performed to identify the specific phytoplasmas detected (Lee et al., 1998) with endonucleases *Alu*I, *Hae*III, *Hha*I, *Hpa*I *Kpn*I, *Mse*I, *Rsa*I and *Taq*I (MBI Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania). Restriction fragments were separated by electrophoresis through 5% polyacrylamide (PAGE) gels, stained with ethidium bromide and visualised under UV illumination. The obtained patterns were compared with two DNA standard markers (GeneRulerTM 100 bp DNA Ladder Plus and pUC19DNA/MspI (*Hpa*II) marker, MBI Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) and with the restriction profile of reference phytoplasmas of different groups. The comparison indicated that the chickpea and faba bean analyzed samples belonged to the group 16SrII.

To confirm the identity of the phytoplasmas detected, the 1.2 kb amplified products were purified with the High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and directly sequenced. The sequences obtained from faba bean (SUD-Fb1, SUD-Fb3, SUD-Fb4) and chickpea samples (SUD-Cp2) were deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers JN233801, JN233803, JN233804 and JN233802, respectively. BLAST analyses revealed that these sequences shared an identity of more than 99.5% among them and with different phytoplasma strains within the subgroup 16SrII-D as, for example, eggplant phyllody

phytoplasma (accession number HQ423156). Similarity matrix, calculated using the Matrix Global Alignment Tool software, version 2.02 (http://bitincka.com/ledion/matgat) and performed with the different nucleotide sequences of phytoplasmas belonging to group 16SrII, also confirm those results (Table Remarkably, sequences amplified with R16F2n/R16R2 primers of subgroups 16SrII-A and 16SrII-D presented similarities almost identical between them than within the subgroups. When analyzing the alignment of the sequences of strains belonging to subgroups II-A and II-D, mainly two nucleotide changes (positions 64 and 298 referred to sequence FJ870549) were observed between these subgroups, which correspond to the restriction site of endonucleases TaqI, MseI and AluI reported by some authors as RFLPs differentiators of both subgroups (Khan et al., 2002; Lee et al., 1998). Sequences and RFLP analyses of the Sudanese phytoplasmas identified in this assay presented the same nucleotide changes and restriction profile as subgroup II-D. The rest of the subgroups of 16SrII, presented much more than two nucleotide changes.

A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbor-joining method with MEGA (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis), version 5 (Tamura et al., 2011). The robustness of the inferred evolutionary relationships was assessed by 10,000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates. In this study, the phytoplasmas detected in Sudanese chickpea (SUD-Cp2) and faba bean (SUD-Fb1, SUD-Fb3 and SUD-Fb4) samples were grouped in the clade of peanut witches'-broom strains (16SrII) described by White et al. (1998), specifically within the subgroup 16SrII-D (Figure 1), together with Alfalfa witches'-broom (AY169323; Khan et al., 2002) or Chickpea phyllody phytoplasma (FJ870549; Akhtar et al., 2008). The phylogenetic tree also demonstrated the close relationship between 16SrII-A and 16SrII-D.

Faba bean phyllody phytoplasma (FBP) was first described in Sudan, however it was later identified as 16SrII-C subgroup (Jones and Cockbain, 1984; Lee et al., 1998; White et al., 1998; Bertaccini and Duduk, 2009). By contrast, in this work the phytoplasma identified infecting faba bean belongs to the 16SrII-D subgroup. Therefore, this is the first report of a phytoplasma of these subgroup associated with faba bean plants. Within the group 16SrII or "peanut witches'-broom group", phytoplasmas of subgroup 16SrII-D have been recently identified in chickpea in Pakistan (Akthar et al., 2008). However, until this work, any phytoplasma of the group 16SrII has been reported infecting chickpea in Sudan. More studies are needed to establish the incidence and impact of these phytoplasmas in Sudanese legume crops.

References

Akthar, K. P., Shah, T. M., Atta, B. M., Dickinson, M., Jamil, F. F., Haq, M. A., Hameed, S., & Iqbal, M. J. (2008). Natural occurrence of phytoplasma associated with chickpea phyllody disease in Pakistan- a new record. *Plant Pathology*, 57, 771.

- Bertaccini, A., & Duduk, B. (2009). Phytoplasma and phytoplasma diseases: a review of recent research. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, 48, 355–378.
- Deng, S., & Hiruki, C. (1991). Genetic relatedness between two non-culturable micoplasma-like organisms revealed by nucleic acid hybridization and polymerase chain reation. *Phytopathology*, *81*, 1475-1479.
- Green, M. J., & Thompson, D. A. (1999). Easy and efficient DNA extraction from

- woody plants for the detection of phytoplamas by polymerase chain reaction. *Plant Disease*, 83, 482-485.
- Gundersen, D. E., & Lee, I. M. (1996). Ultrasensitive detection of phytoplasmas by nested-PCR assays using two universal primer pairs. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, 35,144-151.
- IRPCM. (2004). "Candidatus Phytoplasma", a taxon for the wall-less, non-helical prokaryotes that colonize plant phloem and insects. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Micobiology, 54, 1243-1255.
- Jones, P., & Cockbain, A. J. (1984). Association of a mycoplasma-like organism with broad bean phyllody in the Sudan. *Plant Pathology*, *33*, 599-602.
- Khan, A. J., Botti, S., Al-Subhi, A. M., Gundersen-Rindal, & D. E., Bertaccini, A. F. (2002). Molecular identification of a new phytoplasma associated with Alfalfa witches'- broom in Oman. *Phytopathology*, 92, 1038-1047.
- Lee, I. M., Davis, R. E., & Gundersen-Rindal, D. E. (2000). Phytoplasma: phytopathogenic mollicutes. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, *5*, 221–255.
- Lee, I.-M., Gundersen-Rindal, D. E., Davis, R. E., & Bartoszyk, I. M. (1998). Revised classification scheme of phytoplasmas based on RFLP analyses of 16S rRNA and ribosomal protein genes sequences. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, 48, 1153–1169.
- Schneider, B., Gibb, K. S., & Seemüller, E. (1997). Sequence and RFLP analysis of the elongation factor Tu gene used in differentiation and classification of phytoplasmas. *Microbiology*, *143*, 3381-3389.


- Schneider, B., Seemüller, E., Smart, C. D., & Kirkpatrick, B. C. (1995). Phylogenetic classification of plant pathogenic mycoplasma-like organisms or phytoplasmas.
 In: S. Razin, & J.G. Tully (Eds.), *Molecular and diagnostic procedures in Micoplasmology*, vol. 1. (pp. 369-380). Academic Press Inc., San Diego, California, USA.
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., & Kumar, S. (2011).
 MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using Maximum
 Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Methods.
 Molecular Biology and Evolution, 28, 2731-2739.
- White, D. T., Blackall, L. L., Scott, T., & Walsh, K. B. (1998). Phylogenetic positions of phytoplasmas associated with dieback, yellow crinkle and mosaic diseases of papaya, and theis proposed inclusion in "Candidatus Phytoplasma australiense" and a new taxon "Candidatus Phytoplasma australasia". *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, 48, 941-951.

Legends

Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of the 16S rRNA gene sequences constructed by the neighbour-joining method showing relationships among the phytoplasmas identified in the faba bean and chickpea plants from Sudan and the reference phytoplasmas retrieved from the GenBank database. The statistical reliability of the constructed trees was assessed by the bootstrap method based on 10,000 pseudoreplicates. The number above the nodes indicates the percentage of bootstrap replicates which supported branching. The scale bar represents a genetic distance of 0.01.

Table 1. Analysis of the sequence similarities among the 16SrRNA gene sequences from the phytoplasmas grouped in the group 16SrII and the phytoplasmas identified in the chickpea and faba bean in Sudan. The similarity analysis was calculated using the Matrix Global Alignment Tool software (http://bitincka.com/ledion/matgat).

16SrRNA subgroup	Phytoplasma	Accession number	1	2	3	4	2	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1. II-A	Echinacea purpurea witches-broom	JN885461	100	ı		ı					,				,	ľ	•			
2. II-A	Peanut witches-broom	L33765	2.66	100		ı	1	1					ı	,			·	·		
3. II-B	Ca. P. aurantifolia	U15442	98.4	98.4	100	ı	1	1					ı	,			·	·		
4. II-C	Pepper witches-broom	EU125184	97.3	97.3	98.1	100	1	1					ı	,			·	·		
5. II-C	Mexican potato purple top	FJ914651	98.1	98.1	8.86	98.4	100	1												
6. II-C	Faba bean phyllody	HQ589188	98.4	98.4	99.1	7.86	5.66	100	1			ı	ı							
7. II-C	Tomatillo witches-broom	EU125185	7.76	7.76	98.4	7.86	8.86	99.1	100			ı	ı							
8. II-D	Echinacea witches-broom	JF3400077	9.66	9.66	98.5	97.4	98.2	98.4	8.76	100		ı	ı							
9. II-D	Eggplant phyllody	HQ423156	9.66	9.66	98.5	97.4	98.2	98.4	8.76	2.66	100		ı				·	·		
10. II-D	Chickpea phyllody	FJ870549	9.66	9.66	98.5	97.4	98.2	98.4	8.76	7.66	2.66	100								
11. II-D	Alfalfa witches broom	AY169323	99.5	99.5	98.4	97.3	98.1	98.4	7.76	9.66	9.66	9.66	100							
12. II-E	Picris echiodes phyllody	Y16393	98.2	98.2	98.2	97.1	8.76	98.1	97.4	98.3	98.3	98.3	98.2	100						
13. II-E	Picris hieracioides	JF799094	98.2	98.2	0.86	6.96	9.76	6.76	97.3	98.3	98.3	98.3	98.2	99.4	100					
14. II-F	Cotton phyllody	EF186827	98.4	98.4	99.4	98.3	0.66	99.3	9.86	98.5	98.5	98.5	98.4	98.2	0.86	100				
15. SUD-Fb1	SUD-Fb1	JN233801	9.66	9.66	98.5	97.4	98.2	98.4	8.76	7.66	2.66	7.66	9.66	98.3	98.3	98.5	100			
16. $SUD-Cp2$	SUD-Cp2	JN233802	9.66	9.66	98.5	97.4	98.2	98.4	8.76	7.66	2.66	7.66	9.66	98.3	98.3	-	7.60	. 001		
17. SUD-Fb3	SUD-Fb3	JN233803	99.5	99.5	98.4	97.3	98.1	98.4	7.76	9.66	9.66	9.66	99.5	98.2	98.2	-	9.66	9.66	001	
18. SUD-Fb4	SUD-Fb4	JN233804	9.66	9.66	98.5	97.4	98.2	98.4	8.76	7.66	2.66	7.66	9.66	98.3	98.3	•	7.66	2.66	9.66	100



0.01