

INDEX

1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Problem statement	2
1.2 Research goals and objectives	4
1.3 Methodology	6
1.3.1. Brief literature review	7
1.3.2. Pilot case study	9
1.3.3. Selection of other case-studies and data collection	12
1.3.4. Case-study analysis	13
1.3.5. Cross-case analysis	15
1.3.6. Conclusions	15
1.4. Outputs and potential benefits	16
1.5. Limitations ..	19
1.6. Deliverables	21
1.7. Chapter summary	25
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	26
2.1. Introduction	27
2.2. Background on green-building developments	27
2.3. Green-building standards	29
2.3.1. The LEED protocol	30
2.3.2. The BREEAM protocol	33
2.3.3. Comparison between LEED and BREEAM protocols	35
2.3.4. Other important green-building reference standards	39
2.3.4.1. The Passive House protocol	39
2.3.4.2. The DGNB protocol	41
2.4. Project Management processes	42
2.4.1. A brief definition of Project Management.....	42
2.4.2. Construction Project Management processes in USA and Europe.....	46
2.5. The Lean approach	52
3.2.1. The basis of Lean	54
3.2.2. The Lean and Green initiative	61
2.6. Research gap	65
2.7 Chapter summary	65

3. RESEARCH METHOD	66
3.1. Introduction	67
3.2. Introduction to the case study method	67
3.2.1. Framework of study	68
3.3. Stage one: plan	70
3.3.1. Exploratory case study approach	71
3.3.2. Unit of analysis	72
3.3.3. Qualitative and quantitative research	75
3.4. Stage two: design	80
3.4.1. Identification and selection of case-study projects	81
3.4.2. Case-study approach	84
3.5. Stage three: prepare	85
3.5.1. The pilot case-study project	86
3.6. Stage four: collect	88
3.6.1. Data source	91
3.6.2. Dependent and independent variables	99
3.7. Stage five: analyze	102
3.7.1. Explanatory building	103
3.7.2. Cross-case analysis	104
3.7.3. Data coding	105
3.7.4. Scheduling and time-related calculations	105
3.7.5. Cost-related calculations	110
3.7.6. Sustainability-related calculation	115
3.8. Stage six: share	118
3.8.1. Verification of methodology	119
3.8.1.1. Published research article	120
3.8.1.2. Interviews with subjects involved	121
3.9. Chapter summary	122
4. CASE-STUDY PROJECTS	123
4.1. The school project	124
4.1.1. Brief project history	126
4.1.2. Why choosing this case-study project	131
4.2. The nursing-home project	132
4.2.1. Brief project history	134
4.2.2. Why choosing this case-study project	141
4.3. The office-building project in Barcelona	142

4.3.1.	Brief project history	144
4.3.2.	Why choosing this case-study project	147
4.4.	The office-building project in Southern Spain	148
4.4.1.	Brief project history	150
4.4.2.	Why choosing this case-study project	152
4.5.	Chapter summary	153
5.	RESULTS	154
5.1.	Introduction	155
5.2.	Interviews	155
5.2.1.	Categorization of interviewees	155
5.2.2.	Qualitative interviews	159
5.3.	Dependent variables	165
5.2.1.	Lack of integration between technicians and green-building tasks	166
5.2.2.	Misunderstanding of Commissioning Authority's tasks and process	168
5.2.3.	No appropriate clause in bid documentation	169
5.2.4.	Systematic cuts to budget due to change-orders and delays	173
5.2.5.	Misunderstanding of the energy-model role and process	176
5.4.	Lean project wastes	178
5.5.	Independent variables	184
5.5.1.	Time	184
5.5.2.	Costs	185
5.5.3.	Sustainability	188
5.6.	Research outputs	189
5.6.1.	Case-study 1: the nursing-home complex	190
5.6.2.	Case-study 2: the school complex	195
5.6.3.	Case-study 3: the office building in Barcelona	198
5.6.4.	Case-study 4: the office building in Southern Spain	201
5.6.5.	Output summary	203
5.7.	Case-study comparison and cross-case analysis	204
5.8.	Practical guidelines for professionals	213
5.9.	Chapter summary	218
6.	CONCLUSIONS	219
6.1	Achievement of the research objectives	220
6.2	Contributions	221
6.3	Limitations	224

6.4 Ideas for future researches	227
6.5 Chapter summary	229
7. BIBLIOGRAPHY	230
8. APPENDIX	238

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Representation of the contractual dependencies between subjects involved in the Design-Bid-Built European and U.S. construction process.....	4
1.2	Brief representation of the main research phases implemented for the purpose of the present study.....	7
2.1	Graphical representation of the “iron triangle” (Atkinson – 1999)	44
2.2	Representation of the contractual dependencies between subjects involved in the Design-Bid-Built European and U.S. construction process.....	49
2.3	Snapshot of the graphic diagram reported by “The Telegraph” showing the number of days required to get construction permits within EU and USA (Quilty-Harper, 2011) ...	50
2.4	Snapshot of the graphic diagram reported by “The Telegraph” showing the number of days required to enforce a contract within the major EU countries and the USA (Quilty-Harper, 2011).....	51
2.5	Snapshot of the graphic diagram reported by “The Telegraph” showing the average number of days required to get construction permits, electricity connected, contracts enforced and goods exported within the major EU countries and the USA (Quilty-Harper, 2011).....	51
2.6	Representation of the Macleamy curve showing the difference between traditional and integrated process from work management point of view. Within the representation readers can see: 1. Ability to impact costs and functional capabilities; 2. Cost of design changes; 3. Traditional design process; 4. Integrated project delivery process (Source: MSA Project Delivery) (Macleamy, 2004).....	64
3.1	Framework summarizing the methodology milestones for the present research project	69
3.2	Snapshot of the final list summarizing all categories of issues identified with related activities and issues	98
3.3	Figure 3.3 A: snapshot of the initial WBS scheme developed for the scope of the scheduling chapter.....	107
	Figure 3.3 B: milestones affected by green-building issues (delays).....	107

	Figure 3.3 C: snapshot of the WBS-diagram breakdown to the detail of the problems that affected the process schedule	108
	Figure 3.3 D: identification of the WBS key-elements (in green color) that were used for the development of the AON network.	108
3.4	Snapshot of the project Gantt diagram showing problems (red), problem-related activities (orange), sustainability-related activities (green).	109
3.5	Snapshot of part of the project checklist developed after the first kick-off meeting with the design team	117
5.1	Snapshot of the project Gantt diagram showing problems (red), problem-related activities (orange), sustainability-related activities (green) and project-management-related activities (yellow).....	185

LIST OF TABLES

3.1	Example of qualitative and quantitative classification of problems identified during the process.....	77
3.2	Example of the list of events developed for the first step of the qualitative interview..	95
3.3	Example of the list of events and related issues developed for the second step of the qualitative interview	96
3.4	Portion of the checklist developed for the second round of qualitative-interview analysis	100
5.1	Summary of the interviewees categorization for each case-study project.....	156
5.2	Example of the list of milestones developed for the first step of the qualitative interview	160
5.3	Example of the problem listing for one single milestone developed through the qualitative interview phase	161
5.4	Example of the list of events and related issues developed for the second step of the qualitative interview	162
5.5	Table showing an example of the process implemented to related project-management issues with types of Lean waste.....	164
5.6	Identification of project milestones affected by Lean waste.....	164
5.7	Table summarizing the direct and indirect costs generated by all problem categories	188
5.8	Results proceeding from the analysis of project costs divided in direct and indirect costs values of the nursing-home project.....	190
5.9	Results proceeding from the analysis of project-related delays for the design-development period	191
5.10	Results proceeding from the analysis of project-related delays for the design-development period	192
5.11	Snapshot of the LEED checklist implemented for the nursing-home project.....	193
5.12	Final table summarizing all information obtained from the analysis of the present case-study project.....	195
5.13	Results proceeding from the analysis of project costs divided in direct and indirect costs values of the school-complex project.....	196
5.14	Results of the time-related analysis applied to the school-complex case study	196

5.15	Results of the sustainability-related analysis applied to the school-complex case study	198
5.16	Final table summarizing all information obtained from the analysis of the school-complex case study	198
5.17	Results proceeding from the analysis of project costs divided in direct and indirect costs values of the office-building project in Barcelona.....	199
5.18	Results of the time-related analysis applied to the office building case	199
5.19	Results of the sustainability-related analysis applied to the office building case located in Barcelona	200
5.20	Final table summarizing all information obtained from the analysis of the office-building case in Barcelona	200
5.21	Results proceeding from the analysis of project costs divided in direct and indirect costs values of the office-building project in Barcelona.....	201
2.1	Results of the time-related analysis applied to the office building case of Southern Spain	202
5.22	Results of the sustainability-related analysis applied to the office building case of Southern Spain.....	202
5.23	Final table summarizing all information obtained from the analysis of the office-building case located in Southern Spain.....	203
5.24	Final table summarizing all information obtained for all case studies	203
5.25	Table Impact of the types of Lean-waste on the direct and indirect cost variance of each case study	225
5.26	Impact of the types of Lean-waste on the direct and indirect cost variance of each case study	216
5.27	Impact of the types of Lean-waste on the time variance of each case study.....	217
5.28	Impact of the types of Lean-waste on the time variance of each case study.....	217
6.1	Summary of the research objectives achieved with the present study	220