

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	13
LIST OF TABLES	19
LIST OF FIGURES	21
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	23
1 INTRODUCTION	25
1.1 HYPOTHESIS	27
1.2 AIMS	27
1.3 JUSTIFICATION	28
1.4 DEVELOPMENT AND STRUCTURE	28
2 THE STRENGTHS OF EMAS AS AN ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY CAMPUSES	33
ABSTRACT	33
2.1 INTRODUCTION	33
2.2 METHODOLOGY	39
2.3 RESULTS	40
2.3.1 <i>Background and implementation process</i>	40
2.3.2 <i>An overview of EMAS implementation at UPV</i>	42
2.3.3 <i>Benefits</i>	44
2.3.4 <i>New challenges</i>	51
2.4 DISCUSSION	53
2.5 CONCLUSIONS	61
2.6 REFERENCES	63
3 ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT ASSESSMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION APPLYING LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK. CASE STUDY: UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA	67
ABSTRACT	67
3.1 INTRODUCTION	67
3.2 CASE STUDY	69
3.2.1 <i>Definition product system, functional unit, system boundaries and data requirement</i>	70
3.2.2 <i>Life Cycle Inventory analysis (LCI)</i>	70
3.2.3 <i>Life Cycle Assessment Inventory (LCAI)</i>	70
3.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	75
3.4 CONCLUSIONS	77
3.5 REFERENCES	79

4	STUDY OF THE INCLUSION OF LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT IMPACT	
	CATEGORIES IN ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT	81
	ABSTRACT	81
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	81
4.1	INTRODUCTION.....	82
4.1.1	<i>Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)</i>	82
4.1.2	<i>Ecological Footprint (EF)</i>	84
4.2	ANALYSIS.....	85
4.2.1	<i>Including Resource category: Minerals and Fossil</i>	86
4.2.2	<i>Introducing Ecosystem quality: Ecotoxicity, Eutrophication and Acidification</i>	92
4.2.3	<i>Land use consideration</i>	93
4.3	CONCLUSIONS	93
4.4	REFERENCES.....	95
5	USE OF LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY IN THE ANALYSIS OF ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT ASSESSMENT RESULTS TO EVALUATE THE ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE OF UNIVERSITIES	97
	ABSTRACT	97
5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	99
5.2	LITERATURE REVIEW OF LCA AND EFA AS PERFORMANCE TOOLS FOR UNIVERSITIES.....	101
5.3	METHODS	103
5.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	105
5.4.1	<i>Step 1. Definition of the specific decision to consider in general LCA methodology</i>	105
5.4.2	<i>Step 2. Develop a complete case study</i>	106
5.4.3	<i>Step 3. Analyze other studies of the use of EFA by universities</i> 114	
5.5	CONCLUSIONS	117
5.6	REFERENCES.....	119
5.7	ANNEX 5.A.....	127
6	ORGANIZATIONAL LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT: SUITABILITY AND METHODOLOGICAL PROPOSAL FOR HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS.	
	131	
	ABSTRACT	131
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	132
6.1.1	<i>Literature review of O-LCA for organizations</i>	135
6.1.2	<i>Methods</i>	136

6.2	METHODOLOGICAL PROPOSAL.....	136
6.2.1	<i>Goal and scope</i>	136
6.2.2	<i>Life Cycle Inventory analysis (LCI)</i>	139
6.2.3	<i>Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) and results interpretation</i>	146
6.3	RESULTS: APPLICATION OF METHODOLOGY TO EPSA CASE STUDY (PILOT EU) 148	
6.3.1	<i>Goals and scope</i>	148
6.3.2	<i>EPSA LCI</i>	150
6.3.3	<i>EPSA results interpretation</i>	158
6.4	CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH	159
6.5	REFERENCES.....	161
6.6	ANNEX 6.A COMPARISON BETWEEN ISO 14072:2014 AND EMAS REQUIREMENTS	167
6.7	ANNEX 6.B EPSA AND UPV DETAILS FOR 2015.....	179
6.8	ANNEX 6.C PRIORITIZATION PROCEDURE FOR LCI ANALYSIS OF EPSA	181
7	THE ACCOUNTING SYSTEM AS COMPLEMENTARY DATA SOURCE FOR ORGANIZATIONAL LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS	191
	ABSTRACT	191
7.1	INTRODUCTION.....	191
7.1.1	<i>Case study description</i>	193
7.2	METHOD: ACCOUNTING SYSTEM AND BUDGET ANALYSIS.....	194
7.2.1	<i>Registers classification proposal</i>	199
7.3	RESULTS: EPSA AVAILABLE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DATA	203
7.4	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS: STRUCTURE, COVERAGE AND QUALITY ...	206
7.5	REFERENCES.....	209
8	APPLICABILITY OF GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE TO ASSESS THE ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS. 211	
	ABSTRACT	211
8.1	INTRODUCTION.....	211
8.2	METHODOLOGY.....	212
8.2.1	<i>Step 1. Select the most suitable GRI guide for universities</i>	213
8.2.2	<i>Step 2. Extract those indicators related to the environmental aspect</i>	214
8.2.3	<i>Step 3. Case study. Analyze indicators environmentally related</i>	218
8.3	CONCLUSIONS	224

8.4	REFERENCES.....	225
9	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS TO ASSESS ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS. CASE STUDY OF UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA.	227
	ABSTRACT	227
9.1	INTRODUCTION.....	228
9.1.1	<i>Literature review over environmental indicators relevant to HEIs</i> 232	
9.1.2	<i>Methods</i>	234
9.2	METHODOLOGICAL PROPOSAL.....	234
9.2.1	<i>Definition of the reporting organization</i>	236
9.2.2	<i>Identification of relevant environmental aspects</i>	237
9.2.3	<i>Definition of KPIs</i>	239
9.2.4	<i>Validation method: meta-performance evaluation</i>	240
9.2.5	<i>Continuous improvement cycle and reporting</i>	241
9.3	RESULTS: DEFINITION OF ENVIRONMENTAL KPIs FOR EPSA	242
9.3.1	<i>Definition of the reporting organization: EPSA</i>	242
9.3.2	<i>Identification of relevant environmental aspects</i>	243
9.3.3	<i>Goals definition</i>	245
9.3.4	<i>KPIs definition</i>	245
9.3.5	<i>Assessment of environmental KPIs for EPSA</i>	249
9.3.6	<i>Validation</i>	251
9.4	CONCLUSIONS	253
9.5	REFERENCES.....	255
9.6	ANNEX 9 A	263
9.7	ANNEX 9 B. VALIDATION METHOD	295
10	GENERAL DISCUSSION OF RESULTS, CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH	301
10.1	REGARDING THE STUDY OF ASSESSMENT TOOLS AND METHODOLOGICAL PROPOSALS	301
10.2	RESULTS DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS FOR THE CASE STUDY, EPSA	305
10.3	SUMMARY	309
10.4	FURTHER RESEARCH.....	310
11	REFERENCES	317
12	ANNEX 1: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE METHODOLOGY OF CALCULATION OF EF IN DIFFERENT AREAS.	341
	ABSTRACT	341

12.1	INTRODUCTION AND GOALS.....	341
12.2	EF METHODOLOGIES AND ITS APPLICATIONS.....	343
12.2.1	<i>Countries and continents</i>	343
12.2.2	<i>Cities and municipalities</i>	345
12.2.3	<i>Economic areas</i>	347
12.2.4	<i>Companies and corporations</i>	348
12.3	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	351
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	353
12.4	REFERENCES.....	355