

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/85170>

This paper must be cited as:

Marín-Gozalbo, A.; Cháfer Nácher, MT.; Atarés Huerta, LM.; Chiralt A.; Torres, R.; Usall, J.; Teixidó, N. (2016). Effect of different coating-forming agents on the efficacy of the biocontrol agent *Candida sake* CPA-1 for control of *Botrytis cinerea* on grapes. *Biological Control*. 96:108-119. doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.02.012.



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.02.012>

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

1 **Effect of different coating-forming agents on the efficacy of the biocontrol agent**  
2 ***Candida sake* CPA-1 for control of *Botrytis cinerea* on grapes**

3 A. Marín<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Cháfer<sup>1</sup>, L. Atarés<sup>1</sup>, A. Chiralt<sup>1</sup>, R. Torres<sup>2</sup>, J. Usall<sup>2</sup>, N. Teixidó<sup>2</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>Instituto de Ingeniería de Alimentos para el Desarrollo, Departamento de Tecnología de Alimentos. Universitat  
5 Politècnica de València, 46022, Valencia, Spain

6 <sup>2</sup>IRTA, XaRTA-Postharvest, Edifici Fruitcentre, Parc Científic i Tecnològic Agroalimentari de Lleida, Parc de  
7 Gardeny, 25003 Lleida, Catalonia, Spain

8 \*Corresponding author. Phone: +34963877000x73625. Fax: +34963877369 E-mail address:  
9 [anmargo6@upvnet.upv.es](mailto:anmargo6@upvnet.upv.es)

10

11 **ABSTRACT**

12 Multiple formulations of known biocontrol agent (BCA) *Candida sake*, containing  
13 different coating-forming polymers and surfactants were tested at different  
14 polymer:BCA ratios, in order to improve control of *Botrytis cinerea* on grapes. The  
15 BCA cell viability on the grape surface was analyzed and reduction in disease incidence  
16 and severity was determined. Coating-forming solids improved the survival and efficacy  
17 of *C. sake* as a BCA against *B. cinerea*, depending on the polymer type and ratio. The  
18 incorporation of surfactants did not improve survival or disease control, although they  
19 promoted a better cell dispersion on the grape surface. Cell growth of the antagonist  
20 during incubation led to the formation of aggregates, even when surfactants were  
21 present. Sodium caseinate and starch were the most suitable polymers to formulate *C.*  
22 *sake* preparations to obtain coating-forming systems with this BCA and to increase its  
23 survival and efficacy at the minimum economic cost of the ingredients.

24 **Keywords:** biological control, *Candida sake*, grapes, biopolymer, edible coating,  
25 microstructural analysis

26

## 27 1. INTRODUCTION

28 The fact that major post-harvest pathogens have developed resistance to many  
29 fungicides and the public demand for a reduction in pesticide use, stimulated by a  
30 greater awareness of environmental and health issues, have generated an increasing  
31 interest in alternative methods to fungicidal treatments in the control of fruit diseases  
32 (Teixidó *et al.*, 2011; Zahavi *et al.*, 2000). Biological control, which consists of  
33 biologically-based processes to lower pathogen inoculum density and reduce crop loss,  
34 is one of the most effective and practical alternatives to chemical fungicides (Cañamás  
35 *et al.*, 2011). Biocontrol has been extensively studied during the last twenty years;  
36 however, it is difficult to observe the successful results obtained under laboratory or  
37 controlled condition in pre-harvest conditions. Its commercial application has been  
38 greatly limited due to the narrow range of environmental conditions in which biocontrol  
39 agents (BCAs) are able to survive and effectively control pests and diseases. Hence, a  
40 main aim in the development and implementation of biological control products is to  
41 improve the ability of the antagonists to survive and successfully control postharvest  
42 diseases under a wider array of conditions and with minimal variability (Droby *et al.*,  
43 2003).

44 Several strategies have been employed to improve the behavior of BCAs in practical  
45 conditions. Physiological manipulation has been one of the strategies used to enhance  
46 the tolerance of BCAs to environmental stress conditions obtaining interesting results  
47 (Abadias *et al.*, 2001; Liu *et al.*, 2012; Mokiou and Magan, 2008; Teixidó *et al.*, 1998).  
48 Furthermore, diverse additives, such as coatings, can act as protectors during the  
49 preparation, conservation and application phases of antagonist-based products (Droby *et*  
50 *al.*, 2009). These additives might maintain the viability of BCAs more effectively and  
51 promote their biocontrol efficacy. Moreover, additives could not only improve the spray

52 deposition, droplet size and spreadability of the products but also enhance survival and  
53 persistence of the BCAs under the stressing of conditions associated with the  
54 environmental fluxes in field. Cañamás *et al.*, (2008a; 2008b) observed that the  
55 application of an edible coating improved the effectiveness of *Pantoea agglomerans* at  
56 controlling postharvest pathogens in orange fruit. Likewise, Cañamás *et al.*, (2011) and  
57 Calvo-Garrido *et al.*, (2013a; 2014b) demonstrated similar effect on *Candida sake*  
58 applied on grapes. This was attributed to the improvement in the environmental stress  
59 tolerance and ecological competence of this BCA. Other functions of coatings have  
60 been described so as to aid and enhance BCA survival, including protection from  
61 ultraviolet (UV) radiation, desiccation, rain and temperature variations and by acting as  
62 a source of nutrients. In addition, coatings may also slow the microbial desiccation,  
63 thereby extending the time available for the BCA to multiply and become established  
64 and improve their homogeneity and distribution on the plant surface (Cañamás *et al.*,  
65 2011). Therefore the combined application of BCAs and edible coatings offers many  
66 possibilities, both because of the wide variety of matrices which can be used and their  
67 potential benefits for the survival and retention of the antagonists.

68 Edible coatings, produced from biopolymers and food-grade additives, are thin layers of  
69 material that cover the surface of the food and can be consumed as a part of the whole  
70 product (Vargas *et al.*, 2008). They have been widely studied for the purposes of  
71 maintaining the quality of coated products, mainly in post-harvest treatments of fruits  
72 and vegetables (Hernández-Muñoz *et al.*, 2008; Pastor *et al.*, 2011; Perdonés *et al.*,  
73 2012). Thus, the pre-harvest application of edible coatings that incorporate a BCA could  
74 be a good strategy for the preservation of crops since they might enhance the activity of  
75 the antagonist and also provide benefits to fruit.

76 There is a wide spectrum of biopolymers (polysaccharides and proteins) that can be  
77 used as the main compounds in the obtaining of edible coatings. Among  
78 polysaccharides, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC), is a remarkable coating-  
79 forming compounds and corn starch (S) is extensively used due to its low cost and high  
80 availability (Rodríguez *et al.*, 2006). Likewise, dairy and plant proteins, such as sodium  
81 caseinate (NaCas) and pea protein (PP), are also coating-forming compounds of interest  
82 (Choi and Han, 2001; Sánchez-González *et al.*, 2013). In order to enhance the  
83 wettability on the plant tissue and the adhesion of the coatings, it is good practice to  
84 incorporate surfactants to the biopolymer matrices as a means of decreasing the surface  
85 tension of the coating-forming dispersions (CFDs) (Krochta, 2002; Ortega-Toro *et al.*,  
86 2014). The balance between the polar and non-polar groups of the surfactant molecules  
87 determines their hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB), which has a great influence on  
88 their surface activity depending on the blend components.

89 The enhancement of the efficacy of BCAs applied in combination with edible coating  
90 forming compounds has been demonstrated by several authors (Aloui *et al.*, 2015; El  
91 Ghaouth *et al.*, 2000; Fan *et al.*, 2009; McGuire and Dimitrioglou, 1999; McGuire *et al.*,  
92 2000; Potjewijd *et al.*, 1995). However, there are, as yet, few studies aimed at the joint  
93 formulation of edible coatings and BCAs. Some isolated studies do exist, although a  
94 general overview is needed in order to acquire information about which is the most  
95 adequate design of edible coating in order to optimize the viability and effectiveness of  
96 the antagonists under practical conditions. The different physicochemical nature of the  
97 coating components can affect not only the viability and survival of the BCA but also  
98 their activity against the pathogen. It is important to consider the establishment of  
99 specific interactions between the polymeric matrix and the BCA and their influence on  
100 its biocontrol activity (Sánchez-González *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, the type and

101 concentration of coating-forming solids in relation to the incorporated BCAs could  
102 change the environmental conditions and, consequently, affect their activity.  
103 Furthermore, these aspects could influence other features of key importance for  
104 practical application, such as the BCA adherence, or the thickness of the coating layer  
105 on the fruit surface.

106 The filamentous fungus *Botrytis cinerea* is the dominant bunch rot-causing pathogen of  
107 gray mold in grapes in many temperate regions of the world, producing significant crop  
108 losses (Elmer and Reglinski, 2006; Zahavi *et al.*, 2000). Some studies have revealed that  
109 it is possible to protect grapes from gray mold disease using postharvest antifungal  
110 coatings (Romanazzi *et al.*, 2007; Sánchez-González *et al.*, 2011; Xu *et al.*, 2007).  
111 Against grey mold, different yeasts have also exhibited antagonistic activity against *B.*  
112 *cinerea* (Elmer and Reglinski, 2006; Zahavi *et al.*, 2000). Several studies have reported  
113 the efficacy of *Candida sake* CPA-1 yeast in controlling both gray mold in grapes  
114 (Calvo-Garrido *et al.*, 2013a; 2013b; 2014b; Cañamás *et al.*, 2011). In addition, it has  
115 been demonstrated that the use of the edible coating Fungicover® based on fatty acids  
116 allows to improve the efficacy of CPA-1 (Calvo-Garrido *et al.*, 2013a; 2014a).

117 Combining biocontrol and CFD agents in joint formulations to obtain active coatings  
118 could represent a good strategy to improve biocontrol efficacy. Hence, the aim of this  
119 work was to evaluate the effect of different CFDs containing *C. sake* CPA-1, based on  
120 different biopolymers (HPMC, S, NaCas or PP) with and without the addition of  
121 surfactants (oleic acid, OA, HLB: 1; Span 80, S80, HLB: 4.3; Tween 85, T85, HLB:  
122 11), on the adherence, viability and survival of *C. sake* cells, as well as to test its  
123 biocontrol efficacy against *B. cinerea* infections of coated grapes. The effect of coating-  
124 forming solids concentration respect to the BCA on these aspects was also analyzed for  
125 selected formulations. Likewise, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to

126 analyze the distribution of *C. sake* on the surface of coated grapes for some  
127 formulations and times post application.

## 128 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 129 **2.1 *Candida sake* inoculum production**

130 Strain CPA-1 of *Candida sake* (Viñas *et al.*, 1998) was originally isolated from the  
131 surface of apples by UdL-IRTA group (Lleida, Catalonia, Spain), and was deposited at  
132 the “Colección Española de Cultivos Tipo” (CECT-10817) in the “Universidad de  
133 Valencia” (Burjassot, Valencia, Spain). Cell production and formulation were carried  
134 out following methods described by Cañamás *et al.*, (2011). Briefly, stock cultures were  
135 stored on nutrient yeast dextrose agar (NYDA) medium (nutrient broth, 8 g L<sup>-1</sup>;  
136 dextrose 10 g L<sup>-1</sup>; agar 15 g L<sup>-1</sup>) at 4°C. When required, *C. sake* CPA-1 was sub-  
137 cultured onto NYDA plates at 25°C. Then, sub-cultured cells were suspended on  
138 potassium phosphate buffer (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 0.2 M, 70 mL; K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 0.2 M, 30 mL; deionized  
139 water 300 mL) were added as inoculum starter to 5 L of molasses-based medium (cane  
140 molasses 40 g L<sup>-1</sup>; urea 1.2 g L<sup>-1</sup>; water activity  $a_w = 0.996$ ), with adjustment of the  
141 initial concentration to 1×10<sup>6</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. Cell pellets were obtained by centrifugation at  
142 6831 g for 10 min at 10°C after 40 h of liquid fermentation in a BIOSTAT-A modular  
143 bioreactor (Braun Biotech International, Melsungen, Germany) at 25°C, 400 rpm  
144 agitation speed and 150 L h<sup>-1</sup> aeration level. Re-suspended pellets were then formulated  
145 in an isotonic solution, with adjustment of the water potential with trehalose as  
146 described by Abadias *et al.* (2003).

### 147 **2.2 Preparation of the coating-forming dispersions with *Candida sake***

148 HPMC and NaCas were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid, Spain). S and PP were  
149 purchased from Roquette Laisa España, S.A. (Valencia, Spain). CFDs, with and without



150 surfactants, were prepared by dispersing the biopolymers (2% w/v) in deionized water.  
 151 HPMC was heated to 80°C for 10 min and maintained under magnetic stirring at 25°C  
 152 overnight. S was maintained under stirring at 95°C for 30 min to induce starch  
 153 gelatinization. NaCas and PP were dispersed at 25°C for 2 h. After polymer dispersion,  
 154 glycerol (Panreac Química, S.L.U, Barcelona, Spain) was incorporated as plasticizer in  
 155 S, NaCas and PP CFDs at a hydrocolloid:glycerol mass ratio of 1:0.25. Surfactants (all  
 156 supplied by Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) were added at a hydrocolloid:surfactant  
 157 mass ratio of 1:0.1. The hydrocolloid:glycerol and hydrocolloid:surfactant ratios were  
 158 selected on the basis of previous studies (Jiménez *et al.*, 2012; Sánchez-González *et al.*,  
 159 2009; Sánchez-González *et al.*, 2013). CFDs were homogenized using a rotor-stator  
 160 homogenizer (Ultraturrax T25, Janke and Kunkel, Germany) at 13,600 rpm for 4  
 161 minutes and sterilized at 121°C for 15 min.

<b>Treatment</b>	<b>Treatment description</b>
CS	<i>Candida sake</i> in sterilized deionized water
HPMC HPMC-OA HPMC-S80 HPMC-T85	Coating forming dispersions based on hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC) without surfactants or with oleic acid (OA), Span 80 (S80) or Tween 85 (T85).
S S-OA S-S80 S-T85	Coating forming dispersions based on corn starch (S) without surfactants or with oleic acid (OA), Span 80 (S80) or Tween 85 (T85).
NaCas NaCas-OA NaCas-S80 NaCas-T85	Coating forming dispersions based on sodium caseinate (NaCas) without surfactants or with oleic acid (OA), Span 80 (S80) or Tween 85 (T85)..
PP PP-OA PP-S80 PP-T85	Coating forming dispersions based on pea protein (PP) without surfactants or with oleic acid (OA), Span 80 (S80) or Tween 85 (T85).

162  
 163 After cooling, *C. sake* was incorporated in CFD to a final yeast concentration of  $5 \times 10^7$   
 164 CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> (Calvo-Garrido *et al.*, 2013a; Cañamás *et al.*, 2011). The dispersions  
 165 obtained were shaken for 15 minutes at 150 rpm in a rotatory shaker (Selecta, Abrera,

166 Barcelona, Spain) to achieve a homogeneous distribution of the microorganisms. As a  
167 control, a dispersion of *C. sake* in sterilized deionized water (CS) was prepared at  $5 \times 10^7$   
168 CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. The seventeen considered treatments are summarized in Table 1.

### 169 **2.3 *Botrytis cinerea* inoculum**

170 An isolate of *Botrytis cinerea* obtained from infected grapes collected in a local  
171 vineyard in Lleida was used in this study because it was the most virulent isolate from  
172 IRTA collection. The isolate was grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) for 15 days at  
173 20°C with a daily 14 h photoperiod of near ultraviolet light and 10 h dark to induce  
174 sporulation. Conidial suspensions were prepared by adding 10 mL of sterile distilled  
175 water containing 0.01% (w/v) Tween 80 to *B. cinerea* cultures. Conidia were scraped  
176 from the agar using a sterile loop, sonicated for 5 min to facilitate conidial dispersion,  
177 and then adjusted to  $1 \times 10^4$  conidia mL<sup>-1</sup> (Cañamás *et al.*, 2011).

### 178 **2.4 Population dynamics of *Candida sake* on grapes**

179 Six replicates, consisting of five berries of table grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L., Red Globe  
180 variety) homogeneous in size and shape, were used for the application of each  
181 treatment. The berries were selected on the basis of their maturity stage and without  
182 signs of mechanical damage or fungal decay. Each sample was placed separately on a  
183 plastic grid and sprayed for 5 seconds with its corresponding treatment, including CS  
184 control, using an air brush. The samples were left to dry at room temperature and then  
185 placed in a sealed plastic box for incubation at 20°C and 85% RH for either 24 h or 7  
186 days. To study the population dynamics, each sample was weighed and transferred to  
187 Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 mL of sterile deionized water with 0.01% (w/v)  
188 Tween 80. They were shaken in a rotatory shaker at 150 rpm for 20 min and sonicated  
189 for 10 min in an ultrasound bath (Selecta, Abrera, Barcelona, Spain) to achieve the

190 maximum detachment of the yeast from the grape surface. Serial dilutions of the  
191 washings were performed in duplicate and plated onto NYDA agar medium with  
192 streptomycin sulphate (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) at a concentration of 0.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> to  
193 prevent bacterial growth. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 25°C in the dark and typical  
194 *C. sake* colonies were then counted based on their morphological characteristics.  
195 Results were expressed as log CFU per gram of treated grape.

## 196 **2.5 Efficacy of *Candida sake* against *Botrytis cinerea* on grapes**

197 Three replicates of five berries each per formulation were used to study the  
198 effectiveness of the antagonist in the biocontrol against *B. cinerea*. Samples were  
199 washed with water, left to dry and placed separately on plastic grids. Sandpaper was  
200 used to induce the rupture of the grape cuticle and favour pathogen infection. The  
201 different CFDs with *C. sake* were applied as described in section 2.4, as well as the CS  
202 control and an additional deionized water control without the antagonist (W). When the  
203 berries were dried, a conidial suspension of *B. cinerea* at 1×10<sup>4</sup> conidia mL<sup>-1</sup> was  
204 applied with an air brush and left to dry again at room temperature. Samples were  
205 incubated at 20°C and 85% RH for either 7 or 12 days. Likewise, CFDs without the  
206 incorporation of the BCA were applied in order to ascertain if they exert any antifungal  
207 effect against the pathogen.

208 The incidence of the pathogen rot was visually evaluated by counting the number of  
209 berries with the typical *B. cinerea* conidia. The severity of the pathogen infection was  
210 visually estimated and expressed as the percentage of berry surface affected by grey  
211 mold (Cañamás *et al.*, 2011). The results were expressed as the percentage reduction of  
212 the incidence and severity as referred to W.

## 213 **2.6 Microstructural analysis of coatings containing *Candida sake* on grape surface**

214 The microstructural analysis of grape surfaces coated with the formulations S, S-OA, S-  
215 T85, NaCas, NaCas-OA and NaCas-T85 containing  $5 \times 10^7$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> of *C. sake* was  
216 carried out after 24 h and 7 days post application by cryoSEM using a Scanning  
217 Electron Microscope (JEOL JSM-5410, Japan). Samples were cryofixed in slush  
218 nitrogen and observed, after gold coating, using an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.  
219 Images of the coated grape surface were obtained to analyze the distribution of *C. sake*  
220 on the grape surface with different coating formulations.

### 221 **2.7 Influence of the ratio of coating-forming solids:BCA on *Candida sake* viability** 222 **and efficacy**

223 The influence of the ratio of the coating-forming solids with respect to the *C. sake*  
224 concentration in the CFDs on the antagonist efficacy was also analyzed for some  
225 selected formulations, in order to know if there is a critical ratio for promoting its  
226 viability and efficacy as BCA. In practical terms, it would be preferable a minimum  
227 amount of solids in order to limit the quantity of non-active material and to obtain a  
228 final product with a competitive price. To this end, two of the initially tested  
229 biopolymers were selected: NaCas, for its positive results and S due to its low cost.  
230 Some modifications of the initial formulations were introduced: native corn starch was  
231 replaced by pre-gelatinized corn starch to avoid the necessary gelatinization; and the use  
232 of glycerol as plasticizer was discarded since its incorporation into the CFDs did not  
233 have a positive effect on the activity of the antagonist, as deduced from a previous study  
234 (data not shown).

235 CFDs based on NaCas and S were prepared with different ratios of coating-forming  
236 solids maintaining a *C. sake* concentration of  $5 \times 10^7$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. The concentrations of  
237 coating solids used were: 25, 12.5, 16.25, 5, 3.75, 2.5 or 1.25 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. The mass of the  
238 hydrocolloid required for each treatment was dispersed in 50 mL deionized water and

239 after its complete dispersion, the CFD were sterilized at 121°C for 15 min. *C. sake* was  
240 incorporated into CFDs at the yeast concentration required as in section 2.2. The  
241 adherence and survival of *C. sake* on grapes and its efficacy against *B. cinerea* for the  
242 different CFDs were analyzed as described in sections 2.4 and 2.5. The results of the  
243 population dynamics were expressed as the difference of log CFU per gram of treated  
244 grape with respect to the CS treatment without coating solids. For the efficacy assays,  
245 the percentage of incidence and severity reduction referred to the W was also reported.

## 246 **2.8 Statistical analysis**

247 The statistical analysis of the population dynamics of *C. sake* and the incidence and  
248 severity of *B. cinerea* infection was performed through an analysis of variance  
249 (ANOVA) using Statgraphics Centurion XVI version 16.1.17 (Manugistics Corp.,  
250 Rockville, Md.). CFU data were log-transformed prior to ANOVA to improve the  
251 homogeneity of variances. Significant differences were determined using LSD test ( $p <$   
252 0.05).

### 253 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 254 3.1 Population dynamics of *Candida sake* on grapes

255 The influence of the different CFD formulations on the population data of *C. sake* on  
256 grapes can be observed in Figure 1. 24 h after application, *C. sake* populations on berry  
257 surface were between 5 and 6 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup> in every case, as previously reported by  
258 Cañamás *et al.* (2011) in field experiments using CPA-1. Significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher  
259 values, compared to the control treatment (CS), were observed for S, NaCas and PP-OA  
260 formulations. In this sense, a high rate of yeast survival after the application step is  
261 important to ensure that there is a high number of CFU available to colonize the fruit  
262 surface (McGuire and Dimitrioglou, 1999). After 7 days of incubation, an increase in the  
263 *C. sake* population was observed for all treatments, including the one without coating-  
264 forming agents. All NaCas-based coatings, with and without surfactants, PP-OA and  
265 PP-T85 showed a significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) population on berries than the rest of  
266 treatments, including the control. These results indicate that all of the coating  
267 formulations used were suitable carriers for the microorganism and allowed *C.sake* cells  
268 to effectively establish on the fruit surface. It is likely that the layer created by these  
269 coatings on the berry surface could generate a beneficial environment for the BCA that  
270 would stimulate its survival (Cañamás *et al.*, 2011). Other authors have reported  
271 beneficial effects of some components on the survival of some BCAs. McGuire and  
272 Baldwin (1994) and McGuire and Dimitrioglou (1999) reported that coatings based on  
273 cellulose or sucrose esters supported high numbers of the yeast *Candida oleophila* when  
274 applied on grapefruits. Similarly, Potjewijd *et al.* (1995) observed that a  
275 methylcellulose-based coating applied on oranges was the best carrier for the pathogen  
276 antagonists *Candida guilliermondii* and *Debaromyces spp.*

277 In general, all protein-based coatings (NaCas and PP) led to the highest initial adherence  
278 and survival rate of the yeast, promoting their growth during incubation time, which  
279 was especially notable for formulations with NaCas. In these formulations, the highest  
280 value of log CFU g<sup>-1</sup>, 6.89, was observed with no significant effect of surfactants. In  
281 general, surfactants did not significantly affect ( $p > 0.05$ ) the BCA survival, except for  
282 PP formulations, where the addition of OA and T85 had a marked positive effect after 7  
283 incubation days. The positive effect of proteins could be attributed to a better  
284 availability of adequate nutrients for *C. sake*. In the case of polysaccharides, S showed a  
285 significantly higher population of cells with respect to CS, but only after 24 h of  
286 incubation.

287 The presence of a high number of CFU available to rapidly germinate and grow on the  
288 fruit surface before the arrival of the pathogen is a key factor in the prevention or  
289 reduction of the disease development, especially when the mechanism of action is based  
290 on the competition for space and nutrients, such as it is described for *C. sake* (Fokkema,  
291 1996; Ippolito and Nigro, 2000). In this sense, formulations with NaCas and S would be  
292 suitable to improve the biocontrol effect of the yeast.

### 293 **3.2 Efficacy of *Candida sake* against *Botrytis cinerea* on grapes**

294 The effect of the different formulations on the effectiveness of *C. sake* in the biocontrol  
295 of *B. cinerea* is shown in Figure 2, as the percentage of incidence reduction with respect  
296 to the control sample W, after 7 and 12 days of inoculation. The untreated controls  
297 showed an incidence infection of 86% and 96.5% after 7 and 12 days of infection,  
298 respectively, and a value of infection severity of 70.5%. In general, all treatments  
299 exhibited a similar or higher reduction than the CS control after 7 days of incubation.  
300 Several treatments showed a significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher reduction of the infection

301 with respect to the solid-free formulation of *C. sake*. The highest reduction was obtained  
302 for the S-T85, HPMC-S80 and PP-OA treatments, with reduction values higher than  
303 80%. PP, HPMC, NaCas and NaCas-OA treatments also reached good levels of  
304 biocontrol but the reductions were slightly lower. In agreement with our results El  
305 Ghaouth *et al.* (2000) reported that the combination of the yeast *Candida satoiana* with  
306 glycolchitosan was more effective controlling gray mold on apple caused by *B. cinerea*  
307 than the independent applications of *C. satoiana*.

308 After 12 days of incubation, the reduction of the incidence decreased in every case  
309 because of the progression of the existing infection. Nevertheless, some of the applied  
310 treatments (S-T85, NaCas, NaCas-OA, PP and PP-OA) still maintained a significantly  
311 higher reduction of the *Botrytis* incidence than CS control. Among the treatments which  
312 better controlled the pathogen growth at 7 days, those containing HPMC were not  
313 effective after 12 days of incubation, which could be associated with the lack of yeast  
314 viability after long times in this substrate. The infection control with both proteins and S  
315 coatings was coherent with the greatest viability of *C. sake* in these supports, as  
316 previously mentioned.

317 The effectiveness of the CFDs without BCA was also evaluated (data not shown) and  
318 no significant effect could be observed in the control of the infection, since all  
319 formulations showed low or no effect. This indicates that components used in the CFDs  
320 did not themselves exhibit antifungal effects, although they could enhance the BCA  
321 action through different mechanisms, such as supplying adequate nutrients or water  
322 retention contribution.

323 Nevertheless, several authors have found effectiveness against some pathogens of some  
324 coatings. For example, Calvo-Garrido *et al.* (2014a) demonstrated the efficacy of a fatty



325 acid-based product with coating-forming ability against *B. cinerea* by a multiple mode  
326 of action. Other studies have reported the use of fatty acid-based products in other fruit crops to  
327 act against *B. cinerea* and other fungal pathogens (Hou and Forman 2000; Montealegre *et al.*,  
328 2010; Řiháková *et al.*, 2001). Likewise, chitosan-based coatings have been widely studied due to  
329 its antimicrobial properties (Reglinski *et al.*, 2010; Romanazzi *et al.*, 2009) which can be  
330 promoted by the incorporation of other bioactive compounds (Sánchez-González *et al.*,  
331 2011; Perdonés *et al.*, 2012). Aloui *et al.*, (2014; 2015) also reported that sodium  
332 alginate and locust bean gum based coatings had a slight indirect effect on the fungal  
333 decay of oranges and grapes.

334 The effect of surfactants on the infection control was not related to their effect observed  
335 on the viability of yeast cells. For NaCas, the same cell viability was obtained for  
336 treatments with and without surfactants, whereas the incidence reduction by  
337 formulations was only notable in surfactant-free samples or those with OA. In the case  
338 of PP treatments, T85 enhanced yeast viability but did not improve the infection control.  
339 On the contrary, T85, which was not effective at promoting *C. sake* viability in S  
340 formulations, significantly improved its biocontrol efficacy. This suggests that the  
341 interactions of the support components, not only with the BCA but also with the  
342 infectious agent, play an important role in biocontrol.

343 All protein-based coatings showed a better control of the severity of the infection.  
344 NaCas, NaCas-OA, NaCas-T85, PP and PP-OA significantly improved ( $p < 0.05$ ) the  
345 reduction of infection severity with respect to CS treatment. S-T85 treatments achieved  
346 levels of control similar to those of the mentioned CFDs based on proteins. These  
347 results suggest that the overall balance of interactions among molecular components of  
348 CFD and the antagonist cells affected the final action of the BCA against the pathogen.  
349 In fact, McGuire and Hagenmaier (1996) reported a presumable effect of some

350 compounds of commercial coatings, such as surfactants, on microbial survival,  
351 including that of pathogens.

### 352 **3.3 Microstructural analysis of coatings containing *Candida sake* on grape surface**

353 In order to analyze the distribution of cells on the grape surface as well as their possible  
354 morphological changes throughout time, SEM observations were carried out on newly  
355 NaCas and S coated samples (24h after coating treatment) and on those stored for 7  
356 days at 20°C and 85% RH . The same samples, with the addition of OA and T85  
357 surfactants, were also observed in order to analyze the effect of these surfactants on the  
358 cell distribution on the grape surface. Figure 4 shows representative images of the  
359 surface of grapes coated with *C. sake* dispersions in water (CS) and in the CFDs based  
360 on NaCas and S. The grape surfaces were partially covered by the coatings and the BCA  
361 cells were surrounded by a biofilm (Figure 4b), which was probably excreted for their  
362 protection. In grapes with CS, the typical crystalline formations of the epicuticular  
363 natural surface wax were observed (Fava *et al.*, 2011). This waxy structure appeared  
364 coated with a polymer layer when bioactive coatings incorporating *C. sake* were applied  
365 on grape surface. Grape surface appeared smoother, more homogeneous, and more  
366 uniform as a result of coatings application. In general, the coating distribution was  
367 uneven since coated and uncoated areas were observed in the samples. The surface  
368 coated with NaCas formulations (Figures 4c and 4d) exhibited a more granular  
369 appearance due to the globular structure of the protein. In contrast, S based coatings  
370 (Figures 4e and 4f) led to a smoother and more homogeneous surface.

371 The formation of cell aggregates was observed, probably as result of the natural  
372 tendency of microorganisms to attach onto solid surfaces thereby forming biofilms  
373 (Domínguez-Manzano *et al.*, 2012), while it could be also promoted by the loss of water

374 during the coating drying. Biofilm formation includes the bonding of the cells to a solid  
375 surface and the presence of an extracellular matrix (Nobile and Mitchell, 2007). Cell  
376 aggregates were more extensive and multilayered in water-coated grapes, whereas the  
377 presence of coatings resulted in monolayer accumulations.

378 In grapes treated with NaCas coatings (Figures 4c and 4d), the cells appeared more  
379 irregularly coated and small globular protein particles were observed on their surface.  
380 On the contrary, a greater coverage was observed in grapes treated with S (Figures 4e  
381 and 4f). This could be explained by the ability of the polysaccharide chains to coat the  
382 cells and fill the gaps between them.

383 In Figures 5 and 6, the effect of the incorporation of the surfactant on the formulation of  
384 NaCas and S coatings can be observed after 1 and 7 days of application. Surfactants  
385 induced a greater disaggregation of cells, which appeared much more dispersed and  
386 isolated on the surface as compared to grapes coated with both polymers without  
387 surfactants. So, the incorporation of surfactants reduced the formation of aggregates.  
388 Likewise, the appearance of the coatings was more heterogeneous due to the lack of  
389 miscibility of the surfactants with the polymers, which gave rise to lipid dispersed  
390 particles inside the polymer matrix, depending on the polymer-surfactant interactions.  
391 The observed heterogeneity of the coatings with surfactants has been previously  
392 described also from SEM micrographs of starch-surfactant based films by Jiménez *et*  
393 *al.*, (2012) and Ortega-Toro *et al.* (2014). After 7 days of incubation, clusters of cells  
394 were again observed on the grape surface treated with S and NaCas with surfactants,  
395 which might be attributed to the yeast growth from the initial isolated cells with the  
396 subsequent increase in their population, as previously commented on. In the case of  
397 grapes coated with S and surfactants, some *C. sake* cells exhibited a more elongated  
398 appearance probably associated to their division process (Figures 6b and 6d). In grapes

399 coated with NaCas and surfactants the aggregates showed different layers (Figures 5b  
400 and 5d). The cells in the layers below presented a dehydrated aspect as compared to  
401 cells in the upper part. The appearance observed for the new cells in NaCas and S films  
402 was different. Cells in S treated samples became more dehydrated and were less vital in  
403 appearance than those coated with NaCas. Thus, SEM images revealed an apparently  
404 better preservation and vitality of *C. sake* when NaCas was used in BCA formulation.  
405 This agrees with the higher counts obtained for NaCas treated samples after 7  
406 incubation days.

#### 407 **3.4 Influence of the ratio of coating-forming solids:BCA on *Candida sake* viability** 408 **and efficacy**

409 The effect of the proportion of coating-forming solids with respect to the concentration  
410 of BCA was analyzed in order to establish the minimum amount of solids that improve  
411 the antagonistic activity. For this purpose, coatings based on NaCas and S were  
412 selected, as explained above. Data were analyzed in terms of the relative increase in the  
413 BCA population ( $\Delta\log$  CFU) with respect to the corresponding control (CS) after the  
414 different incubation times (24 h and 7 days; figure 7). It is remarkable that the coatings  
415 had positive ( $+\Delta\log$  CFU) and negative effects ( $-\Delta\log$  CFU) on the population of *C.*  
416 *sake*, depending on the incubation time, solid ratios and polymer type. In general, after  
417 24 h, coatings based on S had a positive effect over the whole range of solid ratios,  
418 while those based on NaCas only had a positive effect when applied at low  
419 concentrations (2.5, 3.75 and 5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>). The behavior of NaCas-based coatings with  
420 low solid concentrations was contrary to the observed tendencies (lines in the plot),  
421 whereby the higher the solid ratio the higher the  $\Delta\log$  increase. Concerning the  
422 incubation time, a negative effect was always observed in coatings based on S, whereas  
423 for NaCas-based coatings a positive effect was found but only for the highest

424 concentrations (upper 5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) where lower counts were obtained after 24 h of  
425 incubation. For a high ratio of solids:BCA, these results agreed with those observed in  
426 the first experimental series carried out with a solid concentration of 20 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. As  
427 previously commented on, a population increase in *C. sake* was observed for NaCas  
428 coatings, whereas no significant cell growth occurred in S coated samples during the 7  
429 incubation days. Likewise, the SEM micrographs also showed the *C. sake* growth in  
430 NaCas coated grapes during 7 incubation days, whereas although cells in S coated  
431 grapes seemed to grow, they appeared altered in shape in the micrographs.

432 This behaviour suggests that in order to ensure the better survival of *C. sake* during the  
433 coating drying and incubation time, a minimum concentration of coating solids is  
434 required, although this value is dependent on the kind of solids. NaCas better preserved  
435 the viability of *C. sake* during incubation time, promoting its growth; and the greater the  
436 solid ratio was, the higher the cell count difference with respect to the control after 7  
437 incubation days. Although this same tendency was observed for S coatings, the colony  
438 number significantly decreased after 7 incubation days.

439 The effect of the coating solid ratio on the reduction of the incidence and severity of the  
440 *B. cinerea* infection was also analyzed after 6 days of incubation (Figures 8 and 9). A  
441 significantly greater incidence reduction was observed for high solid ratios. The  
442 treatments with a significant reduction in the incidence with respect to the CS treatment  
443 were those containing more than 5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> of NaCas or more than 2.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> of S  
444 (except intermediate values, 5 and 6.5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> for S, where no significant differences  
445 were found). Similarly, the reduction in the severity of the infection (Figure 9) was  
446 significantly higher than that of the CS treatment when the NaCas concentration was  
447 higher than 5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> and when the S concentration was 2.5, 3.75 or 25 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>.  
448 Therefore, the amount of coating solids in relation to the CFU had an effect on the

449 efficacy of *C. sake* against *B. cinerea*, which was also dependent on the kind of  
450 polymer. The use of NaCas gave rise to a good efficacy of the BCA at a higher solid  
451 ratio than S, in line with its better support for the growth of the *C. sake* during  
452 incubation time. The improvement in the efficacy of *C. sake* at controlling *B. cinerea*  
453 agreed with the increase in the population of the BCA throughout time and the vital  
454 appearance of the cells in SEM micrographs, which guarantees their biocontrol action.  
455 This was confirmed in the second experimental series with different ratios of coating-  
456 forming solids with respect to the BCA CFUs. After 7 incubation days, greater cell  
457 counts could be observed for NaCas than for S coatings, both of which were higher  
458 when the coating-forming solids increased in the formulation. The greater nutrient  
459 availability for cells on the grape surface and the better limitation of cell drying  
460 throughout time, when a high ratio of coating-forming solids covered the grapes, could  
461 explain this finding.

#### 462 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

463 In conclusion, coating-forming solids improved the survival and efficacy of *C. sake* as  
464 BCA of *B. cinerea*, depending on the polymer type and ratio of coating solids. The  
465 addition of surfactants did not imply additional positive effects, although they promoted  
466 a better cell dispersion onto the grape surface. Nevertheless, cell growth during the  
467 incubation time led to the formation of cell aggregates, even when surfactants were  
468 added to the formulations. Taking into account the relative increase in the survival and  
469 efficacy of *C. sake*, and the cost of ingredients, NaCas or S are recommended to  
470 formulate preparations in order to obtain coating-forming systems with this BCA  
471 against *B. cinerea* in grapes. The highest polymer:CFU ratios in the formulation  
472 exhibited better biocontrol properties and so, this is also recommended. For NaCas, at  
473 least 6 mg for  $5 \times 10^7$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> was required to ensure the effective biocontrol of *B.*

474 *cinerea*. In the case of S, 2.5 mg for  $5 \times 10^7$  mL<sup>-1</sup> CFU also led to an improved effective  
475 biocontrol.

## 476 **5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

477 The authors are grateful to the Spanish Government for the financial support from the  
478 national project RTA2012-00067-C02 (Instituto Nacional de Investigación y  
479 Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria, Spain and FEDER funds) and to the Conselleria  
480 d'Educació of the Generalitat Valenciana, (Spain) for A. Marín's PhD grant.

## 481 **6. REFERENCES**

482 Abadias, M., Teixidó, N., Usall, J., Viñas, I., Magan, N., 2001. Improving water stress  
483 tolerance of the biocontrol yeast *Candida sake* grown in molasses-based media by  
484 physiological manipulation. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 47, 123–129. doi:10.1139/w00-138

485 Abadias, M., Usall, J., Teixidó, N., Viñas, I., 2003. Liquid formulation of the  
486 postharvest biocontrol agent *Candida sake* CPA-1 in isotonic solutions. *Phytopathology*  
487 93, 436–442. doi:10.1094/PHYTO.2003.93.4.436

488 Aloui, H., Khwaldia, K., Sánchez-González, L., Muneret, L., Jeandel, C., Hamdi, M.,  
489 Desobry, S., 2014. Alginate coatings containing grapefruit essential oil or grapefruit  
490 seed extract for grapes preservation. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 49, 952–959.  
491 doi:10.1111/ijfs.12387

492 Aloui, H., Licciardello, F., Khwaldia, K., Hamdi, M., Restuccia, C., 2015. Physical  
493 properties and antifungal activity of bioactive films containing *Wickerhamomyces*  
494 *anomalous* killer yeast and their application for preservation of oranges and control of  
495 postharvest green mold caused by *Penicillium digitatum*. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 200,  
496 22–30. doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.01.015

497 Calvo-Garrido, C., Elmer, P. a G., Viñas, I., Usall, J., Bartra, E., Teixidó, N., 2013a.  
498 Biological control of botrytis bunch rot in organic wine grapes with the yeast antagonist  
499 *Candida sake* CPA-1. Plant Pathol. 62, 510–519. doi:10.1111/j.1365-  
500 3059.2012.02684.x

501 Calvo-Garrido, C., Viñas, I., Elmer, P., Usall, J., Teixidó, N., 2013b. *Candida sake*  
502 CPA-1 and other biologically based products as potential control strategies to reduce  
503 sour rot of grapes. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 57, 356–361. doi:10.1111/lam.12121

504 Calvo-Garrido, C., Elmer, P. a G., Parry, F.J., Viñas, I., Usall, J., Torres, R., Agnew,  
505 R.H., Teixidó, N., 2014a. Mode of action of a fatty acid-based natural product to control  
506 *Botrytis cinerea* in grapes. J. Appl. Microbiol. 116, 967–979. doi:10.1111/jam.12430

507 Calvo-Garrido, C., Viñas, I., Usall, J., Rodríguez-Romera, M., Ramos, M.C., Teixidó,  
508 N., 2014b. Survival of the biological control agent *Candida sake* CPA-1 on grapes  
509 under the influence of abiotic factors. J. Appl. Microbiol. 117, 800–811.  
510 doi:10.1111/jam.12570

511 Cañamás, T.P., Viñas, I., Usall, J., Casals, C., Solsona, C., Teixidó, N., 2008a. Control  
512 of postharvest diseases on citrus fruit by preharvest application of the biocontrol agent  
513 *Pantoea agglomerans* CPA-2. Part I. Study of different formulation strategies to  
514 improve survival of cells in unfavourable environmental conditions. Postharvest Biol.  
515 Technol. 49, 86–95. doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.12.006

516 Cañamás, T.P., Viñas, I., Usall, J., Torres, R., Anguera, M., Teixidó, N., 2008b. Control  
517 of postharvest diseases on citrus fruit by preharvest applications of biocontrol agent  
518 *Pantoea agglomerans* CPA-2. Part II. Effectiveness of different cell formulations.  
519 Postharvest Biol. Technol. 49, 96–106. doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.12.005



520 Cañamás, T.P., Viñas, I., Torres, R., Usall, J., Solsona, C., Teixidó, N., 2011. Field  
521 applications of improved formulations of *Candida sake* CPA-1 for control of *Botrytis*  
522 *cinerea* in grapes. *Biol. Control* 56, 150–158. doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2010.11.007

523 Choi, W., Han, J.H., 2001. Physical and mechanical properties of pea-protein-based  
524 edible films. *J. Food Sci.* 66, 319–322.

525 Domínguez-Manzano, J., Olmo-Ruiz, C., Bautista-Gallego, J., Arroyo-López, F.N.,  
526 Garrido-Fernández, A., Jiménez-Díaz, R., 2012. Biofilm formation on abiotic and biotic  
527 surfaces during Spanish style green table olive fermentation. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*  
528 157, 230–238. doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2012.05.011

529 Droby, S., Wisniewski, M., El Ghaouth, A., Wilson, C., 2003. Influence of food  
530 additives on the control of postharvest rots of apple and peach and efficacy of the yeast-  
531 based biocontrol product Aspire. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 27, 127–135.  
532 doi:10.1016/S0925-5214(02)00046-7

533 Droby, S., Wisniewski, M., Macarasin, D., Wilson, C., 2009. Twenty years of  
534 postharvest biocontrol research: Is it time for a new paradigm? *Postharvest Biol.*  
535 *Technol.* 52, 137–145. doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2008.11.009

536 El-Ghaouth, A., Smilanick, J.L., Wilson, C.L., 2000. Enhancement of the performance  
537 of *Candida saitoana* by the addition of glycolchitosan for the control of postharvest  
538 decay of apple and citrus fruit. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 19, 103–110.  
539 doi:10.1016/S0925-5214(00)00076-4

540 Elmer, P.A.G., Reglinski, T., 2006. Biosuppression of *Botrytis cinerea* in grapes. *Plant*  
541 *Pathol.* 55, 155–177. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3059.2006.01348.x

542 Fan, Y., Xu, Y., Wang, D., Zhang, L., Sun, J., Sun, L., Zhang, B., 2009. Effect of  
543 alginate coating combined with yeast antagonist on strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa*)  
544 preservation quality. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 53, 84–90.  
545 doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2009.03.002

546 Fava, J., Hodara, K., Nieto, A., Guerrero, S., Alzamora, S.M., Castro, M.A., 2011.  
547 Structure (micro, ultra, nano), color and mechanical properties of *Vitis labrusca* L.  
548 (grape berry) fruits treated by hydrogen peroxide, UV-C irradiation and ultrasound.  
549 *Food Res. Int.* 44, 2938–2948. doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2011.06.053

550 Fokkema, N.J., 1996. Biological control of fungal plant diseases. *Entomophaga* 41,  
551 333–342. doi:10.1007/BF02765788

552 Hernández-Muñoz, P., Almenar, E., Valle, V., Velez, D., Gavara, R., 2008. Effect of  
553 chitosan coating combined with postharvest calcium treatment on strawberry (*Fragaria*  
554 × *ananassa*) quality during refrigerated storage. *Food Chem.* 110, 428–435.  
555 doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.02.020

556 Hou, C.T., Forman III, R.J., 2000. Growth inhibition of plant pathogenic fungi by  
557 hydroxy fatty acids. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 24, 275–276.

558 Ippolito, A., Nigro, F., 2000. Impact of preharvest application of biological control  
559 agents on postharvest diseases of fresh fruits and vegetables. *Crop Prot.* 19, 715–723.  
560 doi:10.1016/S0261-2194(00)00095-8

561 Jiménez, A., Fabra, M.J., Talens, P., Chiralt, A., 2012. Effect of re-crystallization on  
562 tensile, optical and water vapour barrier properties of corn starch films containing fatty  
563 acids. *Food Hydrocoll.* 26, 302–310. doi:10.1016/j.foodhyd.2011.06.009

564 Liu, J., Wisniewski, M., Droby, S., Norelli, J., Hershkovitz, V., Tian, S., Farrell, R.,  
565 2012. Increase in antioxidant gene transcripts, stress tolerance and biocontrol efficacy of  
566 *Candida oleophila* following sublethal oxidative stress exposure. FEMS Microbiol.  
567 Ecol. 80, 578–590. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01324.x

568 McGuire, R.G., 2000. Population dynamics of postharvest decay antagonists growing  
569 epiphytically and within wounds on grapefruit. Phytopathology 90, 1217–1223.  
570 doi:10.1094/PHYTO.2000.90.11.1217

571 McGuire, R.G., Baldwin, E.A., 1994. Compositions of cellulose coatings affect  
572 populations of yeasts in the liquid formulation and on coated grapefruits. Proc. Florida  
573 State Hortic. Soc. 107, 293–297.

574 McGuire, R.G., Dimitroglou, D.A., 1999. Evaluation of shellac and sucrose ester fruit  
575 coating formulations that support biological control of post-harvest grapefruit decay.  
576 Biocontrol Sci. Technol. 9, 53–65. doi:10.1080/09583159929901

577 McGuire, R.G., Hagenmaier, R.D., 1996. Shellac coatings for grapefruits that favor  
578 biological control of *Penicillium digitatum* by *Candida oleophila*. Biol. Control 7, 100–  
579 106. doi:10.1006/bcon.1996.0071

580 Mokiou, S., Magan, N., 2008. Physiological manipulation and formulation of the  
581 biocontrol yeast *Pichia anomala* for control of *Penicillium verrucosum* and ochratoxin  
582 A contamination of moist grain. Biocontrol Sci. Technol. 18, 1063–1073.  
583 doi:10.1080/09583150802585769

584 Montealegre, J.R., López, C., Stadnik, M.J., Henríquez, J.L., Herrera, R., Polanco, R.,  
585 Di Piero, R.. M., Pérez, L.M., 2010. Control of grey rot of apple fruits by biologically

586 active natural products. Trop. Plant Pathol. 35, 271–276. doi:10.1590/S1982-  
587 56762010000500001

588 Nobile, C.J., Mitchell, A.P., 2007. Microbial biofilms: e pluribus unum. Curr. Biol. 17,  
589 349–353. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.02.035

590 Ortega-Toro, R., Jiménez, A., Talens, P., Chiralt, A., 2014. Effect of the incorporation  
591 of surfactants on the physical properties of corn starch films. Food Hydrocoll. 38, 66–  
592 75. doi:10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.11.011

593 Pastor, C., Sánchez-González, L., Marcilla, A., Chiralt, A., Cháfer, M., González-  
594 Martínez, C., 2011. Quality and safety of table grapes coated with  
595 hydroxypropylmethylcellulose edible coatings containing propolis extract. Postharvest  
596 Biol. Technol. 60, 64–70. doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2010.11.003

597 Perdonés, A., Sánchez-González, L., Chiralt, A., Vargas, M., 2012. Effect of chitosan-  
598 lemon essential oil coatings on storage-keeping quality of strawberry. Postharvest Biol.  
599 Technol. 70, 32–41. doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2012.04.002

600 Potjewijd, R., Nisperos, M.O., Burns, J.K., Parish, M., Baldwin, E.A., 1995. Cellulose-  
601 based coatings as carriers for *Candida guilliermondii* and *Debaryomyces sp.* in reducing  
602 decay of oranges. HortScience 30, 1417–1421.

603 Reglinski, T., Elmer, P. a G., Taylor, J.T., Wood, P.N., Hoyte, S.M., 2010. Inhibition of  
604 *Botrytis cinerea* growth and suppression of botrytis bunch rot in grapes using chitosan.  
605 Plant Pathol. 59, 882–890. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02312.x

606 Řiháková, Z., Plocková, M., Filip, V., Šmidrkal, J., 2001. Antifungal activity of lauric  
607 acid derivatives against *Aspergillus niger*. Eur. Food Res. Technol. 213, 488–490.  
608 doi:10.1007/s002170100416

609 Rodríguez, M., Osés, J., Ziani, K., Maté, J.I., 2006. Combined effect of plasticizers and  
610 surfactants on the physical properties of starch based edible films. *Food Res. Int.* 39,  
611 840–846. doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2006.04.002

612 Romanazzi, G., Karabulut, O.A., Smilanick, J.L., 2007. Combination of chitosan and  
613 ethanol to control postharvest gray mold of table grapes. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 45,  
614 134–140. doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.01.004

615 Romanazzi, G., Gabler, F.M., Margosan, D., Mackey, B.E., Smilanick, J.L., 2009.  
616 Effect of chitosan dissolved in different acids on its ability to control postharvest gray  
617 mold of table grape. *Phytopathology* 99, 1028–1036. doi:10.1094/PHYTO-99-9-1028

618 Sánchez-González, L., Vargas, M., González-Martínez, C., Chiralt, A., Cháfer, M.,  
619 2009. Characterization of edible films based on hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and tea  
620 tree essential oil. *Food Hydrocoll.* 23, 2102–2109. doi:10.1016/j.foodhyd.2009.05.006

621 Sánchez-González, L., Pastor, C., Vargas, M., Chiralt, A., González-Martínez, C.,  
622 Cháfer, M., 2011. Effect of hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and chitosan coatings with  
623 and without bergamot essential oil on quality and safety of cold-stored grapes.  
624 *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 60, 57–63. doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2010.11.004

625 Sánchez-González, L., Quintero Saavedra, J.I., Chiralt, A., 2013. Physical properties  
626 and antilisterial activity of bioactive edible films containing *Lactobacillus plantarum*.  
627 *Food Hydrocoll.* 33, 92–98. doi:10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.02.011

628 Teixidó, N., Viñas, I., Usall, J., Magan, N., 1998. Control of blue mold of apples by  
629 preharvest application of *Candida sake* grown in media with different water activity.  
630 *Phytopathology* 88, 960–964. doi:10.1094/PHYTO.1998.88.9.960

631 Teixidó, N., Torres, R., Viñas, I., Abadias, M., Usall, J., 2011. Biological control of  
632 postharvest diseases in fruit and vegetables, Protective In: Lacroix, C. (ed). Protective  
633 cultures, antimicrobial metabolites and bacteriophages for food and beverage  
634 biopreservation. Woodhead Publishing Limited, 364-402  
635 doi:10.1533/9780857090522.3.364

636 Vargas, M., Pastor, C., Chiralt, A., McClements, D.J., González-Martínez, C., 2008.  
637 Recent advances in edible coatings for fresh and minimally processed fruits. Crit. Rev.  
638 Food Sci. Nutr. 48, 496–511. doi:10.1080/10408390701537344

639 Viñas, I., Usall, J., Teixidó, N., Sanchis, V., 1998. Biological control of major  
640 postharvest pathogens on apple with *Candida sake*. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 40, 9–16.  
641 doi:10.1016/S0168-1605(98)00009-9

642 Xu, W.T., Huang, K.L., Guo, F., Qu, W., Yang, J.J., Liang, Z.H., Luo, Y.B., 2007.  
643 Postharvest grapefruit seed extract and chitosan treatments of table grapes to control  
644 *Botrytis cinerea*. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 46, 86–94.  
645 doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.03.019

646 Zahavi, T., Cohen, L., Weiss, B., Schena, L., Daus, a., Kaplunov, T., Zutkhi, J., Ben-  
647 Arie, R., Droby, S., 2000. Biological control of *Botrytis*, *Aspergillus* and *Rhizopus* rots  
648 on table and wine grapes in Israel. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 20, 115–124.

649

650 **TABLE CAPTIONS**

651 **Table 1.** Treatments based on different edible coatings and *Candida sake* at  $5 \cdot 10^7$  CFU  
652  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  applied on grapes.

653 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

654 **Figure 1.** Population of *Candida sake* applied with different coating-forming  
655 dispersions on grape surface at 24 h and 7 days after application: CS: *C. sake* in water;  
656 hydroxypropymethylcellulose (HPMC), corn starch (S), sodium caseinate (NaCas), pea  
657 protein (PP), oleic acid (OA), Span 80 (S80) and Tween 85 (T85). Different letters in  
658 the bars indicate significant differences determined using LSD test ( $p < 0.05$ ) for each  
659 time. \* indicate the treatments that significantly improved the population with respect to  
660 CS.

661 **Figure 2.** Percentage of reduction of *Botrytis cinerea* incidence on grape berries by  
662 applications of *Candida sake* incorporated in different coating-forming dispersions after  
663 7 and 12 days of incubation. CS: *C. sake* in water; hydroxypropymethylcellulose  
664 (HPMC), corn starch (S), sodium caseinate (NaCas), pea protein (PP), oleic acid (OA),  
665 Span 80 (S80) and Tween 85 (T85). Different letters in the bars indicate significant  
666 differences determined using LSD test ( $p < 0.05$ ) for each time. \* indicate the  
667 treatments that significantly improved the results of CS treatment.

668 **Figure 3.** Percentage of reduction of *Botrytis cinerea* severity on grape berries by  
669 applications of *Candida sake* incorporated in different coating-forming dispersions after  
670 12 days of incubation. CS: *C. sake* in water; hydroxypropymethylcellulose (HPMC),  
671 corn starch (S), sodium caseinate (NaCas), pea protein (PP), oleic acid (OA), Span 80  
672 (S80) and Tween 85 (T85). Different letters in the bars indicate significant differences

673 determined using LSD test ( $p < 0.05$ ) between treatments. \* indicate the treatments that  
674 significantly improved the results of CS treatment.

675 **Figure 4.** SEM images of coated grape surface with *Candida sake* formulations: water  
676 (a, b); sodium caseinate (NaCas) (c, d); corn starch (S) (e, f).

677 **Figure 5.** SEM images of coated grape surface with *Candida sake* formulations: corn  
678 starch (S) with oleic acid (OA) at 24 h and 7 days (a, b); S with Tween 85 (T85) at 24 h  
679 and 7 days (c, d).

680 **Figure 6.** SEM images of coated grape surface with *Candida sake* formulations: sodium  
681 caseinate (NaCas) with oleic acid (OA) at 24 h and 7 days (a, b); NaCas with Tween 85  
682 (T85) at 24 h and 7 days (c, d).

683 **Figure 7.** Relative population increase of *Candida sake* with respect to the  
684 corresponding control as a function of the amount ( $\text{mg mL}^{-1}$ ) of coating-forming solids  
685 with respect to the BCA colonies ( $5 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1}$ ), for corn starch (S) and sodium  
686 caseinate (NaCas) coatings applied on grapes surface after 24 h and 7 days of  
687 application. (lines: tendencies of  $\Delta \log$  vs. solid concentration). LSD intervals of the  
688 controls at 24 h and 7 days.

689 **Figure 8.** Percentage of reduction of *Botrytis cinerea* incidence on grape berries by  
690 applications of *Candida sake* as a function of the amount ( $\text{mg mL}^{-1}$ ) of coating-forming  
691 solids with respect to the BCA colonies ( $5 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1}$ ) after 6 days of incubation.  
692 S: corn starch, NaCas: sodium caseinate. Different letters in the bars indicate significant  
693 differences determined using LSD test ( $p < 0.05$ ). \* indicate the treatments that  
694 significantly improved the results of CS treatment.



695 **Figure 9.** Percentage of reduction of *Botrytis cinerea* severity on grape berries by  
696 applications of *Candida sake* as a function of the amount ( $\text{mg mL}^{-1}$ ) of coating-forming  
697 solids with respect to the BCA colonies ( $5 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1}$ ) after 10 days of incubation.  
698 S: corn starch, NaCas: sodium caseinate. Different letters in the bars indicate significant  
699 differences determined using LSD test ( $p < 0.05$ ). \* indicate the treatments that  
700 significantly improved the results of CS treatment.