

---

# Contents

---

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2 Motivation . . . . .	2
1.3 Objectives . . . . .	3
1.4 Structure of the Thesis . . . . .	3
<b>2 State of the Art</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	5
2.2 Opportunistic Networks: Definition . . . . .	5
2.3 Performance Evaluation of Opportunistic Networks . . . . .	6
2.4 Simulation Models . . . . .	9
2.5 Propagation Protocols . . . . .	17
2.6 Performance Metrics . . . . .	19
2.7 Simulation Tools . . . . .	20
2.8 Summary . . . . .	29
<b>3 Power Consumption</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	31
3.2 Overview . . . . .	32
3.3 Power Consumption Measurement Proposal . . . . .	32

## CONTENTS

---

3.4	Description of Experiments . . . . .	34
3.5	Performance Evaluation . . . . .	37
3.6	Summary . . . . .	41
<b>4</b>	<b>Buffer Management</b>	<b>43</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	43
4.2	Overview . . . . .	44
4.3	Buffer Management Proposal . . . . .	45
4.4	Description of Experiments . . . . .	47
4.5	Performance Evaluation . . . . .	49
4.6	Summary . . . . .	56
<b>5</b>	<b>Use of Long Range Technologies</b>	<b>57</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	57
5.2	Overview . . . . .	58
5.3	Long-Range Data Transmission Proposal . . . . .	60
5.4	Description of Experiments . . . . .	60
5.5	Performance Evaluation . . . . .	61
5.6	Summary . . . . .	66
<b>6</b>	<b>Inclusion of Fixed Nodes</b>	<b>67</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	67
6.2	Overview . . . . .	68
6.3	Message Diffusion Proposal . . . . .	69
6.4	Description of Experiments . . . . .	73
6.5	Performance Evaluation . . . . .	74
6.6	Summary . . . . .	81
<b>7</b>	<b>Impact of the Data Transfer Time</b>	<b>83</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	83
7.2	Overview . . . . .	83
7.3	Message Diffusion Approaches . . . . .	86
7.4	Description of Experiments . . . . .	87
7.5	Performance Evaluation . . . . .	89
7.6	Summary . . . . .	98
<b>8</b>	<b>Improving the Message Diffusion Performance</b>	<b>99</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	99
8.2	Related Efforts . . . . .	100
8.3	Forced-Stop: Feasibility of a new Message Diffusion Approach . . .	101
8.4	Performance Evaluation of Forced-Stop . . . . .	103
8.5	The Friendly-Sharing Approach . . . . .	112
8.6	Performance Evaluation of Friendly-Sharing . . . . .	114

8.7 Summary . . . . .	126
<b>9 Conclusions, Publications, and Future Work</b>	<b>127</b>
9.1 Conclusions . . . . .	127
9.2 Publications . . . . .	130
9.3 Internship . . . . .	135
9.4 Projects . . . . .	135
9.5 Future Work . . . . .	136
<b>A Acronyms</b>	<b>137</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>141</b>



---

# List of Figures

---

2.1	Performance evaluation process for opportunistic networks. . . . .	7
2.2	Accuracy versus cost of the different methods of performance evaluation. Note that the scale of the axis are figurative. . . . .	9
2.3	Comparison among transmission technologies for long distances (Figures from [96, 1]). . . . .	15
2.4	Propagation protocols according to the number of relayed messages. . . . .	18
2.5	Overview of The ONE (Figure from [84]). . . . .	21
2.6	Overview of Adyton. . . . .	25
3.1	Floating Content scenario. . . . .	33
3.2	Devices and tools. . . . .	35
3.3	Current consumption over time for different operational states. . . . .	36
3.4	Power consumption by stages. . . . .	38
3.5	Impact on the battery performance. . . . .	40
4.1	Local buffer dropping and forwarding policies. . . . .	46
4.2	Number of contacts at each simulation hour. . . . .	49
4.3	Delivery probability versus latency for a 12 and 24 hours TTL with different buffer sizes and queue policies using the pedestrian trace. . . . .	53
4.4	Delivery probability versus latency for a 12 and 24 hours TTL with different buffer sizes and queue policies using the vehicular trace. . . . .	54
4.5	Overhead results: buffer occupancy and forwarded bytes . . . . .	55
5.1	Vehicular opportunistic network components and diffusion scheme. . . . .	59
5.2	Rome trace sample of 6h of GPS traces. Total length of trace is 30 days, 316 taxis in an area of 10,000 $km^2$ (0.0316 nodes per $km^2$ ). . . . .	62
5.3	Number of contacts per hour generated by the simulation for each transmission technology. . . . .	63

LIST OF FIGURES

---

5.4 Average delivery success ratio and latency. . . . . 64

5.5 Overhead results: Buffer occupancy and forwarded bytes . . . . . 65

6.1 Different roles of the cabs and fixed nodes. . . . . 71

6.2 Criteria to place the fixed nodes. . . . . 72

6.3 WiFi interfaces embedded in vehicles. . . . . 74

6.4 San Francisco trace sample of 6h of GPS traces. Total length of trace is 24 days, 536 taxis in an area of 1,600  $km^2$  (0.335 nodes per  $km^2$ ) . 76

6.5 Contacts per hour generated by the simulation for each taxi trace. . . 77

6.6 Average delivery success ratio, latency and overhead. Mobile nodes act as sources and destinations, and fixed nodes as relays. . . . . 78

6.7 Average delivery success ratio, latency and overhead. Mobile nodes act as relays, and fixed nodes are the sources and destinations of messages. 79

6.8 A network with 100 nodes with different percentages of mobile against fixed nodes. There are always exactly 30 nodes producing data in the network and random destinations. The scenario is San Francisco. . . . 80

7.1 The ONE simulator structure, where the red boxes indicate the modified modules. (The figure is based on the original from [85]). . . . . 87

7.2 Representation of The ONE simulator running. . . . . 90

7.3 Dynamics of the message diffusion, according to movement models. . . 91

7.4 Dynamics of the message diffusion, according to movement models. . . 92

7.5 Message 1kB diffusion according to size, density, and movement models. 93

7.6 Message 1MB diffusion according to size, density, and movement models. 94

7.7 Message 10MB diffusion according to size, density, and movement models. 95

7.8 Percentage of aborted and relayed messages. . . . . 96

8.1 The ONE simulator structure, where the red boxes indicate the modified modules. (The figure is based on the original from [85]). . . . . 102

8.2 The ONE simulator running with the NCCU traces. . . . . 104

8.3 Message diffusion. . . . . 105

8.4 Average delivery success ratio by hour. . . . . 106

8.5 Delivery success ratio and Latency depending on buffer size (with x-axis in log scale) . . . . . 108

8.6 Delivery success ratio and Latency depending on buffer size (with x-axis in log scale) . . . . . 109

8.7 Overhead results: Buffer occupancy and forwarded bytes . . . . . 110

8.8 Several screenshots of the GRChat app. (a) A typical chat conversation; and (b) status of message interchange, showing the remaining time for end the transmission. . . . . 113

8.9 Contact graph for different time intervals of the trace: 3 (a), 6 (b), 12 (c), and 24 (d) hours. . . . . 116

8.10 Average node speed at each hour of the movement trace. . . . . 117

8.11	Average speed and number of contacts for all nodes at each hour of the movement trace. . . . .	118
8.12	Delivery probability versus latency for a 12 and 24h TTL (Time To Live) with different buffer sizes and queue policies. . . . .	119
8.13	Average delivery success ratio and latency. . . . .	121
8.14	Overhead results: Buffer occupancy and forwarded bytes. (a) maximum of the average buffer occupancy from each node; and (b) average bytes daily forwarded per node ( <i>y</i> -axis in log scale). . . . .	124
8.15	Delivery ratio (left) and latency (right) for different contact stop probabilities (16s max. stop). . . . .	125

---

# List of Tables

---

2.1	Summary of performance techniques . . . . .	10
3.1	Logs Structure. . . . .	35
3.2	Power Consumption . . . . .	38
4.1	Simulation parameters to evaluate queue management policies. . . . .	48
4.2	Delivery probability for different TTL, buffer sizes, and queue policies using the pedestrian trace. In bold type are the best results for each combination. . . . .	51
4.3	Delivery probability for different TTL, buffer sizes, and queue policies using the vehicular trace. . . . .	52
5.1	Simulation parameters varied to evaluate message diffusion. . . . .	60
6.1	Simulation parameters varied to evaluate message diffusion. . . . .	73
7.1	Simulation fixed parameters. . . . .	88
7.2	Simulation variable parameters. . . . .	89
8.1	The main simulation parameters. . . . .	104
8.2	Message statistics with a TTL of 12h (x 1,000). . . . .	111
8.3	Message statistics with a TTL of 24h (x 1,000). . . . .	111
8.4	Simulation parameters varied to evaluate queue management policies. . . . .	118
8.5	Delivery probability for a 12 hour TTL with different buffer sizes and queue policies. . . . .	120
8.6	Delivery probability for a 24 hour TTL with different buffer sizes and queue policies. . . . .	122
8.7	Simulation parameters varied to evaluate wait time. . . . .	122