



---

# Contents

---

<b>I</b>	<b>Introduction and Objectives</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Objectives . . . . .	5
1.2	Contributions . . . . .	6
1.2.1	Definition of Open Challenges in Access Controls for SNSs	6
1.2.2	BFF . . . . .	7
1.2.3	Evaluation of Tie Strength and Tags as Attributes for Access Controls . . . . .	8
1.2.4	Modeling Multiuser Privacy Scenarios . . . . .	9
1.2.5	Muppet . . . . .	10
1.3	Structure of the Thesis . . . . .	10
1.4	Publication List . . . . .	11
1.5	Research Projects . . . . .	15
<b>II</b>	<b>Selected Papers</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Open Challenges in Relationship-Based Privacy Mechanisms for Social Network Services</b>	<b>19</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	21
2.1.1	Privacy and Social Networks . . . . .	23
2.1.2	Requirements for a Social Network Service Privacy Mechanism	24
2.2	Relationship-based Access Control Models . . . . .	29

2.3	Content Type Management . . . . .	34
2.4	Co-privacy . . . . .	36
2.5	Modeling Human Relationships on Social Network Services . . . . .	41
2.6	Recommender Systems . . . . .	47
2.6.1	Based on What Others Do . . . . .	47
2.6.2	Based on Automatic Learning . . . . .	50
2.7	Improving Privacy Settings Understanding . . . . .	56
2.7.1	Graphical Visualization . . . . .	56
2.7.2	Privacy Policy Simplification . . . . .	58
2.7.3	Privacy Setting Misconfiguration Detection . . . . .	59
2.8	Open Challenges . . . . .	60
2.8.1	ReBAC and Content Type . . . . .	61
2.8.2	Inferring Tie Strength from Different Sources . . . . .	61
2.8.3	Adaptive Relationship Models . . . . .	62
2.8.4	Tie Strength Utility . . . . .	63
2.8.5	Self-presentation Management . . . . .	63
2.8.6	ReBAC: Usability and Visibility . . . . .	64
2.8.7	ReBAC and Co-privacy . . . . .	64
2.8.8	Privacy Settings Interoperability . . . . .	65
2.8.9	Sticky Policies . . . . .	66
2.8.10	Personalization . . . . .	67
2.9	Conclusions . . . . .	67

### **3 BFF: A Tool for Eliciting Tie Strength and User Communities in Social Networking Services 69**

3.1	Introduction . . . . .	71
3.2	Best Friend Forever . . . . .	75
3.3	Tie Strength Prediction Module . . . . .	77
3.4	Community Prediction Module . . . . .	82
3.5	Experimental Evaluation . . . . .	83
3.5.1	Participants . . . . .	83
3.5.2	Method . . . . .	84
3.5.3	Results . . . . .	85
3.5.4	Generalizability and Limitations . . . . .	92
3.6	Related Work . . . . .	94
3.7	Conclusions and Future Work . . . . .	96

<b>4</b>	<b>Exploring the Viability of Tie Strength and Tags in Access Controls for Photo Sharing</b>	<b>99</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	101
4.2	Related Work . . . . .	102
4.3	Attributes of Access Controls . . . . .	103
4.4	Method . . . . .	103
4.4.1	Participants . . . . .	104
4.4.2	Collection of Social Data . . . . .	104
4.4.3	Definition of Sharing Policies and Photo Tags . . . . .	104
4.5	Evaluation . . . . .	106
4.5.1	Metrics . . . . .	107
4.6	Results . . . . .	108
4.7	Discussion and Conclusions . . . . .	109
<b>5</b>	<b>Tie and Tag: A Study of Tie Strength and Tags for Photo Sharing</b>	<b>113</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	115
5.2	Related Work . . . . .	117
5.3	Method . . . . .	118
5.3.1	Demographics . . . . .	119
5.3.2	Step 1: Collection of Social Data . . . . .	120
5.3.3	Step 2: Choosing Photos . . . . .	121
5.3.4	Step 3: Tagging Photos . . . . .	122
5.3.5	Step 4: Definition of Privacy Preferences . . . . .	123
5.3.6	Step 5: Correcting Sharing Policies . . . . .	125
5.3.7	Step 6: Post-Survey Questionnaire . . . . .	126
5.4	Evaluation . . . . .	126
5.4.1	Hypotheses . . . . .	126
5.4.2	<i>H-Useful</i> -* . . . . .	127
5.4.3	<i>H-Performance</i> -* . . . . .	128
5.4.4	<i>H-Preference</i> -* . . . . .	134
5.5	Discussion . . . . .	136
5.5.1	Thematic Analysis . . . . .	138
5.5.2	Practical Implications . . . . .	139
5.5.3	Limitations . . . . .	140
5.6	Conclusions . . . . .	141

<b>6</b>	<b>Sharing Policies in Multiuser Privacy Scenarios: Incorporating Context, Preferences, and Arguments in Decision Making</b>	<b>143</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	145
6.2	Factors Influencing a Multiuser Sharing Decision . . . . .	149
6.2.1	Context . . . . .	149
6.2.2	Preferences . . . . .	151
6.2.3	Arguments . . . . .	152
6.3	Inference Model . . . . .	155
6.3.1	Data Collection via Crowdsourcing . . . . .	156
6.3.2	Building a Training Set . . . . .	163
6.4	Evaluation . . . . .	166
6.4.1	Hypotheses . . . . .	166
6.4.2	Evaluation Strategy . . . . .	167
6.5	Results . . . . .	170
6.5.1	Context ( <i>H-Influence-Context</i> ) . . . . .	170
6.5.2	Preferences ( <i>H-Influence-Preferences</i> ) . . . . .	173
6.5.3	Arguments ( <i>H-Influence-Arguments</i> ) . . . . .	174
6.5.4	Prediction ( <i>H-Prediction-Preferences</i> and <i>H-Prediction-Arguments</i> ) . . . . .	174
6.5.5	Confidence ( <i>H-Confidence-Preferences</i> and <i>H-Confidence-Arguments</i> ) . . . . .	177
6.5.6	Analysis of Misclassified Instances . . . . .	177
6.6	Muppet: A Tool for Multiuser Privacy Decision Assistance . . . . .	180
6.7	Discussion . . . . .	183
6.7.1	Threats to Validity . . . . .	184
6.7.2	Limitations and Directions . . . . .	185
6.8	Related Work . . . . .	187
6.9	Conclusions . . . . .	190
<b>7</b>	<b>Muppet: Recommending Sharing Policies in Multiuser Privacy Scenarios</b>	<b>201</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	203
7.2	Muppet Overview . . . . .	205
7.3	Features: Context, Users, Preferences, Group . . . . .	207
7.3.1	Contextual Features . . . . .	207
7.3.2	User Characteristics . . . . .	208
7.3.3	Preferences . . . . .	208
7.3.4	Group Characteristics . . . . .	209

---

7.4	Recommenders . . . . .	209
7.4.1	First Round . . . . .	209
7.4.2	Second Round . . . . .	210
7.4.3	Third Round . . . . .	210
7.5	Bootstrapping Muppet via Crowdsourcing . . . . .	211
7.5.1	Presurvey Questionnaire . . . . .	212
7.5.2	Picture Survey . . . . .	212
7.5.3	Participants and Quality Control . . . . .	216
7.5.4	Training Muppet’s Classifiers . . . . .	217
7.6	Evaluation of Muppet Bootstrapping . . . . .	218
7.6.1	Evaluation Strategy . . . . .	218
7.6.2	Hypotheses . . . . .	219
7.6.3	<i>H-Baseline</i> . . . . .	220
7.6.4	<i>H-Aggregation</i> . . . . .	221
7.6.5	<i>H-Incremental-Improvement</i> . . . . .	222
7.6.6	<i>H-Incremental-Generation</i> . . . . .	224
7.7	Discussion of the Results . . . . .	225
7.7.1	Future Work . . . . .	226
7.7.2	Limitations . . . . .	226
7.8	Related Work . . . . .	227
7.9	Conclusions . . . . .	228
<b>III Discussion</b>		<b>231</b>
<b>8 Discussion and Future Work</b>		<b>233</b>
8.1	Results on the Definition of Open Challenges . . . . .	234
8.2	Results on BFF . . . . .	234
8.3	Results on Tie Strength and Tags as Attributes for Access Controls . . . . .	235
8.4	Results on Modeling Multiuser Privacy Scenarios . . . . .	237
8.5	Results on Muppet . . . . .	238
<b>9 Conclusions</b>		<b>241</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>247</b>